263-2300-00: How To Write Fast Numerical Code

Assignment 1: 100 points

Due Date: Th, March 6th, 17:00

http://www.inf.ethz.ch/personal/markusp/teaching/263-2300-ETH-spring14/course.html and the second statement of the second st

Questions: fastcode@lists.inf.ethz.ch

Submission instructions (read carefully):

• (Submission)

We set up a SVN Directory for everybody in the course. The Url of your SVN Directory is https://svn.inf.ethz.ch/svn/pueschel/students/trunk/s14-fastcode/YOUR.NETZH.LOGIN/ You should see subdirectory for each homework.

• (Late policy)

You have 3 late days, but can use at most 2 on one homework, meaning submit latest 48 hours after the due time. Late submissions have to be submitted completely electronically and emailed to fastcode@lists.inf.ethz.ch.

• (Formats)

If you use programs (such as MS-Word or Latex) to create your assignment, convert them to PDF and submit to svn in the top level of the respective homework directory. Call it homework.pdf. Handwritten parts can be scanned and included or brought (in time) to Alen's or Daniele's office.

• (Plots)

For plots/benchmarks, be concise, but provide necessary information (e.g., compiler and flags) and always briefly discuss the plot and draw conclusions. Follow (at least to a reasonable extent) the small guide to making plots (lecture 5).

• (Code)

When compiling the final code, ensure that you use optimization flags. Disable SSE for this exercise when compiling. Under Visual Studio you will find it under Config / Code Generator / Enable Enhanced Instructions (should be off). With gcc their are several flags: use -mno-abm (check the flag), -fno-tree-vectorize should also do the job. Submit all the code you write into the according folders in your SVN directory.

• (Neatness)

5% of the points in a homework are given for neatness.

Exercises:

1. (25 pts) Cost analysis

Consider the following code that computes the Cholesky decomposition of a given $N \times N$ symmetric positive definite matrix A.

- (a) Define a suitable cost measure C(N) assuming that different floating point operations have different costs.
- (b) Compute the cost C(N) of the function chol.

(c) How would you change the definition and value of ${\cal C}(N)$ assuming that all operations have the same cost?

Note: Integer operations are ignored. Lower-order terms (and only those) may be expressed using big-O notation (this means: a result like $3n + O(\log(n))$ is ok but O(n) is not).

Solution:

See handwritten notes.

- 2. (15 pts) Get to know your machine Determine and create a table for the following microarchitectural parameters of your computer.
 - (a) Processor manufacturer, name, and number
 - (b) Number of CPU cores
 - (c) CPU-core frequency

For one core and without considering SSE/AVX:

- (d) Cycles/issue for floating point additions
- (e) Cycles/issue for floating point multiplications
- (f) Maximum theoretical floating point peak performance in both flop/cycle and Gflop/s.

Tips: On Unix/Linux systems, typing 'cat /proc/cpuinfo' in a shell will give you enough information about your CPU for you to be able to find an appropriate manual for it on the manufacturer's website (typically AMD or Intel). The manufacturer's website will contain information about the on-chip details. (e.g. Intel). For Windows 7 "Control Panel/System and Security/System" will show you your CPU, for more info "CPU-Z" will give a very detailed report on the machine configuration.

3. (15 pts) MMM

The standard matrix multiplication kernel performs the following operation : C = AB + C, where A, B, C are matrices of compatible size. We provide a C source file and a C header file that times this kernel using different methods under Windows and Linux (for x86 compatibles).

- (a) Inspect and understand the code.
- (b) Determine the exact number of (floating point) additions and multiplications performed by the compute() function in mmm.c of the code.
- (c) Using your computer, compile and run the code (Remember to turn off vectorization as explained on page 1!). Ensure you get consistent timings between timers and for at least two consecutive executions.
- (d) Then, for all square matrices of sizes n between 100 and 1500, in increments of 100, create a plot for the following quantities (one plot per quantity, so 3 plots total). n is on the x-axis and on the y-axis is, respectively,
 - i. Runtime (in cycles).
 - ii. Performance (in flops/cycle).
 - iii. Using the data from exercise 2, percentage of the peak performance (without vector instructions) reached.
- (e) Briefly describe your plots, and submit your modified code to the SVN and call it also mmm.c.
- 4. (20 pts) MVM

We consider matrix-vector multiplication of the form y = A * x + y, where A is an $n \times n$ square matrix and y and x are vectors of length n.

(a) Create a new file mvm.c. The code should contain a compute() function that implements matrix-vector multiplication in the form given above using a double loop, and an rdtsc() function for timing it (you can use the one in mmm.c from exercise 3).

- (b) Determine the exact number of (floating point) additions and multiplications performed by your compute() function.
- (c) Then, for all square matrices of sizes n between 100 and 1500, in increments of 100, create a plot for the following quantities (one plot per quantity, so 3 plots total). n is on the x-axis and on the y-axis is, respectively,
 - i. Runtime (in cycles).
 - ii. Performance (in flops/cycle).
 - iii. Using the data from exercise 2, percentage of the peak performance (without vector instructions) reached.
- (d) Compare the performance obtained with MVM and MMM and briefly discuss.
- 5. (20 pts) Bounds

We consider a double loop implementation of a so-called stencil computation using a nine-point stencil h over an $N \times N$ grid G as shown below.

- (a) Determine the exact cost measured in flops (floating point operations).
- (b) Determine an asymptotic upper bound on the operational intensity (assuming empty caches and considering both reads and writes).
- (c) On a Core i7 Sandy Bridge, consider only one core and determine hard lower bounds (not asymptotic) on the runtime (measured in cycles) based on
 - i. The op count (floating point ops only, no vectorization).
 - ii. Loads, for each of the following cases: All floating point data is L1-resident, L2-resident, RAM-resident.

Solution:

- (a) $C = 17 \cdot (N-2)^2$
- (b) $I(N) \leq \frac{17 \cdot (N-2)^2}{8[N^2+9+(N-2)^2]}$ flops/B. Therefore $I(N) \in O(1)$

(c) i. The CPU can compute 2 scalar ops/cycle, obtaining $r_{ops} = \frac{17(N-2)^2}{2} = 8.5(N-2)^2$ ii. Assuming $tp_{L1} = tp_{L2} = 4$ doubles/cycle, and $tp_{RAM} = 3/4$ double/cycle, we obtain $r_{L1} = r_{L2} = \frac{N^2+9}{4}$ cycles and $r_{RAM} = \frac{4N^2}{3} + 12$ cycles.



6. (0 pts: for the enthusiast) MMM perf

Another way to perform cost analysis of a given algorithm is by using CPU performance counters. Hardware counters are a set of special-purpose registers that store counts of hardware-related events within a CPU. Accessing these registers requires OS support and is different on each CPU type, as each CPU model might monitor different set of events. perf is a convenient Linux tool that abstracts away CPU hardware differences and is part of the Linux Kernel 2.6+. If your machine is not running Linux, use the student lab machines stud{1..27}-h{56,57}.inf.ethz.ch to complete this exercise.

(a) Determine the number of cycles of the MMM program in exercise 3, invoking perf command line tool to gather performance statistics (extended perf tutorial is available here).

- (b) Determine the performance monitoring events available on your CPU that monitor double precision floating point operations. Provide a list of mnemonic names of the events, usually available in the CPU vendor architecture manual (For example Sandy Bridge: FP_COMP_OPS_EXE:X87, FP_COMP_OPS_EXE:SSE_FP_PACKED_DOUBLE and FP_COMP_OPS_EXE:SSE_SCALAR_DOUBLE).
- (c) Convert the obtained events into raw PMU events, in the form of **rNNN** where **NNN** is a hexadecimal event descriptor. Use libpfm's tool examples/check_events to perform the conversion.
- (d) Determine the number of flops of the MMM program by feeding the raw PMU events to perf.
- (e) Determine the performance of the MMM loop by invoking perf tool inside your MMM program. To achieve this level of granularity, perf must be invoked as a system call, with proper instantiation of the PMU events. Modify the skeleton available here to obtain precise results.

$$\frac{A(a)}{(a)}: \quad C(N) \text{ will } \overset{h}{=} a 4 - biman pianal cast with every dimension associated to a different operation:
$$C(N) = \left[SQRT(N) + N - 1 \right]$$

$$\frac{A(b)}{(b)}: \cdot SQRT(N) = N - 1$$

$$\cdot DN(N) = \frac{N^2}{j_{so}} \frac{N^2}{i_{sin}} \frac{N}{j_{so}} = \frac{N^2}{j_{so}} (N'j - i) = \frac{N^2}{j_{so}} N - \frac{N^2}{j_{so}} \int -N + i = N(N_i) - \frac{(N_i - 1)(N_i)}{2} - N + i = \frac{N^2}{2} O(N)$$

$$\cdot MULT(N) = \frac{N^2}{j_{so}} \frac{N}{k_{sin}} \frac{N}{i_{sin}} = \frac{N}{j_{so}} \left(N'j - i \right) = \frac{N^2}{j_{so}} N - \frac{N^2}{j_{so}} \int -N + i = N(N_i) - \frac{(N(N_i) - (N_i - 2)(N_i)}{2} - N + i = \frac{N^2}{2} O(N)$$

$$= \frac{N}{j_{so}} \left(N^2 - \frac{N^2}{j_{sin}} + \frac{N}{i_{sin}} + \frac{N}{j_{so}} + \frac{N}{j_{so}} + \frac{N}{j_{so}} + \frac{N}{j_{so}} + \frac{N}{j_{so}} + O(N^2) \right]$$

$$= SOB(N) = MULT(N) = \frac{N^2}{b} + O(N), \quad \frac{N}{b} + O(N^2), \quad \frac{N^3}{b} + O(N^2)$$$$

 $\frac{J(c)}{2}: C(N) = SQRT(N) + \Delta IV(N) + MULT(N) + SUB(N) = \frac{N^3}{3} + O(N^2)$