# Improved Deterministic Distributed Matching via Rounding

Manuela Fischer

ETH Zurich

# LOCAL Model Linial [FOCS'87]

- undirected graph G = (V, E), n nodes, maximum degree  $\Delta$
- synchronous message-passing rounds
- unbounded message size
- unbounded computation
- Round Complexity:

number of rounds to solve the problem

round complexity of a problem characterizes its locality



# LOCAL Model Linial [FOCS'87]

- undirected graph G = (V, E), n nodes, maximum degree  $\Delta$
- synchronous message-passing rounds
- unbounded message size
- unbounded computation
- Round Complexity:

number of rounds to solve the problem

round complexity of a problem characterizes its locality



# LOCAL Model Linial [FOCS'87]

- undirected graph G = (V, E), n nodes, maximum degree  $\Delta$
- synchronous message-passing rounds
- unbounded message size
- unbounded computation
- Round Complexity: number of rounds to solve the problem

round complexity of a problem characterizes its locality



# Classic LOCAL Graph Problems



#### Question from the 1980s by Linial:

Are there efficient (poly log *n* rounds) **deterministic** algorithms for these problems?

# *Ghaffari, Kuhn, Maus* [STOC'17] **Completeness of Deterministic Rounding**

# Ghaffari, Kuhn, Maus [STOC'17] Completeness of Deterministic Rounding

Efficient **deterministic rounding** is **the only obstacle** for efficient deterministic LOCAL graph algorithms.

Rounding:

Turning fractional values into integral ones while approximately preserving some linear constraints.

# *Our Contribution:* **Deterministic Rounding for Matching**

# Our Contribution: Deterministic Rounding for Matching

Matching admits an **efficient deterministic algorithm** because matching admits **efficient deterministic rounding**.

- first deterministic LOCAL rounding method
- not only improving over state of the art of matching algorithms, but (hopefully) step in direction of solving all classic LOCAL problems
- extension led to first efficient  $(2\Delta 1)$ -edge-coloring algorithm *F., Ghaffari, Kuhn* [FOCS'17]

# **Our Results**

- Maximal Matching
- Randomized Maximal Matching
- $(2 + \varepsilon)$  Approximate Maximum Matching
- $(2 + \varepsilon)$  Approximate Maximum Weighted Matching

 $\begin{array}{l}O(\log^2 \Delta \cdot \log n)\\O(\log^3 \log n + \log \Delta)\end{array}$ 

$$\begin{split} &O\left(\log^2\Delta\cdot\log\,\frac{1}{\epsilon}+\log^*n\right)\\ &O\left(\log^2\Delta\cdot\log\,\frac{1}{\epsilon}+\log^*n\right) \end{split}$$

- $(2 + \varepsilon)$  Approximate Maximum (Weighted) **B-Matching**  $O\left(\log^2 \Delta \cdot \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \log^* n\right)$
- ε Maximal Matching
- $(2 + \varepsilon)$  Approximate Minimum Edge Dominating Set
- $O\left(\log^2 \Delta \cdot \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$  $O\left(\log^2 \Delta \cdot \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$

# **Our Results**

*O*(log<sup>4</sup> *n*) *Hańćkowiak, Karoński, Panconesi* [SODA'98, PODC'99]  $O(\Delta + \log^* n)$ Panconesi, Rizzi [DIST'01]

- Maximal Matching
- Randomized Maximal Matching
- $(2 + \varepsilon)$  Approximate Maximum Matching
- $(2 + \varepsilon)$  Approximate Maximum Weighted Matching

 $O(\log^2 \Delta \cdot \log n)$  $O(\log^3 \log n + \log \Delta)$ 

$$O\left(\log^2 \Delta \cdot \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \log^* n\right)$$
$$O\left(\log^2 \Delta \cdot \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \log^* n\right)$$

- $(2 + \varepsilon)$  Approximate Maximum (Weighted) **B-Matching**  $O\left(\log^2 \Delta \cdot \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \log^* n\right)$
- ε Maximal Matching
- $(2 + \epsilon)$  Approximate Minimum Edge Dominating Set
- $O\left(\log^2 \Delta \cdot \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$  $O\left(\log^2 \Delta \cdot \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$

 $O(\log^2 \Delta)$  rounds

 $O(\log \Delta)$  rounds

#### **II)** Rounding Fractional Bipartite Matching

 $O(\log^2 \Delta)$  rounds, O(1) loss

 $O(\log \Delta)$  rounds







# $O(\log \Delta)$ rounds



Fractional Maximum Matching
$$\max \sum_{e \in E} x_e$$
  
 $value of v$  $s.t.$  $\sum_{e \in E(v)} x_e \leq 1$   
 $x_e \in [0,1]$ for all  $v \in V$  $x_e \in [0,1]$ 

# LOCAL Greedy Algorithm $x_e = 2^{-\lceil \log \Delta \rceil}$ for all $e \in E$ repeat until all edges are blocked mark half-tight nodes block its edges double value of unblocked edges



Fractional Maximum Matching
$$\max \sum_{e \in E} x_e$$
  
value of v $s.t.$  $\sum_{e \in E(v)} x_e \leq 1$   
 $x_e \in [0,1]$ for all  $v \in V$  $x_e \in [0,1]$ 

LOCAL Greedy Algorithm
$x_e = 2^{-\lceil \log \Delta \rceil}$ for all $e \in E$
repeat until all edges are blocked
mark half-tight nodes
block its edges
double value of unblocked edges



Fractional Maximum Matching
$\max \sum_{e \in E} x_e$ value of v
s.t. $\sum_{e \in E(v)} x_e \le 1$ for all $v \in V$
$x_e \in [0,1]$ for all $e \in E$
v is half-tight if its value is $\geq \frac{1}{2}$
LOCAL Greedy Algorithm
$x_e = 2^{-\lceil \log \Delta \rceil}$ for all $e \in E$
repeat until all edges are blocked
mark half-tight nodes
block its edges
double value of unblocked edges



Fractional Maximum Matching
$\max \sum_{e \in E} x_e$ value of v
s.t. $\sum_{e \in E(v)} x_e \le 1$ for all $v \in V$
$x_e \in [0,1]$ for all $e \in E$
v is half-tight if its value is $\geq \frac{1}{2}$
LOCAL Greedy Algorithm
$x_e = 2^{-\lceil \log \Delta \rceil}$ for all $e \in E$
repeat until all edges are blocked
mark half-tight nodes
block its edges
double value of unblocked edges



Fractional Maximum Matching
$\max \sum_{e \in E} x_e$ value of v
s.t. $\sum_{e \in E(v)} x_e \le 1$ for all $v \in V$
$x_e \in [0,1]$ for all $e \in E$
<b>v</b> is half-tight if its value is $\geq \frac{1}{2}$
LOCAL Greedy Algorithm
$x_e = 2^{-\lceil \log \Delta \rceil}$ for all $e \in E$
repeat until all edges are blocked
mark half-tight nodes
block its edges
double value of unblocked edges















 $O(\log \Delta)$  rounds

## **II)** Rounding Fractional Bipartite Matching

 $O(\log^2 \Delta)$  rounds, O(1) loss

 $O(\log^2 \Delta)$  rounds







## **II)** Rounding Fractional Bipartite Matching

# $O(\log^2 \Delta)$ rounds, O(1) loss







using Locally Balanced Splitting, inspired by Hańćkowiak, Karoński, Panconesi [SODA'98,PODC'99]


Iterated Factor-2-Rounding using Locally Balanced Splitting

### **Iterated Factor-2-Rounding using Locally Balanced Splitting**

#### **Locally Balanced Splitting:**

2-edge-coloring so that every node roughly balanced



### Iterated Factor-2-Rounding using Locally Balanced Splitting

#### **Locally Balanced Splitting:**

2-edge-coloring so that every node roughly balanced



## Iterated Factor-2-Rounding using Locally Balanced Splitting

#### **Locally Balanced Splitting:**

2-edge-coloring so that every node roughly balanced













(i.e., **perfect rouding**)



no constraint violated & no loss in total value (i.e., **perfect rouding**)



no constraint violated & no loss in total value (i.e., **perfect rouding**)







(i.e., **perfect rouding**)



no constraint violated & no loss in total value (i.e., **perfect rouding**)



(i.e., **perfect rouding**)







no constraint violated & no loss in total value (i.e., **perfect rouding**)



no constraint violated & no loss in total value (i.e., **perfect rouding**)



Perfect Splitting not possible in case of...











### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle alternate

### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle alternate



### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle alternate



### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle alternate



### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle alternate



### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle alternate



### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle alternate



#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle



# LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

- A) **Short cycles** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 
  - alternate
- B) Long cycles
  - chop at length  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$
  - set boundary to 0
  - alternate **I** in between

#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle



# LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

- A) **Short cycles** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 
  - alternate
- B) Long cycles
  - chop at length  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$
  - set boundary to 0
  - alternate **I** in between


#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle



### LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

- A) **Short cycles** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 
  - alternate
- B) Long cycles
  - chop at length  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$
  - set boundary to 0
  - alternate **I** in between



#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle

alternate 🔳

### LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

- A) **Short cycles** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 
  - alternate
- B) Long cycles
  - chop at length  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$
  - set boundary to 0
  - alternate **E** in between



#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle



### LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

- A) **Short cycles** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 
  - alternate
- B) Long cycles
  - chop at length  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$
  - set boundary to 0
  - alternate **E** in between



#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle



### LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

- A) **Short cycles** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 
  - alternate
- B) Long cycles
  - chop at length  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$
  - set boundary to 0
  - alternate **E** in between

#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle



### LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

- A) **Short cycles** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 
  - alternate
- B) Long cycles
  - chop at length  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$
  - set boundary to 0
  - alternate **I** in between



#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle



### LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

- A) **Short cycles** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 
  - alternate
- B) Long cycles
  - chop at length  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$
  - set boundary to 0
  - alternate **I** in between



#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle

alternate 🔳

### LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

- A) **Short cycles** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 
  - alternate
- B) Long cycles
  - chop at length  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$
  - set boundary to 0
  - alternate **E** in between



#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle

alternate **E** 

### LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

- A) **Short cycles** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 
  - alternate
- B) Long cycles
  - chop at length  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$
  - set boundary to 0
  - alternate **E** in between



#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle

alternate

### LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

- A) **<u>Short cycles</u>** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 
  - alternate
- B) Long cycles



loss



#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle alternate

### LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

- A) **Short cycles** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 
  - alternate
- B) Long cycles

chop<sup>\*</sup>at length  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$ loss set boundary to 0





\* by Hańćkowiak, Karoński, Panconesi [SODA'98,PODC'99] in  $O(\log \Delta)$ 

#### Sequential Perfect Splitting\*

Repeat until all edges colored pick arbitrary cycle alternate

### LOCAL Almost-Perfect Splitting\*

Decompose into edge-disjoint cycles In parallel, for all cycles

A) **<u>Short cycles</u>** of length  $O(\log \Delta)$ 

alternate



set boundary to 0  $\Theta\left(\frac{1}{\log \Delta}\right)$  loss alternate  $\square$  in between



\* by Hańćkowiak, Karoński, Panconesi [SODA'98,PODC'99] in  $O(\log \Delta)$ 

#### Over all $O(\log \Delta)$ rounding iterations, total loss still constant!

# Summary

# **Deterministic LOCAL Complexity of Matching**

- $0(\log^2 \Delta \cdot \log n)$  for Maximal Matching
- $O(\log^2 \Delta + \log^* n)$  for constant-approximate Matching
  - exponentially improving over  $O(\Delta + \log^* n)$ Panconesi, Rizzi [DIST'01]
  - close to  $\Omega\left(\frac{\log \Delta}{\log \log \Delta} + \log^* n\right)$ Kuhn, Moscibroda, Wattenhofer [PODC'04]

Linial [FOCS'87]

# **Deterministic Rounding**

- First efficient deterministic rounding method
- Rounding as the only obstacle for efficient deterministic LOCAL graph algorithms. *Ghaffari, Kuhn, Maus* [STOC'17]
- First efficient deterministic (2Δ 1)-Edge-Coloring using rounding for hypergraph matching
  F., Ghaffari, Kuhn [FOCS'17]

# **Open Problems**

- Prove or disprove  $\Omega(\log n)$  for Maximal Matching.
- **Conjecture** *Göös, Hirvonen, Suomela* [PODC'14] No  $o(\Delta) + O(\log^* n)$  algorithm for Maximal Matching.

- Rounding in  $O(\log \Delta)$  instead of  $O(\log^2 \Delta)$ ?
- Devise a more general deterministic rounding method.
- Linial's Question from the 1980s: Is there an efficient deterministic algorithm for MIS?