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## Model-Based ATLAS



## Principles

- Optimization for memory hierarchy
- Blocking for cache
- Blocking for registers
- Basic block optimizations
- Loop order for ILP
- Unrolling + scalar replacement
- Scheduling \& software pipelining
- Optimizations for virtual memory
- Buffering (copying spread-out data into contiguous memory)
- Autotuning
- Search over parameters (ATLAS)
- Model to estimate parameters (Model-based ATLAS)
- All high performance MMM libraries do some of these (but possibly in a different way)


## Today

- Memory bound computations
- Sparse linear algebra, OSKI


## Memory Bound Computation

- Data movement, not computation, is the bottleneck
- Typically: Computations with operational intensity $I(n)=O(1)$



## Memory Bound Or Not? Depends On ...

- The computer
- Memory bandwidth
- Peak performance
- How it is implemented
- Good/bad locality
- SIMD or not

- How the measurement is done
- Cold or warm cache
- In which cache data resides
- See next slide


## Example 1: BLAS 1, Warm Data \& Code

$z=x+y$ on Core i7 (Nehalem, one core, no SSE), icc 12.0/02 /fp:fast /Qipo


## Example 3: Cold/Warm Data \& Code



## Sparse Linear Algebra

- Sparse matrix-vector multiplication (MVM)
- Sparsity/Bebop/OSKI
- References:
- Eun-Jin Im, Katherine A. Yelick, Richard Vuduc. SPARSITY: An Optimization Framework for Sparse Matrix Kernels, Int'l Journal of High Performance Comp. App., 18(1), pp. 135-158, 2004
- Vuduc, R.; Demmel, J.W.; Yelick, K.A.; Kamil, S.; Nishtala, R.; Lee, B.; Performance Optimizations and Bounds for Sparse Matrix-Vector Multiply, pp. 26, Supercomputing, 2002
- Sparsity/Bebop website


## Sparse Linear Algebra

- Very different characteristics from dense linear algebra (LAPACK etc.)
- Applications:
- finite element methods
- PDE solving
- physical/chemical simulation
(e.g., fluid dynamics)
- linear programming
- scheduling
- signal processing (e.g., filters)
- ...
- Core building block: Sparse MVM



## Sparse MVM (SMVM)

- $y=y+A x, A$ sparse but known

- Typically executed many times for fixed $A$
- What is reused (temporal locality)?
- Upper bound on operational intensity?


## Storage of Sparse Matrices

■ Standard storage is obviously inefficient: Many zeros are stored

- Unnecessary operations
- Unnecessary data movement
- Bad operational intensity
- Several sparse storage formats are available
- Most popular: Compressed sparse row (CSR) format
- blackboard


## CSR

- Assumptions:
- $A$ is $m x n$
- K nonzero entries


## A as matrix

b c c
a
b b
c
A in CSR:
A in CSR:


■ Storage:

- $K$ doubles $+(K+m+1)$ ints $=\Theta(\max (K, m))$
- Typically: $\Theta(\mathrm{K})$


## Sparse MVM Using CSR

```
y=y+Ax
    void smvm(int m, const double* values, const int* col_idx,
                const int* row_start, double* x, double* y)
{
        int i, j;
        double d;
    /* loop over m rows */
    for (i = 0; i < m; i++) {
        d = y[i]; /* scalar replacement since reused */
        /* loop over non-zero elements in row i */
        for (j = row_start[i]; j < row_start[i+1]; j++)
            d += values[j] * x[col_idx[j]];
        y[i] = d;
    }
}
```

CSR + sparse MVM: Advantages?

## CSR

- Advantages:
- Only nonzero values are stored
- All three arrays for A (values, col_idx, row_start) accessed consecutively in MVM (good spatial locality)
- Good temporal locality with respect to y
- Disadvantages:
- Insertion into A is costly
- Poor temporal locality with respect to $x$


## Impact of Matrix Sparsity on Performance

- Adressing overhead (dense MVM vs. dense MVM in CSR):
- ~ 2x slower (example only)
- Fundamental difference between MVM and sparse MVM (SMVM):
- Sparse MVM is input dependent (sparsity pattern of A)
- Changing the order of computation (blocking) requires changing the data structure (CSR)


## Bebop/Sparsity: SMVM Optimizations

- Idea: Blocking for registers
- Reason: Reuse x to reduce memory traffic
- Execution: Block SMVM y=y + Ax into micro MVMs
- Block size rx c becomes a parameter
- Consequence: Change A from CSR to r x c block-CSR (BCSR)
- BCSR: Blackboard


## BCSR (Blocks of Size rxc)

- Assumptions:
- A is mxn
- Block size rxc
- $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}$ nonzero blocks
A as matrix ( $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{c}=2$ )
A in BCSR ( $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{c}=2$ ):

| b | C |  | C | b_values | b | c | 0 | a | 0 | c |  | 0 | b | b | c | 0 | length $\mathrm{rck}_{r, c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | a |  |  | b_col_idx |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | length $K_{r, c}$ |
|  |  | b | b |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow \Gamma<$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | row_start |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  | length $m / r+1$ |

- Storage:
- $\mathrm{rcK}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}$ doubles $+\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{r}+1\right)$ ints $=\Theta\left(\mathrm{rcK}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}\right)$
- $\mathrm{rck}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}} \geq \mathrm{K}$


## Sparse MVM Using $2 \times 2$ BCSR

```
void smvm_2x2(int bm, const int *b_row_start, const int *b_col_idx,
    const double *b_values, double *x, double *y)
{
    int i, j;
    double d0, d1, c0, c1;
    /* loop over bm block rows */
    for (i = 0; i < bm; i++) {
        d0 = y[2*i]; /* scalar replacement since reused */
        d1 = y[2*i+1];
        /* dense micro MVM */
        for (j = b_row_start[i]; j < b_row_start[i+1]; j++, b_values += 2*2) {
            c0 = x[2*b col idx[j]+0]; /* scalar replacement since reused */
            c1 = x[2*b_col_idx[j]+1];
            d0 += b_values[0] * c0;
            d1 += b_values[2] * c0;
            d0 += b_values[1] * c1;
            d1 += b_values[3] * c1;
        }
        y[2*i] = d0;
        y[2*i+1] = d1;
    }
}
```


## BCSR

- Advantages:
- Temporal locality with respect to x and y
- Reduced storage for indexes
- Disadvantages:
- Storage for values of A increased (zeros added)
- Computational overhead (also due to zeros)

- Main factors (since memory bound):
- Plus: increased temporal locality on $x+$ reduced index storage = reduced memory traffic
- Minus: more zeros = increased memory traffic


## Which Block Size ( rxc ) is Optimal?



Example:

- 20,000 x 20,000 matrix (only part shown)
- Perfect $8 \times 8$ block structure
- No overhead when blocked r x c, with r, c divides 8


## Speed-up Through r x c Blocking



## How to Find the Best Blocking for given A?

- Best block size is hard to predict (see previous slide)
- Solution 1: Searching over all rxc within a range, e.g., $1 \leq r, c \leq 12$
- Conversion of A in CSR to BCSR roughly as expensive as 10 SMVMs
- Total cost: 1440 SMVMs
- Too expensive
- Solution 2: Model
- Estimate the gain through blocking
- Estimate the loss through blocking
- Pick best ratio


## Model: Example

Gain by blocking (dense MVM)


Overhead (average) by blocking

$16 / 9=1.77$ $\downarrow^{0.79 \text { (no gain) }}$
Model: Doing that for all rand c and picking best

## Model

- Goal: find best $\mathrm{r} \mathbf{x}$ for $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}+\mathrm{Ax}$
- Gain through rxchlocking (estimation):

$$
G_{r, c}=\frac{\text { dense MVM performance in } r \times c B C S R}{\text { dense } M V M \text { performance in CSR }}
$$

dependent on machine, independent of sparse matrix

- Overhead through rxc blocking (estimation) scan part of matrix A

$$
O_{r, c}=\frac{\text { number of matrix values in } r \times \subset B C S R}{\text { number of matrix values in } C S R}
$$

independent of machine, dependent on sparse matrix

- Expected gain: $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}} / \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}$


## Gain from Blocking (Dense Matrix in BCSR)



- machine dependent
- hard to predict


## Typical Result



## Principles in Bebop/Sparsity Optimization

- Optimization for memory hierarchy = increasing locality
- Blocking for registers (micro-MMMs)
- Requires change of data structure for $A$
- Optimizations are input dependent (on sparse structure of A)
- Fast basic blocks for small sizes (micro-MMM):
- Unrolling + scalar replacement
- Search for the fastest over a relevant set of algorithm/implementation alternatives (parameters r, c)
- Use of performance model (versus measuring runtime) to evaluate expected gain


## Different from ATLAS

## SMVM: Other Ideas

- Cache blocking
- Value compression
- Index compression
- Pattern-based compression
- Special scenario: Multiple inputs


## Cache Blocking

- Idea: divide sparse matrix into blocks of sparse matrices

- Experiments:
- Requires very large matrices ( x and y do not fit into cache)
- Speed-up up to 2.2 x , only for few matrices, with $1 \times 1$ BCSR


## Value Compression

- Situation: Matrix A contains many duplicate values
- Idea: Store only unique ones plus index information


A in CSR:



## Index Compression

- Situation: Matrix A contains sequences of nonzero entries
- Idea: Use special byte code to jointly compress col_idx and row_start



## Decoding

0: acc $=$ acc $* 256+$ arg:
1: $\mathrm{col}=\mathrm{col}+\mathrm{acc} * 256+\mathrm{arg} ; \mathrm{acc}=0$;
emit_element(row, col); col $=\mathrm{col}+1$
2: $\mathrm{col}=\mathrm{col}+\mathrm{acc} * 256+$ arg; acc $=0$ emit_element(row, col);
emit_element(row, $\mathrm{col}+1$ ); $\mathrm{col}=\mathrm{col}+2$;
3: col $=\mathrm{col}+\mathrm{acc} * 256+$ arg; $a c c=0$; emit_element(row, col):
emit_element(row, col +1 );
emit_element(row, $\mathrm{col}+2$ ); $\mathrm{col}=\mathrm{col}+3$;
4: $\mathrm{col}=\mathrm{col}+\mathrm{acc} * 256+\mathrm{arg} ; \mathrm{acc}=0 ;$
emit_element(row, col):
emit_element(row, col +1 ):
emit_element(row, col +2 );
emit_element(row, col +3 ); $\mathrm{col}=\mathrm{col}+4$;
5: row $=$ row $+1 ;$ col $=0$;

## Pattern-Based Compression

- Situation: After blocking A, many blocks have the same nonzero pattern
- Idea: Use special BCSR format to avoid storing zeros; needs specialized micro-MVM kernel for each pattern


## A as matrix

| $b$ | $c$ |  | $c$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $a$ |  |  |
|  |  | $b$ | $b$ |
|  |  | $c$ |  |

Values in $2 \times 2$ BCSR


Values in $2 \times 2$ PBR
b $\quad$ c $\quad$ a $\quad$ c $\quad$ b $\quad$ b $\quad$ c

+ bit string: 110101001110


## Special scenario: Multiple inputs

- Situation: Compute SMVM $y=y+A x$ for several independent $x$
- Blackboard
- Experiments: up to $9 x$ speedup for 9 vectors


