Prof. Dr. François E. Cellier Department of Computer Science ETH Zurich

May 28, 2013

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

Logarithmic Quantization

Introduction

Introduction II

- A type of models that we haven't dealt with in this class is models that contain delays. We call a set of ordinary differential equations with delays a delay differential equation (DDE) model, and we call algorithms that are suitable for the simulation of DDE models numerical DDE solvers. A QSS-based DDE solver has meanwhile also been developed and shall be discussed.
- Finally, a few remarks shall be offered on the use of QSS-based algorithms in real-time simulations.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII Logarithmic Quantization Introduction

Introduction

This 27th and final presentation of the class on the *Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems* deals with "Chapter 13 of a 12-chapter book," i.e., it discusses a number of issues related to *Quantized State System (QSS) solvers* that were discovered only after the book went to print.

- Until now, we have always controlled the absolute integration error. It may be more robust to control the relative integration error, as this quantity doesn't need to be set for each simulation separately. Hence being able to specify the relative error tolerance makes the software more robust and user-friendly.
- Until now, we have only dealt with non-stiff QSS-based integrators. Yet, we know from the earlier presentations that large systems are almost invariably stiff, and consequently, we shall need also stiff QSS-based integrators. Such algorithms have meanwhile been developed and shall be discussed in this presentation.
- Also of importance are special solvers for dealing with *marginally stable systems*, and indeed, an *F-stable QSS-based solver* has also been developed since the book went to press.

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ のへで

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII Logarithmic Quantization <u>Logarithmic Quantization</u>

Choosing the Quantum Size

Let us suppose that we wish to simulate a system in which a state variable x_1 grows from 0 to 1000, while x_2 grows from 0 to 1.

- ▶ Using QSS, if we use a quantum of $\Delta Q_1 = \Delta Q_2 = 0.1$, variable q_1 will change 10,000 times, while variable q_2 will change only 10 times.
- Using QSS2 or QSS3, the number of steps differs in a less dramatic way, but it still depends on the amplitude of the signals to be integrated.
- Consequently, an appropriate value of the quantum used for each state variable depends on the variability of that state variable.
- Unfortunately, before performing the simulation, we normally do not know, how the state variables will develop over time.

We need some mechanism to adjust the size of the quantum automatically during the simulation in accordance with the values that the state variable assumes over time. Logarithmic Quantization

Logarithmic Quantization

Logarithmic Quantization

We shall set the quantum *proportional to the absolute value of each state*. Also, to avoid problems around zero, we shall limit the minimum quantum value.

Thus, we shall express the quantum size as:

$$\Delta Q_i(t) = \max(\Delta Q_{\mathit{rel}_i} \cdot |x_i(t_k)|, \Delta Q_{\mathit{min}_i})$$

where t_k is the time of the last change of $q_i(t)$.

The parameters Q_{rel} and Q_{min} can be specified for each hysteretic quantized integrator separately.

This idea can be applied to all QSS methods.

4日 + 4日 + 4日 + 4日 + 10 - 900

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

Logarithmic Quantization

Relative Error Control

Perturbed Representation

Recall that the QSS approximation of a linear time-invariant ODE:

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{a}}(t) = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}(t) + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{u}(t)$

can be written as:

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{q}(t) + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{u}(t)$

Defining $\Delta \mathbf{x}(t) \triangleq \mathbf{q}(t) - \mathbf{x}(t)$, we have:

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{x}(t)) + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{u}(t)$

This perturbed representation does not depend on the type of quantization. However, with *logarithmic quantization*, the upper bound of $|\Delta x(t))|$ depends on the state value x(t).

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

Logarithmic Quantization

Logarithmic Quantization II



Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

Logarithmic Quantization

Stability and Error Bound

Assume that matrix $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{-1}$ is Hurwitz, and define:

 $\mathsf{R} \triangleq |\mathsf{V}| \cdot |\mathbb{R}\mathsf{e}(\mathsf{\Lambda})^{-1}\mathsf{\Lambda}| \cdot |\mathsf{V}^{-1}|$

Let ΔQ_{rel} be a diagonal matrix with entries ΔQ_{rel_i} , and let ΔQ_{min} be a column vector with components ΔQ_{min_i} . Then, provided that all eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{R} \cdot \Delta Q_{rel}$ lie inside the unit circle, it can be shown that:

 $|\mathbf{e}(t)| \leq (\mathbf{I}^{(n)} - \mathbf{R} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{Q}_{rel})^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \max(\Delta \mathbf{Q}_{rel} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{max}, \Delta \mathbf{Q}_{min})$

where x_{max} is the column vector of the maximum absolute values reached by each component of the analytical solution $x_a(t)$.

Logarithmic Quantization

Relative Error Control

Logarithmic Quantization and Relative Error Control

- Generally, ΔQ_{rel} is chosen small, which ensures that all eigenvalues of $R \cdot \Delta Q_{rel}$ lie inside the unit circle.
- ▶ In this case, we can approximate $(I^{(n)} R \cdot \Delta Q_{rel})^{-1} \approx I^{(n)}$.
- Also, x_{max} is normally much bigger than ΔQ_{min} .
- Usually, we shall choose $\Delta Q_{rel_i} = tol_{rel}$ for all *i*.

In this case, we obtain:

 $|\mathbf{e}(t)| \leq (\mathbf{I}^{(n)} - \mathbf{R} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{Q}_{rel})^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \max(\Delta \mathbf{Q}_{rel} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{max}, \Delta \mathbf{Q}_{min}) \approx \mathbf{R} \cdot tol_{rel} \cdot |\mathbf{x}_{max}|$

The use of logarithmic quantization yields an intrinsic control of the *relative error*.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods for Stiff Systems LQSS Methods and Stiff Systems

QSS Methods and Stiff Systems

The linear time-invariant system:

 $\dot{x}_1(t) = 0.01 \ x_2(t)$ $\dot{x}_2(t) = -100 \ x_1(t) - 100 \ x_2(t) + 2020$

has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \approx -0.01$ and $\lambda_2 \approx -99.99$, and consequently, the model is stiff.

The QSS method approximates this system by:

 $\dot{x}_1(t) = 0.01 \ q_2(t)$ $\dot{x}_2(t) = -100 \ q_1(t) - 100 \ q_2(t) + 2020$

Let us simulate this system using the QSS solver with initial conditions $x_1(0) = 0$ and $x_2(0) = 20$ and with the quantization $\Delta Q_1 = \Delta Q_2 = 1$.

- < ロ > < 個 > < 注 > < 注 > 注 の < @

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods for Stiff Systems LQSS Methods and Stiff Systems

QSS Methods and Stiff Systems III



・ロト・個ト・ミト・ミト・ミークへ(~

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

QSS Methods and Stiff Systems

QSS Methods and Stiff Systems II



QSS Methods for Stiff Systems

LQSS Methods and Stiff Systems

QSS Methods and Stiff Systems IV

- Stiff systems usually provoke fast oscillations on the QSS solutions.
- Consequently, the number of steps is very large.
- In the simulated example, there were 21 changes in q_1 and 15, 995 changes in q_2 for a final simulation time of $t_f = 500$ seconds.

Evidently, the QSS method is not appropriate for the simulation of stiff systems.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

Backward QSS Method

Backward Quantization



Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

Backward QSS Method

Backward QSS: Basic Idea

How can we prevent oscillations?

- In classical solvers, we had to use future values of state derivatives, i.e., *implicit methods*, in order to obtain *stiff system solvers*.
- Let us try to do the same also in QSS-based methods. We shall choose q_j such that it represents a *future value* of x_j.
- ▶ In QSS, after a step in q_j , we set $q_j(t) = x_j(t)$. Now, we shall set $q_j(t) = x_j(t) \pm \Delta Q_j$ depending on the sign of $\dot{x}_j(t)$.
- ► It may happen that $\dot{x}_j(t)|_{x_j+\Delta Q_j} < 0$ and $\dot{x}_j(t)|_{x_j-\Delta Q_j} > 0$. In that case, we cannot choose a suitable value for q_j . However in that case, there must exist a value $\hat{q}_i \in (x_i \Delta Q_i, x_i + \Delta Q_i)$ for which $\dot{x}_i = 0$.
- ▶ If this happens, we keep $q_j(t) = x_j(t)$, but set $\dot{x}_j(t) = 0$, i.e., no further internal transition gets scheduled for the state variable in question.

This is an implicit algorithm, but it *does not require a Newton iteration*, as $q_j(t)$ can only assume one of two values at each step. The method is called *Backward QSS* (*BQSS*) solver.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods for Stiff Systems LBackward QSS Method

Backward Quantization II

- In non-stiff QSS solvers, the trajectories of q(t) and x(t) at the beginning of the step always move away from each other. The next internal transition is scheduled to occur at time t̂, where |q(t̂⁻) − x(t̂)| = ΔQ. At that time, q(t) is reset to q(t̂⁺) = x(t̂).
- ▶ In stiff QSS solvers, the trajectories of q(t) and x(t) at the beginning of the step always move toward each other. The next internal transition is scheduled to occur at time \hat{t} , where $|q(\hat{t}^-) x(\hat{t})| = 0$. At that time, q(t) is reset to $q(\hat{t}^+) = x(\hat{t}) \pm \Delta Q$.
- In BQSS, if q(t̂⁺) cannot be chosen such that the trajectories move toward each other, then no internal transition is scheduled, i.e., the *time advance function* is set to ∞, and ×(t) is set to ×(t) = 0 irrespective of the current value of the input signal to the hysteretic quantized integrator.
- Higher-order stiff QSS solvers need additional provisions that shall be discussed in due course.

Numerical	Simula	tion of	Dynamic	Systems	XXVII
000 14		c 0.1	× • ·		

Backward QSS Method

Backward QSS: An Example



Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

QSS Methods for Stiff Systems

Backward QSS Method

Backward QSS: An Example II



Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

Backward QSS Method

Backward QSS: An Example



Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

Backward QSS Method

Quantization Functions in BQSS



- BQSS uses a lower and an upper hysteretic quantization function for each state.
- They compute a lower and an upper quantized state, <u>q</u>_i and <u>q</u>_j.
- The quantized state q_j is chosen from them in accordance with the sign of x_j.

└─QSS Methods for Stiff Systems └─Backward QSS Method

BQSS Definition

Given the system:

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t))$

BQSS approximates it as:

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{u}(t)) + \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{f}$

where the components q_j of **q** take the values of either the lower quantized state $\underline{q}_j(t)$ or the upper quantized state $\overline{q}_j(t)$, such that:

$$f_j(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{u}(t)) \cdot (q_j(t) - x_j(t)) > 0$$

 \lor
 $\exists \hat{q}_j \in (\underline{q}_j(t), \overline{q}_j(t)) \Big|_{f_j(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{(j)}(t), \mathbf{u}(t))} = 0$

with $\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{(j)}(t) = [q_1, ..., \hat{q}_j, ..., q_n]^T$.

・ロト・日本・モート ヨー うへぐ

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII QSS Methods for Stiff Systems Backward QSS Method

Algorithm to Obtain q(t)

When a state $x_i(t)$ reaches the quantized state $q_i(t)$, we proceed as follows:

Algorithm:

- 1. We update \underline{q}_i and \overline{q}_i and choose $q_i = \overline{q}_i$ or $q_i = \underline{q}_i$ depending on the sign of $\dot{x}_i(t^-)$.
- 2. We evaluate the functions f_j depending on q_i .
- 3. If some f_j changes its sign:
 - We change q_j according to the new sign of \dot{x}_j .

Otherwise

- End of the Algorithm.
- 4. We evaluate the functions f_j depending on the quantized states q_i that changed and we return to step 3.

Restriction: We do not allow any q_i to change more than once in the process.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods for Stiff Systems LBackward QSS Method

BQSS Definition II

In the BQSS approximation:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{u}(t)) + \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{f}$$

the terms Δf_i will satisfy:

 $\Delta f_j = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } f_j(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{u}(t)) \cdot (q_j - x_j) > 0 \\ -f_j(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{u}(t)), \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへで

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods for Stiff Systems LBackward QSS Method

BQSS and PowerDEVS

▶ The *block diagram structure* of BQSS is the same as that of QSS.



- ▶ The *static functions* are the same as for QSS.
- ► The *hysteretic quantized integrators* are similar, but:
 - they compute q_i in accordance with the algorithm just outlined,
 - when the calculated value of q_i does not agree with the sign of x_i, they act as if x_i = 0.

QSS Methods for Stiff Systems

Backward QSS Method

Stability and Error Bound of BQSS

BQSS is very similar to QSS, but it has an additional *perturbation* term that enforces that $\dot{x}_i = 0$ when a consistent value for q_i cannot be found.

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{q}(t), t] + \Delta \mathbf{f}(t) = \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{x}(t) + \Delta \mathbf{x}(t), t] + \Delta \mathbf{f}(t)$

where $|\Delta x_i| < \Delta Q_i + \varepsilon_i$.

As a consequence:

The global error formula of BQSS for linear time-invariant stable systems now becomes:

 $|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}(t) - \mathbf{x}(t)| < |\mathbf{V}| \cdot |\mathbb{R}\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1}\mathbf{V}^{-1}| \cdot |\mathbf{A}| \cdot (\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{Q} + \varepsilon) ; \quad \forall t > 0$

- \blacktriangleright The hysteresis width ε should now be chosen smaller than the quantum, so it does not introduce unnecessary errors.
- The simulation trajectories are numerically stable for any quantum adopted.

◆□▶ < @ ▶ < 注 ▶ < 注 ▶ 注 の < @</p>

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII QSS Methods for Stiff Systems

Backward QSS Method

Example: Second-order Linear System II

Some remarks:

- As there are no oscillations, the number of events is the division between each signal amplitude and the corresponding quantum.
- Consequently, the number of steps grows inversely proportional to the quantization.
- The simulation reaches a stable situation some time before t = 500 seconds. and after that, the simulation stops, as no further events are being scheduled.
- The theoretical error bound is conservative in this example.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII QSS Methods for Stiff Systems Backward QSS Method

Example: Second-order Linear System





Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

QSS Methods for Stiff Systems

Backward QSS Method

Main Features of BQSS

Advantages:

- **BQSS** solves the problem of *high-frequency oscillations* exhibited when simulating stiff systems using explicit QSS methods.
- BQSS does not require Newton iterations, which permits the efficient numerical simulation of many stiff systems.
- BQSS is also efficient for discontinuity handling.
- BQSS can be used for real-time simulation of stiff systems.

Disadvantages:

- Spurious equilibrium points may appear in nonlinear systems due to the terms Δf_i .
- ▶ The number of steps grows linearly with the accuracy. Unfortunately, we have not found any way to extend the BOSS algorithm to higher orders of approximation accuracy.

Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

LIQSS: Basic Idea

The *Linearly Implicit QSS (LIQSS)* algorithm is based on an idea very similar to that of BQSS.

- **b** Just as in BQSS, we shall choose q_i such that it represents a *future value* of x_i .
- ▶ Just as in BQSS, we set $q_j(t) = x_j(t) \pm \Delta Q_j$ depending on the sign of $\dot{x}_j(t)$.
- ► The main difference with BQSS is that, when we cannot find q_j such that x_j moves towards it, we now attempt to determine the value of \hat{q}_j that makes $\dot{x}_j = 0$, instead of enforcing $\dot{x}_j = 0$ by adding a perturbation term Δf_j .
- **b** The search for \hat{q}_i is done in a linear manner.
- Contrary to BQSS, once we have computed a new value for q_j , no further internal transition will be scheduled, until x_j has advanced by $\pm \Delta Q_j$, even if the sign of \dot{x}_j changes during the step.

<ロ> <西> <西> <百> <百> <百) <百< <百 < <百 < <百 <
 <
 <br/

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

QSS Methods for Stiff Systems

Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

Stability and Error Bound of LIQSS

Given the system:

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t))$

Its LIQSS approximation is governed by the same expression as that of QSS:

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{u}(t)) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t))$

However, q_i can now differ from x_i by an amount of $2 \cdot \Delta Q_i$ (after sign changes in \dot{x}_i).

The properties of LIQSS are almost identical to those of QSS, but the error bound is twice the error bound of QSS.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

LIQSS and PowerDEVS

▶ The *block diagram structure* of LIQSS is the same as that of QSS and BQSS.



- ▶ The *static functions* are the same as for QSS and BQSS.
- ▶ The *hysteretic quantized integrators* are similar, but:
 - before they output a new value for q_i, they estimate the value of the derivative x_i that each of the two options would produce;
 - ▶ if one of them has the correct sign, i.e., $x_i \rightarrow q_i$, that value is chosen;
 - ▶ otherwise, the value \hat{q}_i is estimated that makes $\dot{x}_i = 0$.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

Example: Second-order Linear System







QSS Methods for Stiff Systems

Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

Example: Second-order Linear System II

Some remarks:

- As there are no oscillations, the number of events is the division between each signal amplitude and the corresponding quantum.
- Consequently, the number of steps grows inversely proportional to the quantization.
- The simulation reaches a stable situation some time before t = 500 seconds, and after that, the simulation stops, as no further events are being scheduled.
- The theoretical error bound is conservative in this example.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

Main Features of LIQSS

Advantages:

- LIQSS shares the main advantages of BQSS.
- LIQSS solves the problem of spurious equilibrium points of BQSS.

Disadvantages:

- The number of steps still grows linearly with the accuracy. However, it is possible to obtain *higher-order LIQSS methods*.
- **LIQSS** only looks at the main diagonal of the Jacobian matrix, allowing it sometimes to happen that \dot{x}_j changes its direction without causing a modification of q_j . In such cases, **LIQSS** can provoke oscillations depending on the system structure, i.e., the algorithm may *lose its stiffly-stable nature*.

・ロト・日本・モート ヨー シタの

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

QSS Methods for Stiff Systems

Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

LIQSS2: Basic Idea

- **LIQSS2** is similar to **QSS2**. The quantized variables $q_i(t)$ are *piecewise linear*.
- Each section of $q_i(t)$ starts at $x_i(t) \pm \Delta Q_i$ with a slope of $\dot{q}_i(t) = \dot{x}_i(t)|_{q_i(t)}$.
- ▶ We shall attempt to ensure, just as in the case of LIQSS, that $x_j(t)$ moves toward $q_i(t)$. That is, we demand that $\ddot{x}_i(t) \cdot (q_i(t) x_i(t)) > 0$.
- When this is not possible, we shall use a linear approximation to find the value $\hat{q}_j(t)$ with slope $\dot{q}_j(t) = \dot{x}_j(t)|_{\hat{q}_i(t)}$, for which $\ddot{x}_j(t) = 0$.
- Although this is an implicit algorithm, there is no need for Newton iterations to take place, as only three possible trajectories can be chosen for q_i(t).

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = ■ - のへで

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods for Stiff Systems Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

LIQSS2: Trajectories



QSS Methods for Stiff Systems

Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

LIQSS2 and PowerDEVS

The block diagram structure of LIQSS2 is the same as that of QSS2.



- The static functions are the same as for QSS2.
- ▶ The *hysteretic quantized integrators* are similar, but:
 - before they output a new value for q_i, they estimate the value of the second derivative x_i that each of the two options would produce;
 - if one of them has the correct sign, i.e., $x_i \rightarrow q_i$, that value is chosen;

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

QSS Methods for Stiff Systems

Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

Example: Second-order Linear System



Nu	merica	al Simul	ation of Dynan	ic Systems	S XXVII			
QSS Methods for Stiff Systems								

Stability and Error Bound of LIQSS2

Given the system:

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t))$

Its LIQSS2 approximation is governed by the same expression as that of QSS:

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{u}(t)) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t))$

However, just as in the case of LIQSS, q_i can now differ from x_i by an amount of $2 \cdot \Delta Q_i$ (after sign changes in \ddot{x}_i).

The properties of LIQSS2 are identical to those of LIQSS.

・ロト (個) (目) (目) (日)

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods for Stiff Systems Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

Example: Second-order Linear System II

Some remarks:

- The number of steps grows inversely proportional to the square root of the quantization.
- ▶ The theoretical error bound is conservative in this example.

└─QSS Methods for Stiff Systems └─Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

Main Features of LIQSS2

Advantages:

- LIQSS2 shares all the advantages of LIQSS.
- **LIQSS2** is second-order accurate.
- The number of steps grows with the square root of the accuracy.
- Recent results indicate that LIQSS2 is particularly well suited for simulating power electronics circuits.

Disadvantages:

LIQSS2 only looks at the main diagonal of the Jacobian matrix, allowing it sometimes to happen that x_j changes its direction without causing a modification of q_j. In such cases, LIQSS2 can provoke oscillations depending on the system structure, i.e., the algorithm may *lose its stiffly-stable nature*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII QSS Methods for Stiff Systems Linearly Implicit QSS Methods

Main Features of LIQSS3

Advantages:

- LIQSS3 shares all the advantages of LIQSS2.
- **LIQSS3** is third-order accurate.
- The number of steps grows with the cubic root of the accuracy.
- Recent results indicate that LIQSS3 is particularly well suited for simulating power electronics circuits.

Disadvantages:

LIQSS3 only looks at the main diagonal of the Jacobian matrix, allowing it sometimes to happen that x_j changes its direction without causing a modification of q_j. In such cases, LIQSS3 can provoke oscillations depending on the system structure, i.e., the algorithm may *lose its stiffly-stable nature*.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

LIQSS3: Basic Idea

- **LIQSS3** is similar to **QSS3**. The quantized variables $q_j(t)$ are *piecewise parabolic*.
- Each section of $q_j(t)$ starts at $x_j(t) \pm \Delta Q_j$ with a slope of $\dot{q}_j(t) = \dot{x}_j(t)|_{q_j(t)}$ and a second derivative of $\ddot{q}_j(t) = \ddot{x}_j(t)|_{q_i(t)}$.
- ▶ We shall attempt to ensure, just as in the cases of LIQSS and LIQSS2, that $x_j(t)$ moves toward $q_j(t)$. That is, we demand that $\ddot{x}_j(t) \cdot (q_j(t) x_j(t)) > 0$.
- ▶ When this is not possible, we shall use a linear approximation to find the value $\hat{q}_j(t)$ with slope $\dot{q}_j(t) = \dot{x}_j(t)|_{\hat{q}_j(t)}$ and with second derivative $\ddot{q}_j(t) = \ddot{x}_j(t)|_{\hat{q}_j(t)}$, for which $\ddot{x}_j(t) = 0$.
- Although this is an implicit algorithm, there is no need for Newton iterations to take place, as only three possible trajectories can be chosen for $q_i(t)$.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods for Marginally Stable Systems LQSS and BQSS and Marginally Stable Systems

Marginally Stable Systems

The following system is known as the *harmonic oscillator*.

 $\dot{x}_1(t) = x_2(t)$ $\dot{x}_2(t) = -x_1(t)$

The harmonic oscillator is the simplest marginally stable system.

If we consider, for instance, the initial states $x_1(0) = 4$ and $x_2(0) = 0$, we obtain the analytical solution:

 $x_1(t) = 4\cos(t)$ $x_2(t) = 4\sin(t)$

We already know that *F-stable methods* are needed to properly integrate such systems.

Let us check what happen with QSS and BQSS.

LQSS Methods for Marginally Stable Systems

LQSS and BQSS and Marginally Stable Systems

QSS, BQSS and Marginally Stable Systems



Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

Centered QSS Method

Centered QSS Method

The simplest F-stable time-slicing method is the trapezoidal rule, which combines Forward and Backward Euler. So, it may make sense to try some combination of QSS and BQSS.

Recall that:

- ▶ In QSS, we take q_j as the *last* quantized value intersected by x_j .
- In BQSS, we take q_j as the next quantized value intersected by x_j.

Let us see what happens if we take q_j as the *mean* value between the last and the next quantized values, i.e., if we choose q_j in the middle of the quantization interval. We shall call this new method *Centered QSS (CQSS) solver*.

umerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII	
-QSS Methods for Marginally Stable Systems	
QSS and BQSS and Marginally Stable Systems	

QSS, BQSS and Marginally Stable Systems II

The results are consistent with what we know about classic time-slicing methods:

- The numerical solution with the *forward QSS* method gains energy and generates output trajectories that are numerically unstable, just as *Forward Euler* would.
- The numerical solution with the backward QSS method loses energy and generates output trajectories that are asymptotically stable, just as Backward Euler would.

Evidently, neither of the two methods is suited for the simulation of marginally stable systems.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII QSS Methods for Marginally Stable Systems Centered QSS Method

Centered Quantization



QSS Methods for Marginally Stable Systems

Centered QSS Method

CQSS Simulation of the Harmonic Oscillator



Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

└─QSS Methods for Delay Differential Equations └─DQSS Methods

Delay Differential Equations

Delay differential equations (DDEs) are similar to ODEs, but their right-hand functions also depend explicitly on past state values.

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{x}(t - \tau_1(\mathbf{x}, t)), ..., \mathbf{x}(t - \tau_m(\mathbf{x}, t)), \mathbf{u}(t))$

The *delay functions* $\tau_j(\cdot)$ can be constant, or they can depend on time and/or the state itself. Instead of an initial state, DDEs need an *initial history* to be solvable.

- DDEs require numerical integration algorithms similar to those for ODEs.
- The algorithms are however more complex, as they must look backward in time in order to compute the state derivatives.
- From the point of view of the numerical integration, DDEs have some features in common with discontinuous ODEs.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII —QSS Methods for Marginally Stable Systems —Centered QSS Method

CQSS Features

- CQSS preserves the periodical behavior of the harmonic oscillator.
- It has been proven that CQSS preserves the geometrical properties of general Hamiltonian (conservative, frictionless) systems: the method is symmetric, symplectic, and it preserves ρ-reversibility.
- Just like QSS and BQSS, the method does not call for Newton iterations. In fact, it is the only known solver that exhibits *F-stability* while terminating the computations associated with a single step in a fixed amount of real time that can be calculated beforehand.
- Consequently, CQSS is well suited for real-time simulation of marginally stable systems with low to modest accuracy requirements.
- Unfortunately, CQSS is only first-order accurate. There are reasons to believe that *higher-order CQSS methods* cannot be constructed.
- It is certainly possible to design higher-order QSS-based solvers that are decently well suited for the simulation of marginally stable systems, e.g., by creating a *cyclic method*, in which steps of QSS_i toggle with steps of LIQSS_i. Unfortunately, these methods will not preserve the geometric properties of CQSS in a strict sense, and they will not be truly F-stable.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods for Delay Differential Equations LDQSS Methods

DDEs and QSS Methods

Recently obtained results show that QSS methods provide an efficient and comparatively simple solution to the numerical integration of DDEs.

Given a DDE:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{x}(t - \tau_1(\mathbf{x}, t)), \dots, \mathbf{x}(t - \tau_m(\mathbf{x}, t)), \mathbf{u}(t))$$

The so-called DQSS methods (DQSS1, DQSS2, or DQSS3) approximate it as:

 $\dot{\mathsf{x}}(t) = \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{q}(t), \mathsf{q}(t - \tau_1(\mathsf{q}, t)), ..., \mathsf{q}(t - \tau_m(\mathsf{q}, t)), \mathsf{u}(t))$

where the components of ${\bf q}$ and ${\bf x}$ are related by quantization functions of the given approximation order.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII QSS Methods for Delay Differential Equations DOSS Methods

DQSS and PowerDEVS



The *block diagram of a DQSS* contains:

- hysteretic quantized integrators to compute q_i(t),
- static functions to compute ^x_i(t),

and also:

- static functions to compute $\tau_j(t)$, and
- a new type of *delay blocks* that compute $q_i(t - \tau_j(t))$ given $q_i(t)$ and $\tau_j(t)$.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(D)、(C)

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII

QSS Methods for Delay Differential Equations

The Delay Block

The inputs of the *delay block* y(t) and $\tau(t)$ and the output $d(t) = y(t - \tau(t))$ are *piecewise polynomial trajectories.* The maximum order of the polynomials depends on the approximation order of the method.

The first input y(t) will be represented as a sequence of events at times t^y_k, carrying values y_{0,k}, y_{1,k}, y_{2,k}, so that:

 $y(t) = y_{0,k} + y_{1,k} \cdot (t - t_k^y) + y_{2,k} \cdot (t - t_k^y)^2; \text{ for } t_k^y < t < t_{k+1}^y$

• The second input $\tau(t)$ will be represented as a sequence of events at times t_j^{τ} , carrying values $\tau_{0,j}$, $\tau_{1,j}$, $\tau_{2,j}$, so that:

 $au(t) = au_{0,j} + au_{1,j} \cdot (t - t_j^ au) + au_{2,j} \cdot (t - t_j^ au)^2; \ \ ext{for} \ t_j^ au < t < t_{j+1}^ au$

► The *DEVS delay model* computes the corresponding event sequences of $d(t) = y(t - \tau(t))$.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods for Delay Differential Equations LDQSS Methods

DQSS and PowerDEVS II

Each of the **PowerDEVS** blocks can be implemented as a *DEVS model*.

- ▶ We already have seen the DEVS models for the *hysteretic quantized integrators*.
- We also have seen the DEVS models for static functions.
- We only need to still build a DEVS model for the *delay block*.



Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods for Delay Differential Equations LDQSS Methods

The Delay Algorithm



・ロト ・日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ うくぐ

^{▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲}三▶ ▲三▶ 三回 めんの

QSS Methods for Delay Differential Equations

DQSS Methods

Theoretical Properties of DQSS

Given a *DDE with constant delays* of the form:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \mathbf{x}(t - \tau_{i})$$

where the analytical solution $\mathbf{x}(t) = 0$ corresponding to the trivial initial history is asymptotically stable, then:

- The absolute global error committed by any DQSS method is bounded for any quanta adopted.
- ▶ The error goes to zero when the quantization goes to zero.

There is a similar property for *general nonlinear DDE models with state and time dependent delays.* In that case, a stronger condition (*input-to-state stability*) is required for the original system, and the global error boundedness is only guaranteed for sufficiently small quanta.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへぐ

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods and Real Time Simulation LQSS Methods in Real Time

QSS Methods in Real Time

QSS methods have features that make them particularly suitable for *running simulations in real time*:

- They detect and handle discontinuities without iterations.
- They can integrate many stiff systems in predetermined time, i.e., without requiring Newton iterations.
- If real-time speed cannot be attained, accuracy can be sacrificed by increasing the quantum. This would only affect accuracy, whereas stability will be preserved.
- They offer *dense output*. Thus, the simulation outputs can be communicated at any instant of time.
- These algorithms are *naturally asynchronous*. Hence distributing QSS simulations across a *parallel multi-processor architecture* is trivial.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods for Delay Differential Equations LDQSS Methods

DQSS Features

- The usage of QSS methods for DDE simulations provides highly efficient algorithms that often improve on the results obtained by classic time-slicing methods.
- While conventional methods for ODEs must be almost entirely reformulated to deal with DDEs, QSS methods reduce the problem of the delays to polynomial manipulations locally managed by a new atomic DEVS block. The static functions and hysteretic quantized integrators remain unchanged.
- DQSS solvers have strong theoretical properties regarding stability, convergence, and accuracy that guarantee the correctness of the results.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods and Real Time Simulation LPowerDEVS in Real Time

PowerDEVS in Real Time

A version of **PowerDEVS** runs on a *real-time operative system* called **Linux RTAI**, with the following features:

- Simulations can be synchronized with the real-time clock with a precision of roughly 1µs.
- PowerDEVS-RT offers special modules to detect and process hardware interrupts.
- PowerDEVS-RT permits to communicate with input and output hardware ports in a very simple fashion.
- PowerDEVS-RT has been successfully used in the *real-time simulation of power* electronic converters, working at frequencies where most real-time simulation tools fail.

└─QSS Methods and Real Time Simulation └─Conclusions

Conclusions

- A broad palette of different QSS-based algorithms has been presented in the last three presentations.
- QSS-based algorithms have meanwhile proven themselves to offer an *interesting* and *innovative new approach to numerical ODE*, *DAE*, and *DDE solutions* for many different problems.
- QSS-based algorithms can be *highly competitive* when used for applications with *low to average accuracy requirements*. Problems calling for high-order algorithms cannot be dealt with effectively using QSS-based solvers.
- Already QSS4 is rarely more cost-effective than QSS3, because the increased overhead eats into the benefit to be reaped from the larger step sizes. Already QSS5 will require iterations in every step, because a fifth-order polynomial will have to be solved, which can only be done iteratively.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods and Real Time Simulation LConclusions

Conclusions II

- QSS-based algorithms are of particular interest for the *simulation of systems exhibiting frequent discontinuities*, as state events can be handled much more efficiently by state-quantization algorithms than by time-slicing algorithms.
- The benefit of QSS-based solvers becomes even more pronounced when the discontinuous system to be simulated is furthermore stiff. A typical application of stiff discontinuous systems are models of switching power electronic circuits.
- QSS-based solvers are very promising for the *simulation of large-scale models*, as they exploit the sparsity inherent in these models naturally and directly.
- QSS-based solvers perform equally well as classical solvers when dealing with small-scale models of systems without discontinuities. Yet in those cases, there is nothing that the QSS solvers can exploit that would make them more attractive than the much simpler classical ODE solvers.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 のへで

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへぐ

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII LQSS Methods and Real Time Simulation <u>Conclusions</u>

Conclusions III

- QSS-based algorithms are of particular interest for real-time simulation.
- Since QSS solvers are naturally asynchronous, they can be easily distributed over a multi-processor architecture.
- The communication band-width is minimized, because communication between two processors only takes place at event times. As long as not much is happening, there is no need to communicate at all. Thus, also the communication occurs in a naturally asynchronous fashion.
- The communication band-width is furthermore minimized, because only a single bit needs to be communicated between processors to indicate a state change. A message of "1" means that the sending state incremented its level, whereas a message of "0" means that it decremented its level. As long as the state variable doesn't change its level, it doesn't send an output event through its output channel.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII QSS Methods and Real Time Simulation <u>Leferences</u>

References

- 1. Bergero, F., E. Kofman, and F.E. Cellier (2013), "A Novel Parallelization Technique for DEVS Simulation of Continuous and Hybrid Systems," *Simulation*, in press.
- Migoni, G., M. Bortolotto, E. Kofman, and F.E. Cellier (2013), "Linearly Implicit Quantization-based Integration Methods for Stiff Ordinary Differential Equations," *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 35(6), pp.118-136.
- Migoni, G., E. Kofman, and F.E. Cellier (2012), "Quantization-based New Integration Methods for Stiff ODEs," *Simulation*, 88(4), pp.387-407.
- Castro, R., E. Kofman, and F.E. Cellier (2011), "Quantization-based Integration Methods for Delay Differential Equations," *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, **19**(1), pp.314-336.
- Kofman, E., F.E. Cellier, and G. Migoni (2010), "Continuous System Simulation and Control," in: Discrete Event Simulation and Modeling: Theory and Applications (Model-Based Design), (G.A. Wainer and P.J. Mosterman, eds.), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp.75-107.

```
Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems XXVII
```

References

References II

- 1. Bergero, Federico (2012), *Simulaciones de Sistemas Híbridos por Eventos Discretos: Tiempo Real y Paralelismo*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Faculty of Exact Sciences, Engineering, and Land-surveying, National University of Rosario, Argentina.
- Migoni, Gustavo (2010), Simulación por Cuantificación de Sistemas Stiff, Ph.D. Dissertation, Faculty of Exact Sciences, Engineering, and Land-surveying, National University of Rosario, Argentina.