## Lecture 10: Graph Sparsification I

Lecturer: Mohsen Ghaffari

In this lecture and the next, we will look at graph sparsification techniques. Given a simple, unweighted, undirected graph $G$ with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges, can we sparsify $G$ by ignoring some edges such that certain desirable properties still hold? In this lecture, we will look at preserving distances.

## 1 Preserving distances

We will consider simple, unweighted and undirected graphs $G$. For any pair of vertices $u, v \in G$, denote the shortest path between them by $P_{u, v}$. Then, the distance between $u$ and $v$ in graph $G$, denoted by $d_{G}(u, v)$, is simply the length of shortest path $P_{u, v}$ between them.

Definition $1((\alpha, \beta)$-spanners). Consider a graph $G=(V, E)$ with $|V|=n$ vertices and $|E|=m$ edges. For given $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$, an $(\alpha, \beta)$-spanner is a subgraph $G^{\prime}=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ of $G$, where $E^{\prime} \subseteq E$, such that

$$
d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G^{\prime}}(u, v) \leq \alpha \cdot d_{G}(u, v)+\beta
$$

Remark The first inequality is because $G^{\prime}$ has less edges than $G$. The second inequality upper bounds how much the distances "blow up" in the sparser graph $G^{\prime}$.

For an $(\alpha, \beta)$-spanner, $\alpha$ is called the multiplicative stretch of the spanner and $\beta$ is called the additive stretch of the spanner. One would then like to construct spanners with small $\left|E^{\prime}\right|$ and stretch factors. An $(\alpha, 0)$-spanner is called a $\alpha$-multiplicative spanner, and a $(1, \beta)$-spanner is called a $\beta$-additive spanner. We shall first look at $\alpha$-multiplicative spanners, then $\beta$-additive spanners in a systematic fashion:

1. State the result with respect to the number of edges and the stretch factor
2. Give the construction
3. Bound the total number of edges $\left|E^{\prime}\right|$
4. Prove that the stretch factor holds

Remark One way to prove the existence of an $(\alpha, \beta)$-spanner is to use the probabilistic method: Instead of giving an explicit construction, one designs a random process and argues that the probability that the spanner existing is strictly larger than 0 . However, this may be somewhat unsatisfying as such proofs do not usually yield a usable construction. On the other hand, the randomized constructions shown later are explicit and will yield a spanner with high probability ${ }^{1}$.

## 1.1 $\alpha$-multiplicative spanners

Let us first state a fact regarding the girth of a graph $G$. The girth of a graph $G$, denoted $g(G)$, is defined as the length of the shortest cycle in $G$. Suppose $g(G)>2 k$, then for any vertex $v$, the subgraph formed by the $k$-hop neighbourhood of $v$ is a tree with distinct vertices. This is because the $k$-hop neighbourhood of $v$ cannot have a cycle since $g(G)>2 k$.

[^0]

Theorem 2. $\left[A D D^{+} 93\right]$ For a fixed $k \geq 1$, every graph $G$ on $n$ vertices has a $(2 k-1)$-multiplicative spanner with $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{1+1 / k}\right)$ edges.

Proof.

## Construction

1. Initialize $E^{\prime}=\emptyset$
2. For $e=(u, v) \in E$ (in arbitrary order):

If $d_{G^{\prime}}(u, v) \geq 2 k$ currently, add $(u, v)$ into $E^{\prime}$.
Otherwise, ignore it.

Number of edges We claim that $\left|E^{\prime}\right| \in \mathcal{O}\left(n^{1+1 / k}\right)$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\left|E^{\prime}\right|>2 n^{1+1 / k}$. Let $G^{\prime \prime}=\left(V^{\prime \prime}, E^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be a graph obtained by iteratively removing vertices with degree $\leq n^{1 / k}$ from $G^{\prime}$. By construction, $\left|E^{\prime \prime}\right|>n^{1+1 / k}$ since at most $n \cdot n^{1 / k}$ edges are removed. Observe the following:

- $g\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq g\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq 2 k+1$, since girth does not decrease with fewer edges.
- Every vertex in $G^{\prime \prime}$ has degree $\geq n^{1 / k}+1$, by construction.
- Pick an arbitrary vertex $v \in V^{\prime \prime}$ and look at its $k$-hop neighbourhood.

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & \geq\left|V^{\prime \prime}\right| & & \text { By construction } \\
& \geq|\{v\}|+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\left\{u \in V^{\prime \prime}: d_{G^{\prime \prime}}(u, v)=i\right\}\right| & & \text { If we only look at } k \text {-hop neighbourhood from } v \\
& \geq 1+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(n^{1 / k}+1\right)\left(n^{1 / k}\right)^{i-1} & & \text { Since vertices are distinct and have degree } \geq n^{1 / k}+1 \\
& =1+\left(n^{1 / k}+1\right) \frac{\left(n^{1 / k}\right)^{k}-1}{n^{1 / k}-1} & & \text { Sum of geometric series } \\
& >1+(n-1) & & \text { Since }\left(n^{1 / k}+1\right)>\left(n^{1 / k}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=n
$$

This is a contradiction since we showed $n>n$. Hence, $\left|E^{\prime}\right| \leq 2 n^{1+1 / k} \in \mathcal{O}\left(n^{1+1 / k}\right)$.
Stretch factor For $e=(u, v) \in E, d_{G^{\prime}}(u, v) \leq(2 k-1) \cdot d_{G}(u, v)$ since we only leave $e$ out of $E^{\prime}$ if the distance is at most the stretch factor at the point of considering $e$. For any $u, v \in V$, let $P_{u, v}$ be the shortest path between $u$ and $v$ in $G$. Say, $P_{u, v}=\left(u, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}, v\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{G^{\prime}}(u, v) & \leq d_{G^{\prime}}\left(u, w_{1}\right)+\cdots+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(w_{k}, v\right) & & \text { Upper bounded by simulating } P_{u, v} \text { in } G^{\prime} \\
& \leq(2 k-1) \cdot d_{G}\left(u, w_{1}\right)+\cdots+(2 k-1) \cdot d_{G}\left(w_{k}, v\right) & & \text { Apply edge stretch to each edge } \\
& =(2 k-1) \cdot\left(d_{G}\left(u, w_{1}\right)+\cdots+d_{G}\left(w_{k}, v\right)\right) & & \text { Rearrange } \\
& =(2 k-1) \cdot d_{G}(u, v) & & \text { Definition of } P_{u, v}
\end{aligned}
$$

Conjecture 1. [Erd64] For a fixed $k \geq 1$, there exists a family of graphs on $n$ vertices with girth at least $2 k+1$ and $\Omega\left(n^{1+1 / k}\right)$ edges.

If the conjecture is true, then the construction is optimal. Notably, the construction will not remove any edges for any graph that satisfies the conjecture.

## $1.2 \beta$-additive spanners

In this section, we will use a random process to select a subset of vertices by independently selecting vertices to join the subset. The following claim will be useful for analysis:

Claim 3. If one picks vertices independently with probability p to be in $S \subseteq V$, where $|V|=n$, then

1. $\mathbb{E}[|S|]=n p$
2. For any vertex $v$ with degree $d(v)$ and neighbourhood $N(v)=\{u \in V:(u, v) \in E\}$,

- $\mathbb{E}[|N(v) \cap S|]=d(v) \cdot p$
- $\operatorname{Pr}[|N(v) \cap S|=0] \leq e^{-\frac{d(v) \cdot p}{2}}$

Proof. $\forall v \in V$, let $X_{v}$ be the indicator whether $v \in S$. By construction, $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{v}\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[X_{v}=1\right]=p$.
1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[|S|] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{v \in V} X_{v}\right] & & \text { By construction of } S \\
& =\sum_{v \in V} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{v}\right] & & \text { Linearity of expectation } \\
& =\sum_{v \in V} p & & \text { Since } \mathbb{E}\left[X_{v}\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[X_{v}=1\right]=p \\
& =n p & & \text { Since }|V|=n
\end{aligned}
$$

2. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[|N(v) \cap S|] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{v \in N(v)} X_{v}\right] & & \text { By definition of } N(v) \cap S \\
& =\sum_{v \in N(v)} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{v}\right] & & \text { Linearity of expectation } \\
& =\sum_{v \in N(v)} p & & \text { Since } \mathbb{E}\left[X_{v}\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[X_{v}=1\right]=p \\
& =d(v) \cdot p & & \text { Since }|N(v)|=d(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

By one-sided Chernoff bound,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}[|N(v) \cap S|=0] & =\operatorname{Pr}[|N(v) \cap S| \leq(1-1) \cdot \mathbb{E}[|N(v) \cap S|]] \\
& \leq e^{-\frac{\mathbb{E \| N ( v ) \cap S | ]}}{2}} \\
& =e^{-\frac{d(v) \cdot p}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark As a reminder, $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ hides logarithmic factors. For example, $\mathcal{O}\left(n \log ^{1000} n\right) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(n)$.
Theorem 4. [ACIM99] For a fixed $k \geq 1$, every graph $G$ on $n$ vertices has a 2-additive spanner with $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(n^{3 / 2}\right)$ edges.

Proof.
Construction Partition vertex set $V$ into light vertices $L$ and heavy vertices $H$, where

$$
L=\left\{v \in V: \operatorname{deg}(v) \leq n^{1 / 2}\right\} \text { and } H=\left\{v \in V: \operatorname{deg}(v)>n^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

1. Let $E_{1}^{\prime}$ be the set of all edges incident to some vertex in $L$.
2. Initialize $E_{2}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.

- Choose $S \subseteq V$ by independently putting each vertex into $S$ with probability $10 n^{-1 / 2} \log n$.
- For each $s \in S$, add a Breadth-First-Search (BFS) tree rooted at $s$ to $E_{2}^{\prime}$

Select edges in spanner to be $E^{\prime}=E_{1}^{\prime} \cup E_{2}^{\prime}$.

## Number of edges

1. Since there are at most $n$ light vertices, $\left|E_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq n \cdot n^{1 / 2}=n^{3 / 2}$.
2. By Claim 3 with $p=10 n^{-1 / 2} \log n, \mathbb{E}[|S|]=n \cdot 10 n^{-1 / 2} \log n=10 n^{1 / 2} \log n$. Then, since every BFS tree has $n-1$ edges $^{2},\left|E_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leq n \cdot|S|$, thus

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|E^{\prime}\right|\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left|E_{1}^{\prime} \cup E_{2}^{\prime}\right|\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|E_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\left|E_{2}^{\prime}\right|\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left|E_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\left|E_{2}^{\prime}\right|\right] \leq n^{3 / 2}+n \cdot 10 n^{1 / 2} \log n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(n^{3 / 2}\right)
$$

[^1]Stretch factor Consider two arbitrary vertices $u$ and $v$ with the shortest path $P_{u, v}$ in $G$. Let $h$ be the number of heavy vertices in $P_{u, v}$. We split the analysis into two cases: (i) $h \leq 1$; (ii) $h \geq 2$. Recall that a heavy vertex has degree at least $n^{1 / 2}$.

Case (i) All edges in $P_{u, v}$ are adjacent to a light vertex and are thus in $E_{1}^{\prime}$. Hence, $d_{G^{\prime}}(u, v)=d_{G}(u, v)$, with additive stretch 0 .

## Case (ii)

Claim 5. Suppose there exists a vertex $w \in P_{u, v}$ such that $(w, s) \in E$ for some $s \in S$, then $d_{G^{\prime}}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, v)+2$.


Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{G^{\prime}}(u, v) & \leq d_{G^{\prime}}(u, s)+d_{G^{\prime}}(s, v) & & \text { By triangle inequality } \\
& =d_{G}(u, s)+d_{G}(s, v) & & \text { Since we add the BFS tree rooted at } s \\
& \leq d_{G}(u, w)+d_{G}(w, s)+d_{G}(s, w)+d_{G}(w, v) & & \text { By triangle inequality } \\
& \leq d_{G}(u, w)+1+1+d_{G}(w, v) & & \text { Since }(s, w) \in E, d_{G}(w, s)=d_{G}(s, w)= \\
& \leq d_{G}(u, v)+2 & & \text { Since } u, w, v \text { lie on } P_{u, v}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $w$ be a heavy vertex in $P_{u, v}$ with degree $d(w)>n^{1 / 2}$. By Claim 3 with $p=10 n^{-1 / 2} \log n$, $\operatorname{Pr}[|N(w) \cap S|=0] \leq e^{-\frac{10 \log n}{2}}=n^{-5}$. Taking union bound over all possible pairs of vertices $u$ and $v$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[\exists u, v \in V, P_{u, v} \text { has no neighbour in } S\right] \leq\binom{ n}{2} n^{-5} \leq n^{-3}
$$

Then, Claim 5 tells us that the additive stretch factor is at most 2 with probability $\geq 1-\frac{1}{n^{3}}$.
Therefore, with high probability $\left(\geq 1-\frac{1}{n^{3}}\right)$, the construction yields a 2 -additive spanner.
Theorem 6. [Che13] For a fixed $k \geq 1$, every graph $G$ on $n$ vertices has a 4-additive spanner with $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(n^{7 / 5}\right)$ edges.

Proof.
Construction Partition vertex set $V$ into light vertices $L$ and heavy vertices $H$, where

$$
L=\left\{v \in V: \operatorname{deg}(v) \leq n^{2 / 5}\right\} \text { and } H=\left\{v \in V: \operatorname{deg}(v)>n^{2 / 5}\right\}
$$

1. Let $E_{1}^{\prime}$ be the set of all edges incident to some vertex in $L$.
2. Initialize $E_{2}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.

- Choose $S \subseteq V$ by independently putting each vertex into $S$ with probability $30 n^{-3 / 5} \log n$.
- For each $s \in S$, add a Breadth-First-Search (BFS) tree rooted at $s$ to $E_{2}^{\prime}$

3. Initialize $E_{3}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.

- Choose $S^{\prime} \subseteq V$ by independently putting each vertex into $S^{\prime}$ with probability $10 n^{-2 / 5} \log n$.
- For each heavy vertex $w \in H$, if there exists edge $\left(w, s^{\prime}\right)$ for some $s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$, add $\left(w, s^{\prime}\right)$ to $E_{3}^{\prime}$.
- $\forall s, s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$, add the shortest path between $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ with $\leq n^{1 / 5}$ internal heavy vertices to $E_{3}^{\prime}$. Note: If all paths between $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ contain $>n^{1 / 5}$ heavy vertices, do not add any edge to $E_{3}^{\prime}$.

Select edges in spanner to be $E^{\prime}=E_{1}^{\prime} \cup E_{2}^{\prime} \cup E_{3}^{\prime}$.

## Number of edges

- Since there are at most $n$ light vertices, $\left|E_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq n \cdot n^{2 / 5}=n^{7 / 5}$.
- By Claim 3 with $p=30 n^{-3 / 5} \log n, \mathbb{E}[|S|]=n \cdot 30 n^{-3 / 5} \log n=30 n^{2 / 5} \log n$. Then, since every BFS tree has $n-1$ edges $^{3},\left|E_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leq n \cdot|S|=30 n^{7 / 5} \log n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(n^{7 / 5}\right)$.
- Since there are $\leq n$ heavy vertices, $\leq n$ edges of the form $\left(v, s^{\prime}\right)$ for $v \in H, s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ will be added to $E_{3}^{\prime}$. Then, for shortest $s-s^{\prime}$ paths with $\leq n^{1 / 5}$ heavy internal vertices, only edges adjacent to the heavy vertices need to be counted because those adjacent to light vertices are already accounted for in $E_{1}^{\prime}$. By Claim 3 with $p=10 n^{-2 / 5} \log n, \mathbb{E}\left[\left|S^{\prime}\right|\right]=n \cdot 10 n^{-2 / 5} \log n=10 n^{3 / 5} \log n$. So, $E_{3}^{\prime}$ contributes $\leq n+\binom{\left|S^{\prime}\right|}{2} \cdot n^{1 / 5} \leq n+\left(10 n^{3 / 5} \log n\right)^{2} \cdot n^{1 / 5} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(n^{7 / 5}\right)$ edges to the count of $\left|E^{\prime}\right|$.

Stretch factor Consider two arbitrary vertices $u$ and $v$ with the shortest path $P_{u, v}$ in $G$. Let $h$ be the number of heavy vertices in $P_{u, v}$. We split the analysis into three cases: (i) $h \leq 1$; (ii) $2 \leq h \leq n^{1 / 5}$; (iii) $h>n^{1 / 5}$. Recall that a heavy vertex has degree at least $n^{2 / 5}$.

Case (i) All edges in $P_{u, v}$ are adjacent to a light vertex and are thus in $E_{1}^{\prime}$. Hence, $d_{G^{\prime}}(u, v)=d_{G}(u, v)$, with additive stretch 0 .

Case (ii) Denote the first and last heavy vertices in $P_{u, v}$ as $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ respectively. Recall that in Case (ii), including $w$ and $w^{\prime}$, there are at most $n^{1 / 5}$ heavy vertices between $w$ and $w^{\prime}$. By Claim 3, with $p=10 n^{-2 / 5} \log n, \operatorname{Pr}\left[\left|N(w) \cap S^{\prime}\right|=0\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\left|N\left(w^{\prime}\right) \cap S^{\prime}\right|=0\right] \leq e^{-\frac{n^{2 / 5} \cdot 10 n-2 / 5}{2} \log n}=n^{-5}$.
Let $s, s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ be adjacent vertices to $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ respectively. Observe that $s-w-w^{\prime}-s^{\prime}$ is a path between $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ with at most $n^{1 / 5}$ internal heavy vertices. Let $P_{s, s^{\prime}}^{*}$ be the shortest path of length $l^{*}$ from $s$ to $s^{\prime}$ with at most $n^{1 / 5}$ internal heavy vertices. By construction, we have added $P_{s, s^{\prime}}^{*}$ to $E_{3}^{\prime}$. Observe:

- By definition of $P_{s, s^{\prime}}^{*}, l^{*} \leq d_{G}(s, w)+d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right)=d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)+2$.
- Since there are no internal heavy vertices between $u-w$ and $w^{\prime}-v$, Case (i) tells us that $d_{G^{\prime}}(u, w)=d_{G}(u, w)$ and $d_{G^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, v\right)=d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, v\right)$.

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{G^{\prime}}(u, v) \\
= & d_{G^{\prime}}(u, w)+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, v\right) \\
\leq & d_{G^{\prime}}(u, w)+d_{G^{\prime}}(w, s)+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(s^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, v\right) \\
= & d_{G^{\prime}}(u, w)+d_{G^{\prime}}(w, s)+l^{*}+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(s^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, v\right) \\
\leq & d_{G^{\prime}}(u, w)+d_{G^{\prime}}(w, s)+d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)+2+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(s^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, v\right) \\
= & d_{G^{\prime}}(u, w)+1+d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)+2+1+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, v\right) \\
= & d_{G}(u, w)+1+d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)+2+1+d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, v\right) \\
\leq & d_{G}(u, v)+4
\end{aligned}
$$

Decomposing $P_{u, v}$ in $G^{\prime}$
Triangle inequality
$P_{s, s^{\prime}}^{*}$ is added to $E_{3}^{\prime}$
Since $l^{*} \leq d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)+2$
Since $(w, s) \in E^{\prime}$ and $\left(s^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right) \in E^{\prime}$
$d_{G^{\prime}}(w, s)=d_{G^{\prime}}\left(s^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)=1$
Since $d_{G^{\prime}}(u, w)=d_{G}(u, w)$ and $d_{G^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, v\right)=d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, v\right)$
By definition of $P_{u, v}$


## Case (iii)

[^2]Claim 7. There cannot be a vertex $y$ that is a common neighbour to more than 3 heavy vertices in $P_{u, v}$.

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $y$ is adjacent to $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4} \in P_{u, v}$ as shown in the picture. Then $u-w_{1}-y-w_{4}-v$ is a shorter $u-v$ path than $P_{u, v}$, contradicting the fact that $P_{u, v}$ is the shortest $u-v$ path.


Note that if $y$ is on $P_{u, v}$, it immediately contradicts that $P_{u, v}$ was the shortest path involving all of $\left\{y, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right\}$.

Claim 7 tells us that $\left|\bigcup_{w \in \text { Heavy }} N(w)\right| \geq \sum_{w \in \text { Heavy }}|N(w)| \cdot \frac{1}{3}$. Let

$$
N_{u, v}=\left\{x \in V:(x, w) \in P_{u, v} \text { for some } w \in P_{u, v}\right\}
$$

Applying Claim 3 with $p=30 \cdot n^{-3 / 5} \cdot \log n$ and Claim 7, we get

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|N_{u, v} \cap S\right|\right] \geq n^{1 / 5} \cdot n^{2 / 5} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot 30 \cdot n^{-3 / 5} \cdot \log n=10 \log n
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|N(v) \cap S|=0] \leq e^{-\frac{10 \log n}{2}}=n^{-5}
$$

Taking union bound over all possible pairs of vertices $u$ and $v$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[\exists u, v \in V, P_{u, v} \text { has no neighbour in } S\right] \leq\binom{ n}{2} n^{-5} \leq n^{-3}
$$

Then, Claim 5 tells us that the additive stretch factor is at most 4 with probability $\geq 1-\frac{1}{n^{3}}$. Therefore, with high probability ( $\geq 1-\frac{1}{n^{3}}$ ), the construction yields a 4 -additive spanner.

Remark Suppose the shortest $u-v$ path $P_{u, v}$ contains a vertex from $S$, say $s$. Then, $P_{u, v}$ is contained in $E^{\prime}$ since we include the BFS tree rooted at $s$ because it is the shortest $u-s$ path and shortest $s-v$ path by definition. In other words, the triangle inequality between $u, s, v$ becomes tight.

## Concluding remarks

|  | Additive stretch factor $\beta$ | Number of edges | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ACIM99] | 2 | $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(n^{3 / 2}\right)$ | Tight [Woo06] |
| [Che13] | 4 | $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(n^{7 / 5}\right)$ | Open: Is $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(n^{4 / 3}\right)$ possible? |
| [BKMP05] | $\geq 6$ | $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(n^{4 / 3}\right)$ | Tight [AB17] |

The additive stretch factors appear to be in even numbers because current constructions "leave" the shortest path, then "re-enter" it later, introducing an even number of extra edges. Regardless, a $k$-additive spanner is also a $(k-1)$-additive spanner.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is shown by invoking concentration bounds such as Chernoff.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Though we may have repeated edges

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Though we may have repeated edges

