1 Warm-up [Recommended]

1. For the construction of PTAS for the Bin packing problem we needed a tricky way of rounding. Here we investigate why this is necessary. Construct a set of items with sizes $0 < x_1, \ldots, x_n < 1$ with the following property. While it is possible to pack these into some number $m$ of bins, if we for any $\varepsilon > 0$ consider the set of items with sizes $(1 + \varepsilon)x_1, \ldots, (1 + \varepsilon)x_n$, we need at least $\alpha m$ bins for some $\alpha > 1$. What is the best $\alpha$ you can get and how does it relate to the issue of designing a PTAS for Bin packing?

2. We also faced some issues when we tried to use our solution for the Bin packing problem to solve also the Minimum makespan problem. Construct a set of items with sizes $0 < x_1, \ldots, x_n$ with the following property. While it is possible to construct a solution to Minimum makespan problem with $m$ machines of makespan $l$, any solution with $m - 1$ machines will have makespan at least $\alpha l$ for some $\alpha > 1$. What is the best $\alpha$ you can get and how does it relate to the issue of using our Bin Packing PTAS algorithm to solve also the Minimum makespan problem?

2 Next-Fit Algorithm for Bin Packing (Vazirani 9.2)

In the bin packing problem (discussed in the class), consider the algorithm that tries to pack the next item only in the most recently started bin, and if it does not fit, starts a new bin. Prove that this is a 2-approximation, i.e., show that this algorithm uses at most a 2-factor more than the number of bins used by OPT.

3 Bin Covering (Vazirani 9.7) [Recommended]

Given $n$ items with sizes $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in [c, 1]$ for some fixed constant $c \in (0, 1)$, give a Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme (PTAS) for the problem of maximizing the number of bins, subject to the constraint that each bin has items with total size at least 1.
Optional/Additional Problems

4 Scheduling with Constant Machines (Vazirani 10.2)

Give a Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme (FPTAS) for the variant of the minimum makespan scheduling problem in which the number \( m \) of machines is a fixed constant.

5 Greedy algorithm for minimum makespan

We have seen a greedy algorithm for the Minimum makespan scheduling problem with \( m \) machines that iterates through the elements \( p_1, \ldots, p_n \) in an arbitrary order and in the \( i \)-th step assigns the job \( p_i \) to the machine with the least load so far. We proved that the algorithm provides 2-approximation guarantee. Prove that it actually provides \( 2 - 1/m \) approximation guarantee.