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## Motivation



- Datasets growing $\rightarrow$ too many samples needed!
- Can we do property testing distributedly?

- Insufficient memory!
- Design low memory algorithms!


- We can learn the distribution: $\Omega(n)$ samples.
- Centralized sampling/ unbounded memory: we can test (uniform vs $\varepsilon$-far) with $\Theta\left(\sqrt{n} / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ samples.
- What if we have memory constraints/unavailable centralized sampling?


## DEFINITION AND (CENTRALIZED) PRIOR WORK




Uniformity testing problem
Given samples from a probability distribution $p$, distinguish $p=U_{n}$ from $\left\|p-U_{n}\right\|_{1}>\varepsilon$ with success probability at least $2 / 3$.

- Sample complexity: $\Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ [Goldreich, Ron 00],[Batu, Fisher, Fortnow, Kumar, Rubinfeld, White 01],[Paninski 08], [Chan, Diakonikolas, Valiant, Valiant 14],
[Diakonikolas, G, Peebles, Price 17]


## PRIOR/RELATED WORK

Distributed learning

- Parameter estimation [ZDJW13],[GMN14],[BGMNW16],[JLY16],[HOW18]
- Non-parametric [DGLNOS17],[HMOW18]

Distributed testing

- Single sample per machine with sublogarithmic size messages: [Acharya, Cannone, Tyagi 18]
- Two-party setting: [Andoni, Malkin, Nosatzki 18]
- LOCAL and CONGEST models: [Fisher, Meir, Oshman 18]


## Centralized Collision-Based Algorithm

[Goldreich, Ron 00],[Batu, Fisher, Fortnow, Kumar, Rubinfeld, White 01] Problem: Given distribution $p$ over $[n]$, distinguish $p=U_{n}$ from $\left\|p-U_{n}\right\|_{1} \geq \epsilon$.


- m samples
- Node labels: i.i.d samples from $p$.
- Edges: $\{i, j\} \in E$ iff $L(i)=L(j)$
- Define statistic $Z=\sharp$ edges $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[Z]=\binom{m}{2} \cdot\|p\|_{2}^{2}$
- Minimized for $p=U_{n}$
- Idea: Draw enough samples and compare $Z$ to some threshold.


## Generic Bipartite Testing Algorithm

$\ell$ SAMPLES PER MACHINE

Problem: Given distribution $p$ over $\left[n\right.$ ], distinguish $p=U_{n}$ from $\left\|p-U_{n}\right\|_{1} \geq \epsilon$.


- $\ell$ samples per machine.
- Node labels: i.i.d samples from $p$.
- Edges: $\{i, j\} \in E$ iff $\left(i \in S_{1}\right) \wedge\left(j \in S_{2}\right) \wedge(L(i)=L(j))$


## Generic Bipartite Testing Algorithm

$\ell$ SAMPLES PER MACHINE
Problem: Given distribution $p$ over [ $n$ ], distinguish $p=U_{n}$ from $\left\|p-U_{n}\right\|_{1} \geq \epsilon$.


- $\ell$ samples per machine.
- Node labels: i.i.d samples from $p$.
- Edges: $\{i, j\} \in E$ iff $\left(i \in S_{1}\right) \wedge\left(j \in S_{2}\right) \wedge(L(i)=L(j))$
- Define statistic $Z=$ \#edges $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[Z]=\left|S_{1}\right| \cdot\left|S_{2}\right| \cdot\|p\|_{2}^{2}$
- Minimized for $p=U_{n}$
- Remark: Suboptimal sample complexity, but can lead to optimal communication complexity in certain cases.


## COMMUNICATION MODEL



- Unbounded number of players
- Players can broadcast on the blackboard
- The referee asks questions to players and receives replies.
- Goal: Minimize total number of bits of communication.


## A Communication efficient Algorithm

- Idea: Statistic $Z=$ sum of degrees on one side.
- Only the opposite side needs to reveal samples exactly.

- Broadcasted samples: $\ell \cdot\left|S_{1}\right|=\frac{\sqrt{n / \ell}}{\epsilon^{2} \sqrt{\log n}}$
- Not enough for testing.
- And the samples on the right?
- Only degrees $d_{k}$ sent to the referee.
- $O(1)$ bits/message w.l.o.g.
- Communication complexity: $O\left(\frac{\sqrt{n / \ell} \sqrt{\log n}}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)$ bits.
- Matching lower bound of $\Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{n / \ell} \sqrt{\log n}}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)$ bits for small $\ell$.
- Better than naive $O\left(\frac{\sqrt{n} \log n}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)$ bits.


## COMMUNICATION EFFICIENT IMPLEMENTATION

Two ALGORITHMS


Case I: $\ell=\tilde{O}\left(n^{1 / 3} / \varepsilon^{4 / 3}\right)$ samples/ machine

- Use cross collisions - bipartite graph
- Communication complexity:
$O\left(\frac{\sqrt{n / \ell} \sqrt{\log n}}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)$ bits.
Case II: $\ell=\tilde{\Omega}\left(n^{1 / 3} / \varepsilon^{4 / 3}\right)$ samples/machine
- Each machine sends that number of local collisions and to the referee.
- The referee computes the total sum $Z$ of the collisions.
- $\mathbb{E}[Z]=\binom{\ell}{2}\|p\|_{2}^{2}$
- Threshold: $\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}[Z]$
- Communication complexity: $O\left(\frac{n \log n}{l^{2} \epsilon^{2}}\right)$ bits.


## MEMORY EFFICIENT IMPLEMENTATION

In THE ONE-PASS STREAMING MODEL

## Model:

One-pass streaming algorithm: The samples arrive in a stream and the algorithm can access them only once.

Memory constraint: At most $m$ bits for some $m \geq \log n / \varepsilon^{6}$

- Use $N_{1}=m / 2 \log n$ samples to get the multiset of labels $S_{1}$.
- Use collision information from $N_{2}=\Theta\left(n \log n /\left(m \varepsilon^{4}\right)\right)$ other samples (i.e the multiset of labels $S_{2}$ ).

Remarks:

- We can store $\sum_{k=1}^{r} d_{k}, 1 \leq r \leq N_{2}$ in a single pass.
- For $m=\Omega\left(\sqrt{n} \log n / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$, we simply run the classical collision-based tester using the first $O\left(\sqrt{n} / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ samples.


## SUMMARY OF RESULTS

|  | Sample Complexity Bounds with Memory Constraints |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Upper Bound | Lower Bound 1 | Lower Bound 2 |  |  |
| Uniformity | $O\left(\frac{n \log n}{m \varepsilon^{4}}\right)$ | $\Omega\left(\frac{n \log n}{m \varepsilon^{4}}\right)$ | $\Omega\left(\frac{n}{m \varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ |  |  |
| Conditions | $n^{0.9} \gg m \gg \log (n) / \varepsilon^{2}$ | $m=\tilde{\Omega}\left(\frac{n^{0.34}}{\varepsilon^{8 / 3}}+\frac{n^{0.1}}{\varepsilon^{4}}\right)$ | Unconditional |  |  |
| Closeness | $O\left(n \sqrt{\log (n)} /\left(\sqrt{m} \varepsilon^{2}\right)\right)$ | - |  | - |  |
| Conditions | $\Theta\left(\min \left(n, n^{2 / 3} / \varepsilon^{4 / 3}\right)\right) \gg m \gg \log (n)$ | - | - |  |  |
| Communication Complexity Bounds |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property | UB 1 | UB 2 | LB 1 | LB 2 | LB 3 |
| Uniformity | $O\left(\frac{\sqrt{n \log (n) / \ell}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ | $O\left(\frac{n \log (n)}{\ell^{2} \varepsilon^{4}}\right)$ | $\Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{n \log (n) / \ell}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ | $\Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{n / \ell}}{\varepsilon}\right)$ | $\Omega\left(\frac{n}{\ell^{2} \varepsilon^{2} \log n}\right)$ |
| Conditions | $\frac{\varepsilon^{8} n}{\log n} \gg \ell \gg \frac{\varepsilon^{-4}}{n^{0.9}}$ | $\ell \ll \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon^{2}}$ | $\varepsilon^{4 / 3} n^{0.3} \gg \ell$ | $\ell=\tilde{O}\left(\frac{n^{1 / 3}}{\varepsilon^{4 / 3}}\right)$ | $\ell=\tilde{\Omega}\left(\frac{n^{1 / 3}}{\varepsilon^{4 / 3}}\right)$ |
| Closeness | $O\left(\frac{n^{2 / 3} \log { }^{1 / 3}(n)}{\ell^{2 / 3} \varepsilon^{4 / 3}}\right)$ | - | - | - | - |
| Conditions | $n \varepsilon^{4} / \log (n) \gg \ell$ | - | - | - | - |

## Lower Bounds (One Pass)

$k$ SAMPLES, $m$ BITS OF MEMORY, $\ell$ SAMPLES PER MACHINE

1. Memory:

- $k \cdot m=\Omega\left(\frac{n}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$
- Under technical assumptions: $k \cdot m=\Omega\left(\frac{n \log n}{\varepsilon^{4}}\right)$

Reduction (low communication $\Rightarrow$ low memory)

- samples/machine: $\ell$
- bits of communication: $t$

Store samples of the next player only $\Rightarrow t+\ell \log n$-memory
2. Communication $\left(\ell=O\left(\frac{n^{1 / 3}}{\varepsilon^{4 / 3}(\log n)^{1 / 3}}\right)\right.$ )-one pass:

- $\Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{n / \ell}}{\varepsilon}\right)$ samples.
- Under assumptions: $\Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{n \log n / \ell}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$

3. Communication $\left(\ell=\Omega\left(\frac{n^{1 / 3}}{\varepsilon^{4 / 3}(\log n)^{1 / 3}}\right)\right.$ )-one pass:

- $\Omega\left(\frac{n}{\ell^{2} \varepsilon^{2} \log n}\right)$ samples.


## SUMMARY-OPEN PROBLEMS

- We described a bipartite collision-based algorithm for uniformity.
- Then applied it to memory constrained and distributed settings.
- Showed matching lower bounds for certain parameter regimes.
- An asymptotically optimal algorithm becomes (provably) suboptimal as $\ell$ grows.
Open Problems:
- Do the lower bounds still hold if multiple passes are allowed?
- Is there an algorithm with a better communication-sample complexity trade-off?

