The Non-IID Data Quagmire of Decentralized Machine Learning

ICML 2020

Kevin Hsieh, Amar Phanishayee, Onur Mutlu, Phillip Gibbons



Carnegie Mellon University



ML Training with Decentralized Data

Geo-Distributed Learning



Federated Learning



Data Sovereignty and Privacy

Major Challenges in Decentralized ML



Challenge 1: Communication Bottlenecks

Solutions: Federated Averaging, Gaia, Deep Gradient Compression

Major Challenges in Decentralized ML

Geo-Distributed Learning

Federated Learning

Challenge 2: Data are often highly skewed (non-iid data)

Solutions: Understudied! Is it a real problem?

Our Work in a Nutshell





Proposed **Solution**

Real-World Dataset Experimental Study

5



Geographical mammal images from Flickr

736K pictures in 42 mammal classes

Real-World Dataset Highly skewed labels among geographic regions



Experimental Study Skewed data labels are a fundamental and pervasive problem

The problem is even worse for DNNs with batch normalization

The degree of skew determines the difficulty of the problem

Proposed Solution Replace batch normalization with group normalization

SkewScout: communication-efficient decentralized learning over arbitrarily skewed data



Real-World Dataset

Flickr-Mammal Dataset

42 mammal classes from Open Images and ImageNet





Roverse

Reverse geocoding to country, subcontinent, and continent

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3676081

736K Pictures with Labels and Geographic Information

Top-3 Mammals in Each Continent



Each top-3 mammal takes 44-92% share of global images



Vast majority of mammals are dominated by 2-3 continents

The labels are even more skewed among subcontinents



Experimental Study

Scope of Experimental Study

ML Application

Decentralized Learning Algorithms

Skewness of Data Label Partitions







- Image Classification (with various DNNs and datasets)
- Face recognition

Gaia [NSDI'17] FederatedAveraging [AISTATS'17] DeepGradientCompression [ICLR'18]

2-5 Partitions -more partitions are worse



All decentralized learning algorithms lose significant accuracy

Tight synchronization (BSP) is accurate but too slow

Skewed data is a pervasive and fundamental problem

Even BSP loses accuracy for DNNs with Batch Normalization layers



Degree of Skew is a Key Factor



CIFAR-10 with GN-LeNet

Degree of skew can determine the difficulty of the problem

Τ1



Batch Normalization — Problem and Solution

Background: Batch Normalization



Standard normal distribution ($\mu = 0, \sigma = 1$) in each minibatch at training time



Normalize with estimated global μ and σ at test time

Batch normalization enables larger learning rates and avoid sharp local minimum (generalize better)

Batch Normalization with Skewed Data



CIFAR-10 with BN-LeNet (2 Partitions)

Minibatch μ and σ vary significantly among partitions Global μ and σ do not work for all partitions

Solution: Use Group Normalization [Wu and He, ECCV'18]

Batch Normalization



Group Normalization



Designed for small minibatches We apply as a solution for skewed data

Results with Group Normalization



GroupNorm recovers the accuracy loss for BSP and reduces accuracy losses for decentralized algorithms



SkewScout: Decentralized learning over arbitrarily skewed data

23

Overview of SkewScout

- Recall that degree of data skew determines difficulty
- SkewScout: Adapts communication to the skew-induced accuracy loss



Minimize commutation when accuracy loss is acceptable Work with different decentralized learning algorithms

Evaluation of SkewScout

All data points achieves the same validation accuracy



Significant saving over BSP Only within 1.5X more than Oracle

Key Takeaways



Flickr-Mammal dataset: Highly skewed
 label distribution in the real world



Skewed data is a pervasive problem
Batch normalization is particularly problematic



- SkewScout: adapts decentralized learning over arbitrarily skewed data
 Group normalization is a good alternative to batch normalization