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**Executive Summary**

**Motivation:** Hardware-software cooperative (cross-layer) techniques improve performance, quality of service, and security.

**Problem:** Cross-layer techniques are challenging to implement and evaluate in real hardware because they require modifications across the stack.

**Our Goal** is twofold:
1) Enable rapid implementation and evaluation of cross-layer techniques in real hardware.
2) Quantify the overheads associated with a general metadata management system.

**Key Idea:**
Develop a metadata management system (**MetaSys**) with hardware and software components that are reusable across cross-layer techniques to minimize programmer effort.

**Prototype:** Xilinx Zedboard prototype using RISC-V Rocket Chip:
Low on-chip storage (0.2%) and memory storage (0.2%) overhead metadata management.

**Evaluation:** Graph prefetching and memory protection case studies, extensive overhead characterization.
- MetaSys-based graph prefetcher performs similar to state-of-the-art specialized prefetcher.
- Low-overhead bounds-checking (14%) and return address protection (1.2%).
- Single general metadata management system scales well.

**SAFARI**

MetaSys Source Code: [https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/MetaSys](https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/MetaSys)
Outline

• Background
  • Cross-Layer Techniques
  • Evaluating Cross-Layer Techniques
• MetaSys
  • Overview
  • Components
  • Operation
  • FPGA Prototype
• Case Studies
  • Prefetching
  • Memory Safety and Protection
• Characterizing a General Metadata Management System
Today

Optimize for performance by designing hardware that infers and predicts program behavior.
Future: Cross-Layer

Software can provide information (metadata) that the hardware is trying to infer.
Example: Locality Descriptor (I)

Locality Descriptor [Vijaykumar+, ISCA’18a]

1. Express data locality (from software)
2. Exploit data locality (in hardware) in GPUs

The programmer or the compiler describes key semantics using a software interface

cudaMalloc(sm_mappings, size);
LocalityDescriptor ldesc(sm_mappings, size, INTER-THREAD, `tile,

Data Structure

Metadata

[Vijaykumar+, ISCA’18a]
Example: Locality Descriptor (II)

Hardware optimizations leverage key program semantics to improve performance significantly (e.g., >50%) [Vijaykumar+, ISCA’18a]
Benefits of Cross-Layer Techniques

- Performance optimizations
- Security enhancements
- Quality of service improvements
- Better programmability
- Better portability

Example prior work

[Vijaykumar+, ISCA’18a]
[Vijaykumar+, ISCA’18b]
[Koo+, ISCA’17]
[Yu+, CARVV’17]
[Mukkara+, ASPLOS’16]
[Ma+, ASPLOS’15]
...
Evaluating cross-layer techniques is non-trivial

Need a new infrastructure to evaluate a new cross-layer technique
• Difficult given complexity (changes across the stack)
A single general system can support multiple techniques

Key Benefit: Amortize the cost to implement each new technique
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**Goal:** Enable rapid implementation and evaluation of cross-layer techniques in real hardware

**MetaSys:** Open-source infrastructure to evaluate cross-layer techniques end-to-end

MetaSys Source Code: [https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/MetaSys](https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/MetaSys)
MetaSys: Components

1. Tagged memory-based metadata management
   Efficient metadata querying in hardware
MetaSys: Tagged Memory (I)

Tag memory addresses with metadata IDs

Optimization Client → Metadata ID → Metadata

Example

Is <address> accessed frequently?

metadata ID

Metadata Store

YES
MetaSys: Tagged Memory (II)
MetaSys: Tagged Memory (II)

Map memory addresses to metadata IDs

Processor
- Core
- TLB

Main Memory
- Metadata Mapping Table

Pointer
- Metadata Mapping Table
- Metadata ID
MetaSys: Tagged Memory (II)

Mapping Granularity

Main Memory
Metadata Mapping Table

Pointer
Metadata Mapping Table
Metadata ID

Map memory addresses to metadata IDs

Processor
- Core
- TLB

Metadata Mapping Table

ID == 0
ID == 1

4 KiB

64 B
64 B

4 KiB

ID == 0
ID == 1
MetaSys: Tagged Memory (II)

Processor

- Core
- TLB

MetaSys Instructions

Mapping Management Unit

Main Memory

- Metadata Mapping Table

Access & Manipulate Metadata Table
MetaSys: Tagged Memory (II)

Processor
- Core
- TLB

MetaSys Management Unit
- Mapping
- Instructions

Main Memory
- Metadata Mapping Table
- Metadata ID

Metadata Lookup Unit
- Address Translation
- Retrieve Metadata ID

Metadata Lookup Unit
MetaSys: Tagged Memory (II)
MetaSys: Tagged Memory (II)

Processor

- Core
- TLB
  - Metadata Lookup Unit
  - Address Translation
  - Retrieve Metadata ID

Mapping Management Unit

- MetaSys Instructions
- Metadata Mapping Cache

Main Memory

- Metadata Mapping Table
  - Metadata ID
MetaSys: Tagged Memory (II)

Processor

- Core
- TLB
  - Address Translation
  - Retrieve Metadata ID
  - Metadata Lookup Unit

Mapping Management Unit

- MetaSys Instructions
- Metadata Mapping Cache
  - Metadata ID

Main Memory

- Metadata Mapping Table
MetaSys: Tagged Memory (II)

Processor
- Core
- TLB

Main Memory
- Metadata Mapping Table

Mapping Management Unit
- Metadata Mapping Cache
- Optimization Client
- Metadata Table

Metadata Lookup Unit
- Metadata ID
- Address Translation

Retrieve Metadata ID
- MetaSys Instructions

Map metadata IDs to metadata

MetaSys Instructions
MetaSys: Tagged Memory (II)

- Processor
  - Core
  - TLB

- Mapping Management Unit
  - Metadata Mapping Cache
  - Optimization Client
  - Metadata Table

- Main Memory
  - Metadata Mapping Table

- Metadata Lookup Unit
  - Initialize with metadata

- Retrieve metadata IDs
MetaSys: Components

1. Tagged memory-based metadata management
   Efficient metadata querying in hardware

2. Rich cross-layer interface
   Communicate metadata from SW to HW
MetaSys: Cross-Layer Interface

Processor

- Core
- TLB
- Metadata Lookup Unit

Mapping Management Unit

- Metadata Mapping Cache

Optimization Client

Main Memory

- Metadata Mapping Table

MetaSys Instructions
### MetaSys: Cross-Layer Interface

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MetaSys Operator</th>
<th>MetaSys ISA Instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CREATE</td>
<td>CREATEClientID, TagID, Metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(UN)MAP</td>
<td>(UN)MAP TagID, start_addr, size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(UN)MAP2D TagID, start_addr, lenX, sizeX, sizeY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(UN)MAP3D TagID, start_addr, lenX, lenY, sizeX, sizeY, sizeZ;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Diagram showing relationship between Mapping Management Unit, Main Memory, Metadata Mapping Table, Optimization Client, and Metadata Table.](image-url)
# MetaSys: Cross-Layer Interface

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MetaSys Operator</th>
<th>MetaSys ISA Instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CREATE</td>
<td>CREATE ClientID, TagID, Metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(UN)MAP</td>
<td>(UN)MAP TagID, start_addr, size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(UN)MAP2D TagID, start_addr, lenX, sizeX, sizeY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(UN)MAP3D TagID, start_addr, lenX, lenY, sizeX, sizeY, sizeZ;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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MetaSys: Components

1. **Tagged memory-based metadata management**
   Efficient metadata querying in hardware

2. **Rich cross-layer interface**
   Communicate metadata from SW to HW

3. **Flexible hardware and software modules**
   Facilitate implementing new HW optimizations
MetaSys: Hardware Modules (I)

- Processor
  - Core
  - TLB
- Mapping Management Unit
  - Metadata Mapping Cache
  - Optimization Client
    - Metadata Table
- Main Memory
  - Metadata Mapping Table

- Caches
- Prefetchers
- Bounds Checker
- Memory Controller
MetaSys: Hardware Modules (II)

- Processor
- Core
- Metadata Lookup Unit
- Metadata Mapping Cache
- Mapping Management Unit
- Optimization Client
- Metadata Table
- Main Memory
- Metadata Mapping Table

Commonly used across cross-layer techniques
MetaSys: Software Modules

- Processor
  - Core
  - TLB
- Operating System
- MetaSys Library
- Main Memory
  - Metadata Mapping Table
- Metadata Mapping Cache
- Optimization Client
  - Metadata Table
- Metadata Lookup Unit
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MetaSys: Operation (MAP)

1. Map metadata ID (to memory location)
   - MAP Instruction
   - Metadata ID "0"
   - Start Address "0"
   - Size "1 Unit"

Diagram:
- Core
- Mapping Management Unit
- Main Memory Metadata Mapping Table
- 64 B
- 128 B
- ...
- 4 KiB
MetaSys: Operation (CREATE)

Associate metadata (with metadata ID)

2

CREATE Instruction

Client ID “Cache”

Metadata ID “0”

Metadata “Hot”

Core

Mapping Management Unit

Main Memory

Metadata Mapping Table

Prefetcher

Metadata Table

Cache

Metadata Table
MetaSys: Operation (CREATE)

2. Associate metadata (with metadata ID)
MetaSys: Operation (LOOKUP)

3) Lookup metadata (with address)

Should I evict my cached word at address 0?
MetaSys: Operation (LOOKUP)

3. Lookup metadata (with address)

4. Check metadata table (with metadata id)

- Core
- Mapping Management Unit
  - Metadata ID “0”
  - Metadata Table
- Main Memory
  - Metadata Mapping Table
- Prefetcher
  - Metadata Table
- Cache
  - Metadata Table
- Address “0”

It is used frequently (hot)
I will not evict address 0
Lookup Unit
Metadata “Hot”
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FPGA Prototype (I)

1. **Accurately evaluate feasibility** of the metadata management system
   - Implement all components (e.g., ports, wires, buffers)

2. **Quickly run experiments**
   - Run workloads fast compared to simulation

3. **Develop RTL** as a basis for future work
   - E.g., accurately measure area and power using synthesis tools
FPGA Prototype (II)

Zedboard Zynq FPGA board

RISC-V Rocket Chip
- In-order Rocket Core
- TLB
- Metadata Lookup Unit
- Mapping Management Unit
  - Metadata Mapping Cache
  - Optimization Client
  - Metadata Table

DDR4 Chips
- Metadata Mapping Table
MetaSys is Open Source

https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/MetaSys

About

Metasys is the first open-source FPGA-based infrastructure with a prototype in a RISC-V core, to enable the rapid implementation and evaluation of a wide range of cross-layer software/hardware cooperative techniques. Techniques in real hardware. Described in our pre-print: https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.08123
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Cross-Layer Prefetching Techniques

Handle **challenging** access patterns

- Graph processing
- Pointer chasing
- Linear algebra
- ...  

**Example Prior Work**
[Ainsworth+, ICS‘16]
[Talati+, HPCA’21]

**Case Study #1**

New **cross-layer prefetching technique** for graph applications

- Leverage **graph data structure semantics**
  - Instead of relying on program context or memory access history
Graph Representation

Compressed sparse row (CSR) format

- **Work List**: 0 1 2 3 4
- **Offsets List**: 0 0 2 3 4 7
- **Edges List**: 3 4 4 0 2 3 4
- **Properties**: 0 1 2 3 4

Diagram:

```
0 -- 1 --> 2
|     |
V 3   |
0 -- 2 --> 4
|     |
0 -- 3 --> 4
```

SAFARI
Traversing the Graph

Two types of indirection:

1. Using a single value
2. Using a range of values

Metadata required for prefetching:
- Type of indirection
- Base address of the next list
- Size of elements in both lists
Graph Prefetching Using MetaSys

**Initialize metadata**

1. Map metadata IDs to work, offset, and edges lists

**CREATE** metadata to express the characteristics
2. \{type, base, base\_next, size, size\_next\} of each list

**Prefetch**

3. Load/store instruction triggers metadata lookup in prefetcher

---

**Example**

Edges List: 3 4 4 0 2 3 4

Properties: base\_properties + (size\_properties * 4)
Evaluation Methodology

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CPU</strong></td>
<td>25 MHz, in-order Rocket core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLB</strong></td>
<td>16 entry data TLB, LRU policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L1 D&amp;I$</strong></td>
<td>16 KiB, 4-way, 4 cycle, 64 B cache line, LRU, 2 MSHRs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DRAM</strong></td>
<td>DDR3 1066 MT/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metadata Cache</strong></td>
<td>NMRU policy, 128 entries, 38 bits/entry, 512 B granularity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metadata Table</strong></td>
<td>256 entries, 64 B entry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Workloads from the Ligra benchmark (graph applications):
• PageRank (PR), Shortest Path (SSSP), Collaborative Filtering (CF), Teenage Follower (TF), Triangle Counting (TC), Breadth-First Search (BFS), Radius Estimation (Radii), Connected Components (CC)

Evaluated configurations:
• Stride: Baseline system + a hardware stride prefetcher
• GraphPref: Specialized graph prefetcher
• MetaSys: Graph prefetching using MetaSys
MetaSys **improves performance** by **11.2%** on average.

Performs **similar to** the specialized prefetcher **GraphPref** (within 0.2%).
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Memory Safety

Certain programming models are vulnerable to:

- **Buffer overflows** cause unintentional memory modifications

**Memory-unsafe:** When there is no *bounds checking* for pointers

```c
char buffer[6];
```

```c
string copy (buffer, "buffer overflow")
```

- Software bounds checking: Computationally **expensive**
- Hardware bounds checking: Too **specialized**

**MetaSys allows bounds checking without additional hardware**
Bounds Checking with MetaSys (I)

Array \( A = \text{malloc (16 KB)}; \)
MAP(Metadata ID \( M \), Array \( A \));
for (\( i = 0 \); i < 17 \text{ KB} \); i++)
  CREATE(\( M \));
STORE \( A[i] \), 1337;

1. Allocate 16 KB of memory
   Map Metadata ID \( M \) != 0 to Array \( A \)
2. Loop exceeds allocated memory range
   Execute a CREATE instruction with ID = \( M \) before each STORE
3. Eventually, will cause buffer overflow
Array $A = \text{malloc (16 KB)}$;
MAP(Metadata ID $M$, Array $A$);
for ($i = 0; i < 17$ KB $; i++)$
CREATE($M$);
STORE $A[i]$, 1337;

1. Allocate 16 KB of memory
Map Metadata ID $M \neq 0$ to Array $A$
2. Loop exceeds allocated memory range
Execute a CREATE instruction with ID $= M$
before each STORE
3. Eventually, will cause buffer overflow

Metadata Lookup Unit

Bounds Check Unit

Array $A$
Out-of-bounds
Bounds Checking with MetaSys (I)

Array $A = \text{malloc} (16 \text{ KB})$;
MAP(\text{Metadata ID $M$, Array $A$});
for ($i = 0 ; i < 17 \text{ KB} ; i++$)
  CREATE($M$);
  \text{STORE} \ A[i], 1337;

1. Allocate 16 KB of memory
Map \text{Metadata ID $M \neq 0$} to Array $A$

2. Loop \text{exceeds} allocated memory range
Execute a CREATE instruction with ID = $M$
before each STORE

3. Eventually, will cause buffer overflow

\textbf{Metadata Lookup Unit} \arrow[->]{1}{1} \textbf{Array A} \arrow[->]{2}{2} \textbf{Bounds Check Unit} \arrow[->]{3}{3} \textbf{Out-of-bounds}

\textbf{Fail bounds check}

\textbf{0}
Evaluation Methodology

- Mapping **granularity of 64 B**
  - Each 64 B non-contiguous memory location has an ID
- Evaluate Olden benchmarks
- Compare against a **software bounds checker**

(I) MetaSys bounds checking incurs 14% performance overhead on average
(II) Performs better than the software bounds checker
Return Address Protection with MetaSys

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2105.08123v2
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This paper introduces the first open-source FPGA-based infrastructure, MetaSys, with a prototype in a RISC-V system, to enable the rapid implementation and evaluation of a wide range of cross-layer techniques in real hardware. Hardware-software cooperative techniques are powerful approaches to improving the performance, quality of service, and security of general-purpose processors. They are however typically challenging to rapidly implement and evaluate in real hardware as they require full-stack changes to the hardware, system software, and instruction-set architecture (ISA).
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Characterizing MetaSys

Sources of system overhead:

1. Handling dynamic metadata
   - Communicating metadata SW $\rightarrow$ HW at runtime

2. Metadata management and lookups
   - Components retrieve metadata IDs

3. Scaling to multiple components
   - Multiple components access shared metadata support

Conduct detailed characterization study to understand the overheads
Methodology

• Multiple workloads
  • Polybench, Ligra, and memory-intensive microbenchmarks

• Baseline MetaSys configuration (reminder)
  • 512 B mapping granularity
  • 128 entry metadata mapping cache
  • 256 entry metadata table
  • 64 B metadata

• Stress the metadata management system
  • Perform metadata lookups for every memory access
Overhead of Accessing Metadata

Average real workload lookup overhead is low: 2.7% (~0% min, ~14% max)

Low spatial & temporal locality workloads have high overheads (Random: 27%)

Miss-only and all-access are similar in performance (0.05% difference)
Most workloads have **small performance overhead** even at the **smallest** mapping granularity

Some workloads experience **high overhead** even at the **largest** mapping granularity
Effect of Multiple Clients

**Client 0:** Lookup on all memory accesses
**Client 1:** Lookup on all page table walk requests

Average **real workload** overhead is 0.3%
(over one client)

Microbenchmarks experience higher overhead with more clients

In the paper: Investigate mechanisms to alleviate their overheads
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More in the Paper

• More details on MetaSys Design
  • OS Support
  • Coherence/Consistency
  • Timing Sensitivity of Metadata Lookups
  • Software Library
  • Area overhead (@ 22nm): 0.03 mm²
    • 0.02% of an Intel Ivy Bridge CPU core

• In-depth characterization analysis
  • Effects of address translation
  • Effects of Metadata Mapping Cache size
  • Performance overhead of MAP/CREATE instructions
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Abstract

This article introduces the first open-source FPGA-based infrastructure, MetaSys, with a prototype in a RISC-V system, to enable the rapid implementation and evaluation of a wide range of cross-layer techniques in real hardware. Hardware-software cooperative techniques are powerful approaches to improving the performance, quality of service, and security of general-purpose processors. They are, however, typically challenging to rapidly implement and evaluate in real hardware as they require full-stack changes to the hardware, system software, and instruction-set architecture (ISA).
**Motivation:** Hardware-software cooperative (cross-layer) techniques improve performance, quality of service, and security

**Problem:** Cross-layer techniques are challenging to implement and evaluate in real hardware because they require modifications across the stack

**Our Goal** is twofold:
1) Enable rapid implementation and evaluation of cross-layer techniques in real hardware
2) Quantify the overheads associated with a general metadata management system

**Key Idea:**
Develop a metadata management system (**MetaSys**) with hardware and software components that are reusable across cross-layer techniques to minimize programmer effort

**Prototype:** Xilinx Zedboard prototype using RISC-V Rocket Chip:
Low on-chip storage (0.2%) and memory storage (0.2%) overhead metadata management

**Evaluation:** Graph prefetching and memory protection case studies, extensive overhead characterization
- MetaSys-based graph prefetcher performs similar to state-of-the-art specialized prefetcher
- Low-overhead bounds-checking (14%) and return address protection (1.2%)
- Single general metadata management system scales well
MetaSys is Open Source

https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/MetaSys
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MetaSys is the first open-source FPGA-based infrastructure with a prototype in a RISC-V core, to enable the rapid implementation and evaluation of a wide range of cross-layer software/hardware cooperative techniques. Techniques in real hardware. Described in our pre-print: https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.08123
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This paper introduces the first open-source FPGA-based infrastructure, MetaSys, with a prototype in a RISC-V core, to enable the rapid implementation and evaluation of a wide range of cross-layer techniques in real hardware. Hardware-software cooperative techniques are powerful approaches to improve the performance, quality of service, and security of general-purpose processors. They are however typically challenging to rapidly implement and evaluate in real hardware as they require full-stack changes to the hardware, OS, system software, and instruction-set architecture (ISA).

MetaSys implements a rich hardware-software interface and lightweight metadata support that can be used as a common basis to rapidly implement and evaluate new cross-layer techniques. We demonstrate MetaSys's versatility and ease-of-use by implementing and evaluating three cross-layer techniques for: (i) prefetching for graph analytics; (ii) bounds checking in memory unsafe languages, and (iii) return address protection in stack frames; each technique only requiring ~100 lines of Chisel code over MetaSys.

Using MetaSys, we perform the first detailed experimental study to quantify the performance overheads of using a single metadata management system to enable multiple cross-layer optimizations in CPUs. We identify the key sources of bottlenecks and system inefficiency of a general metadata management system. We design MetaSys to minimize these inefficiencies and provide increased versatility compared to previously-proposed metadata systems. Using three use cases and a detailed characterization, we demonstrate that a common metadata management system can be used to efficiently support diverse cross-layer techniques in CPUs.
MetaSys is Open Source
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Backup Slides
Support for:

1) managing MMT in physical address space
2) flushing PMTs on context switch
3) updating MMT tags and invalidating MMC on page swaps
4) trap into OS to perform checks (e.g., on bounds check failure)
Coherence/Consistency

- Private metadata tables of private components (e.g., L1 cache) are not coherent
- Private metadata tables of shared components are coherent automatically
  - Updates in PMTs due to CREATEs are visible to all threads immediately
  - Guaranteeing consistency requires use of barriers and fences
- Metadata mapping table shared across threads of the same process
  - Point of coherence is the mapping table, on a MAP, MMC entries in other cores are invalidated
  - Consistency still requires barriers and fences
Timing Sensitivity of Metadata

3 modes on how to handle a lookup:

• **Force stall**: Memory instruction that triggered a lookup cannot commit until the optimization is complete
  - Security use cases

• **No stall**: Metadata lookups never stall the core, but they are always resolved
  - E.g., in page placement, cache replacement

• **Best effort**: Lookups may be dropped before they complete
  - E.g., prefetcher training
Table 2. The MetaSys software library function calls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library Function Call</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CREATE(ClientID, TagID, *meta)</td>
<td>ClientID -&gt; PMT[TagID] = *metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP(start*, end*, TagID)</td>
<td>MMT[start...end] = TagID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNMAP(start*, end*)</td>
<td>MMT[start...end] = 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MetaSys vs XMem

Key difference:
• The whole system is open-sourced

Differences in interfaces:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>XMem [164]</th>
<th>MetaSys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CREATE</td>
<td>Compiler pragma to communicate static metadata at program load time.</td>
<td>Selects a hardware optimization, dynamically associates metadata with an ID, and communicates both to hardware at runtime (implemented as a new instruction).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(UN)MAP</td>
<td>Associate memory ranges with tag IDs (implemented as new instructions).</td>
<td>Same semantics and implementation as XMem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DE)ACTIVATE</td>
<td>Enable/disable optimizations associated with a tag ID (implemented as new instructions).</td>
<td><strong>Does not exist</strong> as the same functionality can now be done with CREATE.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Existing Cross-Layer Infrastructure

**PARD:** Enable quality-of-service techniques
- Tag programs with an ID
- Propagate program ID with memory requests
- Hardware enforces QoS requirements on memory requests

**Cheri:** Fine-grained memory protection
- Capability registers replace address operands
- Tag capabilities with an ID
- Propagate capability IDs with memory requests
- Hardware enforces bounds checking, pointer integrity, etc.

[Huang+, CARRV’17] [Woodruf+, ISCA’14]
MetaSys: Return Address Protection

1) Map return addresses to metadata id 1
2) Return address protection client performs metadata lookup on every store
3) If a store modifies a non-zero metadata

1.2% average performance overhead
(in contrast to software canary’s 5.5%)
Additional Memory Accesses
Effect of Metadata Mapping Cache Size
Tagging Granularity vs TLB Misses
Effect of Address Translation
Alleviating Metadata Mapping Cache Contention

The diagram illustrates the normalized execution time across various microbenchmarks (3D Array, LL, Random, Stream, AVG) under different conditions (One Client, Two Clients, Partitioning MMC, Prioritized Insertion, No-Stall). The graph shows a comparison of execution times, with the Stream benchmark having the highest normalized time at 5.32, indicating significant contention. The AVG benchmark shows a more balanced performance with a normalized time of 2.36.
MAP/CREATE Instruction Overhead
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This work introduces MetaSys:
1) A general metadata management system
2) Various hardware and software components in a **real prototype** to minimize developer effort

[Vijaykumar+, ISCA’18b]