

Similarity Transformation:

Given a SISO system in the time domain:

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \dot{\underline{x}} = \underline{A} \cdot \underline{x} + \underline{b} \cdot u \\ y = \underline{c}' \cdot \underline{x} + d \cdot u \end{array} \right| ; \quad \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

with the transfer function

$$G(s) = \underline{c}' (sI - \underline{A})^{-1} \underline{b} + d$$

$G(s)$ is at least proper, i.e.

$$G(s) = \frac{b_n s^n + b_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + b_1 s + b_0}{s^n + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 s + a_0}$$

The transfer function is unique, i.e., a system of n^{th} order with a given I/O behavior is characterized by exactly one transfer function.

The number of parameters of the transfer function is $(2n+1)$, i.e., there are $(2n+1)$ degrees of freedom.

The number of parameters of the state-space description is $n^2 + 2n + 1 > 2n + 1$, i.e., we expect that the state-space description is not unique. There are many different state-space descriptions of the same system (the same I/O behavior).

Given a non-singular matrix

$$T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

we can introduce a new state vector:

$$\underline{z} = T \cdot \underline{x}$$

For any given T , if \underline{x} is known, \underline{z} is known as well.

It is also true that if \underline{z} is known, \underline{x} is known.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1} \cdot \underline{z} &= T^{-1} \cdot (T \cdot \underline{x}) \\ &= (T^{-1} \cdot T) \cdot \underline{x} \\ &= I \cdot \underline{x} = \underline{x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\underline{x} = T^{-1} \cdot \underline{z}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\underline{x}} = T^{-1} \cdot \dot{\underline{z}}$$

Plug into state-space description:

$$T^{-1} \cdot \dot{\underline{z}} = A \cdot (T^{-1} \cdot \underline{z}) + \underline{b} \cdot u$$

Expand with T :

$$T \cdot (T^{-1} \dot{\underline{w}}) = T \cdot [A \cdot T^{-1} \underline{w}] + T \cdot (\underline{b} \cdot u)$$
$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{(T \cdot T^{-1})}_{H(\underline{w})} \cdot \dot{\underline{w}} = (T \cdot A \cdot T^{-1}) \cdot \underline{w} + (T \cdot \underline{b}) \cdot u$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \begin{array}{l} \dot{\underline{w}} = (T \cdot A \cdot T^{-1}) \underline{w} + (T \cdot \underline{b}) \cdot u \\ \underline{y} = (\underline{c}' \cdot T^{-1}) \cdot \underline{w} + \underline{d} \cdot u \end{array} \right|$$

is a new state-space description with the same structure as the previous one:

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \dot{\underline{w}} = \hat{A} \cdot \underline{w} + \hat{\underline{b}} \cdot u \\ \underline{y} = \hat{\underline{c}}' \cdot \underline{w} + \hat{\underline{d}} \cdot u \end{array} \right| ; \quad \underline{w} \in \mathcal{R}^n$$

where:

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \hat{A} = T \cdot A \cdot T^{-1} \\ \hat{b} = T \cdot b \\ \hat{c}' = c' \cdot T^{-1} \\ \hat{d} = d \end{array} \right|$$

Any non-singular matrix T leads to a new (similar) state-space description.

This is called similarity transformation.

The transfer function does not change. Proof:

$$\hat{G}(s) = \hat{c}' \cdot (sI - \hat{A})^{-1} \hat{b} + \hat{d}$$

-217-

$$\begin{aligned} &= \underline{C}' \cdot T^{-1} \cdot (sI - T \cdot A \cdot T^{-1})^{-1} \cdot T \cdot \underline{b} + d \\ &= \underline{C}' \cdot T^{-1} \cdot (s \cdot T \cdot T^{-1} - T \cdot A \cdot T^{-1})^{-1} \cdot T \cdot \underline{b} + d \\ &= \underline{C}' \cdot T^{-1} \cdot (T \cdot sI \cdot T^{-1} - T \cdot A \cdot T^{-1})^{-1} \cdot T \cdot \underline{b} + d \\ &= \underline{C}' \cdot T^{-1} \cdot [T(sI - A)T^{-1}]^{-1} \cdot T \cdot \underline{b} + d \\ &= \underbrace{\underline{C}' \cdot T^{-1} \cdot T}_{H(s)} \cdot (sI - A)^{-1} \cdot \underbrace{T^{-1} \cdot T \cdot \underline{b}}_{Y(s)} + d \\ &= \underline{C}' \cdot (sI - A)^{-1} \cdot \underline{b} + d \\ &\equiv G(s) \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

Duality Transformation:

Given a SISO system with a transfer function $G(s)$ with real coefficients. Obviously:

$$G'(s) \equiv G(s)$$

[Notice: This is only true for SISO systems!]

$$\begin{aligned} G'(s) &= [\underline{c}' \cdot (sI - A)^{-1} \cdot \underline{b} + d]^{-1} \\ &= [\underline{c}' \cdot (sI - A)^{-1} \cdot \underline{b}]^{-1} + d^{-1} \\ &= \underline{b}' \cdot [(sI - A)^{-1}]^{-1} \cdot (\underline{c}')^{-1} + d^{-1} \\ &= \underline{b}' \cdot [(sI - A)']^{-1} \cdot \underline{c} + d^{-1} \\ &= \underline{b}' \cdot (sI - A')^{-1} \cdot \underline{c} + d^{-1} \\ &= \underline{b}' \cdot (sI - A')^{-1} \cdot \underline{c} + d \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the state-space description with:

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \hat{A} = A' \\ \hat{B} = B' \\ \hat{C} = C' \\ \hat{D} = D \end{array} \right|$$

is similar to the original state-space description. This is called the dual representation.

Example:

$$G(s) = \frac{2s+7}{s^3+5s^2+3s+9}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \begin{array}{l} \dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -9 & -3 & -5 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} u \\ y = [7 \quad 2 \quad 0] x \end{array} \right|$$

- 220 -

is a state-space representation.
This is a special representation
called the Controller-canonical
form.

The dual representation is:

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -9 \\ 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u \\ y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \end{array} \right|$$

This is also a special
representation. It is called the
observer-canonical form.

Warning, Only if there is no pole-zero
cancellation of G(s), will the
dual representation be related
to the original one by a
similarity transform.

⇒ cf. ECE 441