CoNDA: Efficient Cache Coherence Support for Near-Data Accelerators

Amirali Boroumand

Saugata Ghose, Minesh Patel, Hasan Hassan, Brandon Lucia, Rachata Ausavarungnirun, Kevin Hsieh, Nastaran Hajinazar, Krishna Malladi, Hongzhong Zheng, Onur Mutlu



Specialized Accelerators

Specialized accelerators are now everywhere!



Recent advancement in 3D-stacked technology enabled Near-Data Accelerators (NDA)



Coherence For NDAs

Challenge: Coherence between NDAs and CPUs

It is impractical to use traditional coherence protocols

SAFARI

Existing Coherence Mechanisms

We extensively study existing NDA coherence mechanisms and make three key observations:

> These mechanisms eliminate a significant portion of NDA's benefits

2 The majority of off-chip coherence traffic generated by these mechanisms is unnecessary

3

Much of the off-chip traffic can be <u>eliminated</u> if the <u>coherence mechanism</u> has insight into the memory accesses

An Optimistic Approach

- We find that an optimistic approach to coherence can address the challenges related to NDA coherence
- Gain <u>insights</u> before <u>any coherence checks</u> happen
- **2** Perform only the necessary coherence requests
- We propose CoNDA, a coherence mechanism that lets an NDA optimistically execute an NDA kernel
- Optimistic execution enables CoNDA to identify and avoid unnecessary coherence requests

CoNDA comes within 10.4% and 4.4% of performance and energy of an ideal NDA coherence mechanism

Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Motivation
- CoNDA
- Architecture Support
- Evaluation
- Conclusion

Background

- Near-Data Processing (NDP)
 - A potential solution to reduce data movement
 - Idea: move computation close to data
 - **Reduces data movement**
 - **Exploits large in-memory bandwidth**
 - **V** Exploits shorter access latency to memory
- Enabled by recent advances in 3D-stacked memory

Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Motivation
- CoNDA
- Architecture Support
- Evaluation
- Conclusion

Application Analysis

Sharing Data between NDAs and CPUs

Hybrid Databases (HTAP) **Graph Processing**

We find <u>not all portions</u> of applications benefit from NDA

- Memory-intensive portions benefit from NDA
- 2 **Compute-intensive or cache friendly portions should remain** on the CPU

Ist key observation: CPU threads often concurrently access the same region of data that NDA kernels access which leads to significant data sharing

Shared Data Access Patterns

2nd key observation: CPU threads and NDA kernels typically <u>do not</u> concurrently access the same cache lines

For Connected Components application, only 5.1% of the CPU accesses collide with NDA accesses

CPU threads rarely update the same data that an NDA is actively working on

SAFARI

Analysis of NDA Coherence Mechanisms

Analysis of Existing Coherence Mechanism

We analyze three existing coherence mechanisms:

Non-cacheable (NC)

- Mark the NDA data as non-cacheable
- **2** Coarse-Grained Coherence (CG)
 - Get coherence permission for the entire NDA region
- **3** Fine-Grained Coherence (FG)
 - Traditional coherence protocols

L

Analysis of Existing Coherence Mechanisms

Poor handling of coherence eliminates much of an NDA's performance and energy benefits

SAFARI

Motivation and Goal

Our goal is to design <u>a coherence mechanism</u> that:

- **Retains benefits of Ideal NDA**
- 2 Enforces coherence with only the necessary data movement

Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Motivation
- CoNDA
- Architecture Support
- Evaluation
- Conclusion

Optimistic NDA Execution

We leverage two key observations:

- Having insight enables us to eliminate much of unnecessary coherence traffic
- **2** Low rate of collision for CPU threads and NDA kernels

We propose to use optimistic execution for NDAs

NDA executes the kernel:

- Assumes it has coherence permission
- 2 Gains insights into memory accesses
- When execution is done:

Performs only the necessary coherence requests SAFARI

High-Level Overview of Optimistic Execution Model

High-Level Overview of CoNDA

We propose CoNDA, a mechanism that uses optimistic NDA execution to avoid unnecessary coherence traffic

How do we identify coherence violations?

Necessary Coherence Requests

- Coherence requests are only necessary if:
 - Both NDA and CPU access a cache line
 - At least one of them updates it

We discuss three possible interleaving of accesses to the same cache line:

- **NDA** Read and CPU Write (coherence violation)
- 2 NDA Write and CPU Read (no violation)
- **3** NDA Write and CPU Write (no violation)

2) NDA Write and CPU Read : no violation

3) NDA Write and CPU Write: no violation

Any Coherence Violation? No. Commit NDA operations

C6. Wr X

SAFAR

are ordered before "N5"

Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Motivation
- CoNDA
- Architecture Support
- Evaluation
- Conclusion

CoNDA: Architecture Support DRAM CPU LI LI **NDAReadSet** Coherence **NDAWriteSet CPUWriteSet Resolution**

SAFARI

Optimistic Mode Execution

Bloom filter based signature has two major benefits:

- Allows us to easily perform coherence resolution
- Allows for <u>a large number of addresses</u> to be stored within a fixed-length register

If conflicts happens:

If no conflicts:

- Any clean cache lines in the CPU that match an address in the NDAWriteSet are invalidated
- NDA commits data updates

Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Motivation
- CoNDA
- Architecture Support
- Evaluation
- Conclusion

Evaluation Methodology

• Simulator

- Gem5 full system simulator

• System Configuration:

- CPU
 - 16 cores, 8-wide, 2GHz frequency
 - LI I/D cache: 64 kB private, 4-way associative, 64 B block
 - L2 cache: 2 MB shared, 8-way associative, 64 B blocks
 - Cache Coherence Protocol: MESI

– NDA

- 16 cores, I-wide, 2GHz frequency
- LI I/D cache: 64 kB private, 4-way associative, 64 B Block
- Cache coherence protocol: MESI
- 3D-stacked Memory
 - One 4GB Cube, 16 Vaults per cube

SAFARI

Applications

- Ligra
 - Lightweight multithreaded graph processing
 - We used three Ligra graph applications
 - PageRank (PR)
 - Radii
 - Connected Components (CC)
 - Real-world Input graphs:
 - Enron
 - arXiV
 - Gnutella25

• Hybrid Database (HTAP)

- In-house prototype of an in-memory database
- Capable of running both transactional and analytical queries on the same database (HTAP workload)
- 32K transactions, I28/256 analytical queries

Speedup

CoNDA consistently retains most of Ideal-NDA's benefits, coming within 10.4% of the Ideal-NDA performance

Memory System Energy

CoNDA significantly reduces energy consumption and comes within 4.4% of Ideal-NDA

SAFARI

Other Results in the Paper

- Results for larger data sets
 - 8.4x over CPU-only
 - 7.7x over NDA-only
 - 38.3% over the best prior coherence mechanism

• Sensitivity analysis

- Multiple memory stacks
- Effect of optimistic execution duration
- Effect of signature size
- Effect of data sharing characteristics

Hardware overhead analysis

 – 512 B NDA signature, 2 kB CPU signature, 1 bit per page table, 1 bit per TLB entry, 1.6% increase in NDA L1 cache SAFARI

Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Motivation
- CoNDA
- Architecture Support
- Evaluation
- Conclusion

Conclusion

- Coherence is a major system challenge for NDA
 - Efficient handling of coherence is <u>critical</u> to retain NDA benefits
- We extensively analyze NDA applications and existing coherence mechanisms. Major Observations:
 - There is a significant amount of data sharing between CPU threads and NDAs
 - A majority of off-chip coherence traffic is unnecessary
 - A significant portion of off-chip traffic can be eliminated if the mechanism has insight into NDA memory accesses
- We propose CoNDA, a mechanism that uses optimistic NDA execution to avoid unnecessary coherence traffic
- CoNDA comes within 10.4% and 4.4% of performance and energy of an ideal NDA coherence mechanism

CoNDA: Efficient Cache Coherence Support for Near-Data Accelerators

Amirali Boroumand

Saugata Ghose, Minesh Patel, Hasan Hassan, Brandon Lucia, Rachata Ausavarungnirun, Kevin Hsieh, Nastaran Hajinazar, Krishna Malladi, Hongzhong Zheng, Onur Mutlu

Breakdown of Performance Overhead

• CoNDA's execution time consist of three major parts:

- (I) NDA kernel execution
- (2) Coherence resolution overhead (3.3% of execution time)
- (3) Re-execution overhead (8.4% of execution time)

• Coherence resolution overhead is low

- CPU-threads do not stall during resolution
- NDAWriteSet contains only a small number of addresses (6)
- Resolution mainly involves sending signatures and checking necessary coherence

• Overhead of re-execution is low

- The collision rate is low for our applications \rightarrow 13.4%

Re-execution is significantly faster than original execution
SAFARI

38

Non-Cacheable (NC) Approach

Mark the NDA data as non-cacheable

NC fails to provide any energy saving and perform 6.0% worse than CPU-only

Coarse-Grained (CG) Coherence

Get coherence permission for the entire NDA region

<u>Unnecessarily</u> flushes a large amount of dirty data, especially in pointer-chasing applications

Use coarse-grained locks to provide exclusive access

CG fails to provide any performance benefit of NDA

Fine-Grained (FG) Coherence

Using fine-grained coherence has two benefits:

- Simplifies NDA programming model
- 2 Allows us to get permissions for only the pieces of data that are actually accessed

FG eliminates 71.8% of the energy benefits of an ideal NDA mechanism

Memory System Energy

Speedup

Effect of Multiple Memory Stacks

SAFARI

Effect of Optimistic Execution Duration

Effect of Signature Size

2) NDA Write and CPU Read : no violation

3) NDA Write and CPU Write: no violation

Optimistic NDA Execution

- We leverage two key observations
- Majority of coherence
- 2 Enforce coherence with only the necessary data movement

We propose to use optimistic execution for NDAs

When executing in <u>optimistic mode</u>:

 An NDA gains insight into its memory accesses without issuing any coherence requests

When <u>optimistic mode</u> is done:

• The NDA uses the tracking information to perform necessary coherence requests

SAFARI

Application Analysis Wrap up

There is a significant amount of data sharing between CPU threads and NDAs

2 CPU threads and NDAs often do not access the same cache lines concurrently

3 CPU threads rarely update the same data that NDAs are actively working on

Background

- Near-Data Processing (NDP)
 - A potential solution to reduce data movement
 - Idea: move computation close to data
- Enabled by recent advances in 3D-stacked memory

Specialized Accelerators

Specialized accelerators are now everywhere!

Applications

• Ligra

- Lightweight multithreaded graph processing for shared memory system
- We used three Ligra graph applications
 - PageRank (PR)
 - Radii
 - Connected Components (CC)
- Input graphs constructed from real-world network datasets:
 - Enron email communication network (36K nodes, 183K edges)
 - arXiV General Relativity (5K nodes, I4K edges)
 - peer-to- peer Gnutella25 (22K nodes, 54K edges).

• IMDB

- In-house prototype of an in-memory database (IMDB)
- Capable of running both transactional queries and analytical queries on the same database tables (HTAP workload)
- 32K transactions, 128/256 analytical queries

SAFARI

Optimistic NDA Execution

We leverage two key observations:

- Eliminate much of unnecessary coherence traffic by having insight into memory accesses
- 2 CPU threads and NDA kernels typically do not concurrently access the same cache lines

We propose to use optimistic execution for NDAs

NDA executes the kernel:

- Assumes it has coherence permission
- 2 Gains insights into memory accesses
- When execution is done:

Performs only the necessary coherence requests SAFARI

Analysis of Existing Coherence Mechanisms

