

Memory Systems and Memory-Centric Computing Systems

Lecture 4, Topic 3: In-Memory Computation

Prof. Onur Mutlu

omutlu@gmail.com

<https://people.inf.ethz.ch/omutlu>

12 July 2018

HiPEAC ACACES Summer School 2018

What Will You Learn in This Course?

- Memory Systems and Memory-Centric Computing Systems
 - July 9-13, 2018
- Topic 1: Main Memory Trends and Basics
- Topic 2: Memory Reliability & Security: RowHammer and Beyond
- Topic 3: In-memory Computation
- Topic 4: Low-Latency and Low-Energy Memory
- Topic 5 (unlikely): Enabling and Exploiting Non-Volatile Memory
- Topic 6 (unlikely): Flash Memory and SSD Scaling
- Major Overview Reading:
 - Mutlu and Subramaniam, "Research Problems and Opportunities in Memory Systems," SUPERFRI 2014.

Agenda

- Brief Introduction
- A Motivating Example
- Memory System Trends
- What Will You Learn In This Course
 - And, how to make the best of it...
- Memory Fundamentals
- Key Memory Challenges and Solution Directions
 - Security, Reliability, Safety
 - Energy and Performance: Data-Centric Systems
 - Latency and Latency-Reliability Tradeoffs
- Summary and Future Lookout


Four Key Directions

- Fundamentally **Secure/Reliable/Safe** Architectures
- Fundamentally **Energy-Efficient** Architectures
 - **Memory-centric** (Data-centric) Architectures
- Fundamentally **Low-Latency** Architectures
- Architectures for **Genomics, Medicine, Health**

Maslow's (Human) Hierarchy of Needs, Revisited

Maslow, "A Theory of Human Motivation,"
Psychological Review, 1943.


Maslow, "Motivation and Personality,"
Book, 1954-1970.



Do We Want This?



Or This?



Sub-Agenda: In-Memory Computation

- Major Trends Affecting Main Memory
- **The Need for Intelligent Memory Controllers**
 - **Bottom Up: Push from Circuits and Devices**
 - **Top Down: Pull from Systems and Applications**
- Processing in Memory: Two Directions
 - Minimally Changing Memory Chips
 - Exploiting 3D-Stacked Memory
- How to Enable Adoption of Processing in Memory
- Conclusion

Observation and Opportunity

- High latency and high energy caused by data movement
 - ❑ Long, energy-hungry interconnects
 - ❑ Energy-hungry electrical interfaces
 - ❑ Movement of large amounts of data
- Opportunity: Minimize data movement by performing computation directly where the data resides
 - ❑ Processing in memory (PIM)
 - ❑ In-memory computation/processing
 - ❑ Near-data processing (NDP)
 - ❑ General concept applicable to any data storage & movement unit (caches, SSDs, main memory, network, controllers)

Three Key Systems Trends

1. Data access is a major bottleneck


- ▣ Applications are increasingly data hungry

2. Energy consumption is a key limiter

3. Data movement energy dominates compute

- ▣ Especially true for off-chip to on-chip movement

The Need for More Memory Performance




In-memory Databases

[Mao+, EuroSys'12;
Clapp+ (Intel), IISWC'15]




In-Memory Data Analytics

[Clapp+ (Intel), IISWC'15;
Awan+, BDCloud'15]



Graph/Tree Processing

[Xu+, IISWC'12; Umuroglu+, FPL'15]



Datacenter Workloads

[Kanev+ (Google), ISCA'15]

High Performance,
Energy Efficient,
Sustainable

The Problem

Data access is the major performance and energy bottleneck


Our current
design principles
cause great energy waste
(and great performance loss)

The Problem


Processing of data
is performed
far away from the data

A Computing System

- Three key components
- Computation
- Communication
- Storage/memory




Burks, Goldstein, von Neumann, "Preliminary discussion of the logical design of an electronic computing instrument," 1946.




A Computing System

- Three key components
- Computation
- Communication
- Storage/memory




Burks, Goldstein, von Neumann, "Preliminary discussion of the logical design of an electronic computing instrument," 1946.

Computing System




Today's Computing Systems

- Are overwhelmingly processor centric
- All data processed in the processor → at great system cost
- Processor is heavily optimized and is considered the master
- Data storage units are dumb and are largely unoptimized (except for some that are on the processor die)



Yet ...

- **“It’s the Memory, Stupid!”** (Richard Sites, MPR, 1996)



The Performance Perspective

- Onur Mutlu, Jared Stark, Chris Wilkerson, and Yale N. Patt,
"Runahead Execution: An Alternative to Very Large Instruction Windows for Out-of-order Processors"
Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on High-Performance Computer Architecture (HPCA), pages 129-140, Anaheim, CA, February 2003. [Slides \(pdf\)](#)

Runahead Execution: An Alternative to Very Large Instruction Windows for Out-of-order Processors

Onur Mutlu § Jared Stark † Chris Wilkerson ‡ Yale N. Patt §


§ECE Department
The University of Texas at Austin
{onur,patt}@ece.utexas.edu

†Microprocessor Research
Intel Labs
jared.w.stark@intel.com

‡Desktop Platforms Group
Intel Corporation
chris.wilkerson@intel.com

The Performance Perspective (Today)

- All of Google's Data Center Workloads (2015):



The Performance Perspective (Today)

- All of Google's Data Center Workloads (2015):





Figure 11: Half of cycles are spent stalled on caches.

Perils of Processor-Centric Design

- **Grossly-imbalanced systems**
 - ❑ Processing done only in **one place**
 - ❑ Everything else just stores and moves data: **data moves a lot**
 - Energy inefficient
 - Low performance
 - Complex
- **Overly complex and bloated processor (and accelerators)**
 - ❑ To tolerate data access from memory
 - ❑ Complex hierarchies and mechanisms
 - Energy inefficient
 - Low performance
 - Complex

Perils of Processor-Centric Design




Most of the system is dedicated to storing and moving data

The Energy Perspective

Communication Dominates Arithmetic


Dally, HiPEAC 2015



Data Movement vs. Computation Energy

Communication Dominates Arithmetic


Dally, HiPEAC 2015



A memory access consumes $\sim 1000X$ the energy of a complex addition

Data Movement vs. Computation Energy

- **Data movement** is a major system energy bottleneck
 - ❑ Comprises 41% of mobile system energy during web browsing [2]
 - ❑ Costs ~ 115 times as much energy as an ADD operation [1, 2]



[1]: Reducing data Movement Energy via Online Data Clustering and Encoding (MICRO'16)

[2]: Quantifying the energy cost of data movement for emerging smart phone workloads on mobile platforms (IISWC'14)

Energy Waste in Mobile Devices

- Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, Youngsok Kim, Rachata Ausavarungnirun, Eric Shiu, Rahul Thakur, Daehyun Kim, Aki Kuusela, Allan Knies, Parthasarathy Ranganathan, and Onur Mutlu, **"Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks"** *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems (ASPLOS)*, Williamsburg, VA, USA, March 2018.

**62.7% of the total system energy
is spent on data movement**

Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks

Amirali Boroumand¹

Saugata Ghose¹

Youngsok Kim²

Rachata Ausavarungnirun¹

Eric Shiu³

Rahul Thakur³

Daehyun Kim^{4,3}

Aki Kuusela³

Allan Knies³


Parthasarathy Ranganathan³

Onur Mutlu^{5,1}

We Do Not Want to Move Data!

Communication Dominates Arithmetic

Dally, HiPEAC 2015




A memory access consumes $\sim 1000X$ the energy of a complex addition

We Need A Paradigm Shift To ...

- Enable computation with minimal data movement
- Compute where it makes sense (where data resides)
- Make computing architectures more data-centric

Goal: Processing Inside Memory




- Many questions ... How do we design the:
 - ❑ compute-capable memory & controllers?
 - ❑ processor chip and in-memory units?
 - ❑ software and hardware interfaces?
 - ❑ system software and languages?
 - ❑ algorithms?

Problem
Algorithm
Program/Language
System Software
SW/HW Interface
Micro-architecture
Logic
Devices
Electrons

Why In-Memory Computation Today?



→ Industry C



■ Pull from Systems and Applications

- ❑ Data access is a major system and application bottleneck
- ❑ Systems are energy limited
- ❑ Data movement much more energy-hungry than computation

Agenda

- Major Trends Affecting Main Memory
- The Need for Intelligent Memory Controllers
 - Bottom Up: Push from Circuits and Devices
 - Top Down: Pull from Systems and Applications
- Processing in Memory: Two Directions
 - Minimally Changing Memory Chips
 - Exploiting 3D-Stacked Memory
- How to Enable Adoption of Processing in Memory
- Conclusion

Processing in Memory: Two Approaches


1. Minimally changing memory chips
2. Exploiting 3D-stacked memory

Approach 1: Minimally Changing DRAM


- DRAM has great capability to perform **bulk data movement and computation** internally with small changes
 - Can **exploit internal connectivity** to move data
 - Can **exploit analog computation capability**
 - ...
- Examples: RowClone, In-DRAM AND/OR, Gather/Scatter DRAM
 - RowClone: Fast and Efficient In-DRAM Copy and Initialization of Bulk Data (Seshadri et al., MICRO 2013)
 - Fast Bulk Bitwise AND and OR in DRAM (Seshadri et al., IEEE CAL 2015)
 - Gather-Scatter DRAM: In-DRAM Address Translation to Improve the Spatial Locality of Non-unit Strided Accesses (Seshadri et al., MICRO 2015)
 - "Ambit: In-Memory Accelerator for Bulk Bitwise Operations Using Commodity DRAM Technology" (Seshadri et al., MICRO 2017)

Starting Simple: Data Copy and Initialization

**Bulk Data
Copy**



**Bulk Data
Initialization**



Bulk Data Copy and Initialization

The Impact of Architectural Trends on Operating System Performance

Mendel Rosenblum, Edouard Bugnion, Stephen Alan Herrod,
Emmett Witchel, and Anoop Gupta

Hardware Support for Bulk Data Movement in Server Platforms

Li Zhao[†], Ravi Iyer[‡], Srihari Makineni[‡], Laxmi Bhuyan[†] and Don Newell[‡]

[†]Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521
Email: {zhao, bhuyan}@cs.ucr.edu

[‡]Communications Technology Lab, Intel Corp.


Architecture Support for Improving Bulk Memory Copying and Initialization Performance

Xiaowei Jiang, Yan Solihin
Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering
North Carolina State University
Raleigh, USA


Li Zhao, Ravishankar Iyer
Intel Labs
Intel Corporation
Hillsboro, USA

Starting Simple: Data Copy and Initialization


memmove & memcpy: 5% cycles in Google's datacenter [Kanev+ ISCA'15]



Forking



**Zero initialization
(e.g., security)**



Checkpointing




**VM Cloning
Deduplication**



Page Migration


...
Many more

Today's Systems: Bulk Data Copy



1046ns, 3.6uJ (for 4KB page copy via DMA)


Future Systems: In-Memory Copy




1046ns, 3.6uJ → 90ns, 0.04uJ

RowClone: In-DRAM Row Copy


Idea: Two consecutive ACTivates
Negligible HW cost



RowClone: Intra-Subarray




RowClone: Intra-Subarray (II)



1. **Activate** src row (copy data from src to row buffer)
2. **Activate** dst row (disconnect src from row buffer, connect dst – copy data from row buffer to dst)


RowClone: Inter-Bank




Overlap the latency of the read and the write
1.9X latency reduction, **3.2X** energy reduction

Generalized RowClone

0.01% area cost



RowClone: Fast Row Initialization




Fix a row at Zero
(0.5% loss in capacity)

RowClone: Bulk Initialization


- Initialization with arbitrary data
 - Initialize one row
 - Copy the data to other rows
- Zero initialization (most common)
 - Reserve a row in each subarray (always zero)
 - Copy data from reserved row (FPM mode)
 - **6.0X** lower latency, **41.5X** lower DRAM energy
 - 0.2% loss in capacity

RowClone: Latency & Energy Benefits

Latency Reduction



Energy Reduction



Very low cost: 0.01% increase in die area


Copy

Zero


Copy

Zero

Copy and Initialization in Workloads



RowClone: Application Performance



End-to-End System Design

Application

How to communicate occurrences of bulk copy/initialization across layers?

Operating System

How to ensure cache coherence?

ISA


Microarchitecture

How to maximize latency and energy savings?

DRAM (RowClone)

How to handle data reuse?

RowClone: Latency and Energy Savings



Seshadri et al., "RowClone: Fast and Efficient In-DRAM Copy and Initialization of Bulk Data," MICRO 2013.

More on RowClone

- Vivek Seshadri, Yoongu Kim, Chris Fallin, Donghyuk Lee, Rachata Ausavarungnirun, Gennady Pekhimenko, Yixin Luo, Onur Mutlu, Michael A. Kozuch, Phillip B. Gibbons, and Todd C. Mowry,
"RowClone: Fast and Energy-Efficient In-DRAM Bulk Data Copy and Initialization"
Proceedings of the 46th International Symposium on Microarchitecture (MICRO), Davis, CA, December 2013. [[Slides \(pptx\)](#)] [[\(pdf\)](#)] [[Lightning Session Slides \(pptx\)](#)] [[\(pdf\)](#)] [[Poster \(pptx\)](#)] [[\(pdf\)](#)]

RowClone: Fast and Energy-Efficient In-DRAM Bulk Data Copy and Initialization


Vivek Seshadri Yoongu Kim Chris Fallin* Donghyuk Lee
vseshadr@cs.cmu.edu yoongukim@cmu.edu cfallin@c1f.net donghyuk1@cmu.edu

Rachata Ausavarungnirun Gennady Pekhimenko Yixin Luo
rachata@cmu.edu gpekhime@cs.cmu.edu yixinluo@andrew.cmu.edu

Onur Mutlu Phillip B. Gibbons† Michael A. Kozuch† Todd C. Mowry
onur@cmu.edu phillip.b.gibbons@intel.com michael.a.kozuch@intel.com tcm@cs.cmu.edu

Carnegie Mellon University †Intel Pittsburgh

Memory as an Accelerator




Memory similar to a "conventional" accelerator

In-Memory Bulk Bitwise Operations

- We can support in-DRAM COPY, ZERO, AND, OR, NOT, MAJ
- At low cost
- Using analog computation capability of DRAM
 - Idea: activating multiple rows performs computation
- 30-60X performance and energy improvement
 - Seshadri+, "Ambit: In-Memory Accelerator for Bulk Bitwise Operations Using Commodity DRAM Technology," MICRO 2017.
- New memory technologies enable even more opportunities
 - Memristors, resistive RAM, phase change mem, STT-MRAM, ...
 - Can operate on data with minimal movement

In-DRAM AND/OR: Triple Row Activation



Final State
 $AB + BC + AC$

**$C(A + B) +$
 $\sim C(AB)$**

In-DRAM Bulk Bitwise AND/OR Operation

- **BULKAND A, B → C**
 - Semantics: Perform a bitwise AND of two rows A and B and store the result in row C
 - R0 – reserved zero row, R1 – reserved one row
 - D1, D2, D3 – Designated rows for triple activation
-
1. RowClone A into D1
 2. RowClone B into D2
 3. RowClone R0 into D3
 4. ACTIVATE D1,D2,D3
 5. RowClone Result into C

More on In-DRAM Bulk AND/OR

- Vivek Seshadri, Kevin Hsieh, Amirali Boroumand, Donghyuk Lee, Michael A. Kozuch, Onur Mutlu, Phillip B. Gibbons, and Todd C. Mowry,
"Fast Bulk Bitwise AND and OR in DRAM"
IEEE Computer Architecture Letters (***CAL***), April 2015.

Fast Bulk Bitwise AND and OR in DRAM

Vivek Seshadri*, Kevin Hsieh*, Amirali Boroumand*, Donghyuk Lee*,
Michael A. Kozuch†, Onur Mutlu*, Phillip B. Gibbons†, Todd C. Mowry*

*Carnegie Mellon University

†Intel Pittsburgh

In-DRAM NOT: Dual Contact Cell




Figure 5: A dual-contact cell connected to both ends of a sense amplifier

Idea:
Feed the
negated value
in the sense amplifier
into a special row

In-DRAM NOT Operation




Figure 5: Bitwise NOT using a dual contact capacitor

Seshadri+, "Ambit: In-Memory Accelerator for Bulk Bitwise Operations using Commodity DRAM Technology," MICRO 2017.

Performance: In-DRAM Bitwise Operations




Figure 9: Throughput of bitwise operations on various systems.

Seshadri+, "Ambit: In-Memory Accelerator for Bulk Bitwise Operations using Commodity DRAM Technology," MICRO 2017.


Energy of In-DRAM Bitwise Operations

	Design	not	and/or	nand/nor	xor/xnor
DRAM & Channel Energy (nJ/KB)	DDR3	93.7	137.9	137.9	137.9
	Ambit	1.6	3.2	4.0	5.5
	(↓)	59.5X	43.9X	35.1X	25.1X


Table 3: Energy of bitwise operations. (↓) indicates energy reduction of Ambit over the traditional DDR3-based design.

Seshadri+, "Ambit: In-Memory Accelerator for Bulk Bitwise Operations using Commodity DRAM Technology," MICRO 2017.

Ambit vs. DDR3: Performance and Energy



Bulk Bitwise Operations in Workloads



Example Data Structure: Bitmap Index

- Alternative to B-tree and its variants
- Efficient for performing *range queries* and *joins*
- **Many bitwise operations to perform a query**

age < 18 18 < age < 25 25 < age < 60 age > 60

Bitmap 1

Bitmap 2

Bitmap 3

Bitmap 4

Performance: Bitmap Index on Ambit




Figure 10: Bitmap index performance. The value above each bar indicates the reduction in execution time due to Ambit.

Seshadri+, "Ambit: In-Memory Accelerator for Bulk Bitwise Operations using Commodity DRAM Technology," MICRO 2017.

Performance: BitWeaving on Ambit




Figure 11: Speedup offered by Ambit over baseline CPU with SIMD for BitWeaving

Seshadri+, "Ambit: In-Memory Accelerator for Bulk Bitwise Operations using Commodity DRAM Technology," MICRO 2017.

More on In-DRAM Bulk AND/OR

- Vivek Seshadri, Kevin Hsieh, Amirali Boroumand, Donghyuk Lee, Michael A. Kozuch, Onur Mutlu, Phillip B. Gibbons, and Todd C. Mowry,
"Fast Bulk Bitwise AND and OR in DRAM"
IEEE Computer Architecture Letters (***CAL***), April 2015.

Fast Bulk Bitwise AND and OR in DRAM

Vivek Seshadri*, Kevin Hsieh*, Amirali Boroumand*, Donghyuk Lee*,
Michael A. Kozuch†, Onur Mutlu*, Phillip B. Gibbons†, Todd C. Mowry*

*Carnegie Mellon University

†Intel Pittsburgh

More on Ambit

- Vivek Seshadri et al., “**Ambit: In-Memory Accelerator for Bulk Bitwise Operations Using Commodity DRAM Technology**,” MICRO 2017.

Ambit: In-Memory Accelerator for Bulk Bitwise Operations Using Commodity DRAM Technology

Vivek Seshadri^{1,5} Donghyuk Lee^{2,5} Thomas Mullins^{3,5} Hasan Hassan⁴ Amirali Boroumand⁵
Jeremie Kim^{4,5} Michael A. Kozuch³ Onur Mutlu^{4,5} Phillip B. Gibbons⁵ Todd C. Mowry⁵

¹Microsoft Research India ²NVIDIA Research ³Intel ⁴ETH Zürich ⁵Carnegie Mellon University

Computing Architectures with Minimal Data Movement

Challenge: Intelligent Memory Device

Does **memory**
have to be
dumb?

Agenda

- Major Trends Affecting Main Memory
- The Need for Intelligent Memory Controllers
 - Bottom Up: Push from Circuits and Devices
 - Top Down: Pull from Systems and Applications
- Processing in Memory: Two Directions
 - Minimally Changing Memory Chips
 - Exploiting 3D-Stacked Memory
- How to Enable Adoption of Processing in Memory
- Conclusion


Processing in Memory: Two Approaches

1. Minimally changing memory chips
2. Exploiting 3D-stacked memory

Opportunity: 3D-Stacked Logic+Memory



Hybrid Memory Cube
C O N S O R T I U M



Memory

Logic

Other "True 3D" technologies
under development

DRAM Landscape (circa 2015)

Segment	DRAM Standards & Architectures
Commodity	DDR3 (2007) [14]; DDR4 (2012) [18]
Low-Power	LPDDR3 (2012) [17]; LPDDR4 (2014) [20]
Graphics	GDDR5 (2009) [15]
Performance	eDRAM [28], [32]; RLDram3 (2011) [29]
3D-Stacked	WIO (2011) [16]; WIO2 (2014) [21]; MCDRAM (2015) [13]; HBM (2013) [19]; HMC1.0 (2013) [10]; HMC1.1 (2014) [11]
Academic	SBA/SSA (2010) [38]; Staged Reads (2012) [8]; RAIDR (2012) [27]; SALP (2012) [24]; TL-DRAM (2013) [26]; RowClone (2013) [37]; Half-DRAM (2014) [39]; Row-Buffer Decoupling (2014) [33]; SARP (2014) [6]; AL-DRAM (2015) [25]

Table 1. Landscape of DRAM-based memory

Kim+, “[Ramulator: A Flexible and Extensible DRAM Simulator](#)”, IEEE CAL 2015.

Two Key Questions in 3D-Stacked PIM

- How can we accelerate important applications if we use 3D-stacked memory as a coarse-grained accelerator?
 - what is the architecture and programming model?
 - what are the mechanisms for acceleration?

- What is the minimal processing-in-memory support we can provide?
 - without changing the system significantly
 - while achieving significant benefits

Graph Processing

- Large graphs are everywhere (circa 2015)



36 Million
Wikipedia Pages



1.4 Billion
Facebook Users




300 Million
Twitter Users



30 Billion
Instagram Photos


- Scalable large-scale graph processing is challenging



Key Bottlenecks in Graph Processing

```
for (v: graph.vertices) {  
  for (w: v.successors) {  
    w.next_rank += weight * v.rank;  
  }  
}
```


1. Frequent random memory accesses




2. Little amount of computation

Tesseract System for Graph Processing

Interconnected set of 3D-stacked memory+logic chips with simple cores




Tesseract System for Graph Processing




Communications In Tesseract (I)

```
for (v: graph.vertices) {  
  for (w: v.successors) {  
    w.next_rank += weight * v.rank;  
  }  
}
```



Communications In Tesseract (II)

```
for (v: graph.vertices) {  
  for (w: v.successors) {  
    w.next_rank += weight * v.rank;  
  }  
}
```




Communications In Tesseract (III)

```
for (v: graph.vertices) {  
  for (w: v.successors) {  
    put(w.id, function() { w.next_rank += weight * v.rank; });  
  }  
}  
barrier();
```


Non-blocking Remote Function Call

Can be **delayed** until the nearest barrier




Remote Function Call (Non-Blocking)

1. Send function address & args to the remote core
2. Store the incoming message to the message queue
3. Flush the message queue when it is full or a synchronization barrier is reached




```
put(w.id, function() { w.next_rank += value; })
```

Tesseract System for Graph Processing




Evaluated Systems

DDR3-OoO




102.4GB/s

HMC-OoO




640GB/s

HMC-MC



640GB/s


Tesseract




8TB/s

Tesseract Graph Processing Performance


>13X Performance Improvement




Tesseract Graph Processing Performance



Effect of Bandwidth & Programming Model



Tesseract Graph Processing System Energy



Tesseract: Advantages & Disadvantages

■ Advantages

- + Specialized graph processing accelerator using PIM
- + Large system performance and energy benefits
- + Takes advantage of 3D stacking for an important workload

■ Disadvantages

- Changes a lot in the system
 - New programming model
 - Specialized Tesseract cores for graph processing
- Cost
- Scalability limited by off-chip links or graph partitioning

More on Tesseract

- Junwhan Ahn, Sungpack Hong, Sungjoo Yoo, Onur Mutlu, and Kiyoungh Choi,
"A Scalable Processing-in-Memory Accelerator for Parallel Graph Processing"
Proceedings of the 42nd International Symposium on Computer Architecture (ISCA), Portland, OR, June 2015.
[[Slides \(pdf\)](#)] [[Lightning Session Slides \(pdf\)](#)]

A Scalable Processing-in-Memory Accelerator for Parallel Graph Processing

Junwhan Ahn Sungpack Hong[§] Sungjoo Yoo Onur Mutlu[†] Kiyoungh Choi
junwhan@snu.ac.kr, sungpack.hong@oracle.com, sungjoo.yoo@gmail.com, onur@cmu.edu, kchoi@snu.ac.kr

Seoul National University

[§]Oracle Labs

[†]Carnegie Mellon University

3D-Stacked PIM on Mobile Devices

- Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, Youngsok Kim, Rachata Ausavarungnirun, Eric Shiu, Rahul Thakur, Daehyun Kim, Aki Kuusela, Allan Knies, Parthasarathy Ranganathan, and Onur Mutlu, **"Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks"**
*Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems (**ASPLOS**), Williamsburg, VA, USA, March 2018.*

Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks

Amirali Boroumand¹

Saugata Ghose¹

Youngsok Kim²

Rachata Ausavarungnirun¹

Eric Shiu³

Rahul Thakur³

Daehyun Kim^{4,3}

Aki Kuusela³

Allan Knies³

Parthasarathy Ranganathan³

Onur Mutlu^{5,1}

Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks

Amirali Boroumand

Saugata Ghose, Youngsok Kim, Rachata Ausavarungnirun,
Eric Shiu, Rahul Thakur, Daehyun Kim, Aki Kuusela,
Allan Knies, Parthasarathy Ranganathan, Onur Mutlu

SAFARI

Carnegie Mellon

Google



SEOUL
NATIONAL
UNIVERSITY

ETH zürich

Consumer Devices



Consumer devices are everywhere!

**Energy consumption is
a first-class concern in consumer devices**



Popular Google Consumer Workloads



Chrome

Google's web browser



TensorFlow Mobile

Google's machine learning framework

VP9



Video Playback

Google's **video codec**

VP9




Video Capture

Google's **video codec**

Energy Cost of Data Movement

1st key observation: **62.7%** of the total system energy is spent on **data movement**



Potential solution: move computation **close to data**

Challenge: limited area and energy budget

Using PIM to Reduce Data Movement


2nd key observation: a significant fraction of the **data movement** often comes from **simple functions**

We can design lightweight logic to implement these simple functions in **memory**

Small embedded
low-power core



Small fixed-function
accelerators



Offloading to PIM logic reduces energy and improves performance, on average, by 55.4% and 54.2%

Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks

Amirali Boroumand

Saugata Ghose, Youngsok Kim, Rachata Ausavarungnirun,
Eric Shiu, Rahul Thakur, Daehyun Kim, Aki Kuusela,
Allan Knies, Parthasarathy Ranganathan, Onur Mutlu

ASPLOS 2018

SAFARI

Carnegie Mellon

Google



SEOUL
NATIONAL
UNIVERSITY

ETH zürich

More on PIM for Mobile Devices

- Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, Youngsok Kim, Rachata Ausavarungnirun, Eric Shiu, Rahul Thakur, Daehyun Kim, Aki Kuusela, Allan Knies, Parthasarathy Ranganathan, and Onur Mutlu, **"Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks"** *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems (ASPLOS)*, Williamsburg, VA, USA, March 2018.

**62.7% of the total system energy
is spent on data movement**

Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks

Amirali Boroumand¹

Saugata Ghose¹

Youngsok Kim²

Rachata Ausavarungnirun¹

Eric Shiu³

Rahul Thakur³

Daehyun Kim^{4,3}

Aki Kuusela³

Allan Knies³


Parthasarathy Ranganathan³

Onur Mutlu^{5,1}

Truly Distributed GPU Processing with PIM?

**3D-stacked memory
(memory stack)**

SM (Streaming Multiprocessor)



```
__global__  
void applyScaleFactorsKernel( uint8_T * const out,  
    uint8_T const * const in, const double *factor,  
    size_t const numRows, size_t const numCols )  
{  
    // Work out which pixel we are working on.  
    const int rowIdx = blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;  
    const int colIdx = blockIdx.y;  
    const int sliceIdx = threadIdx.z;  
  
    // Check this thread isn't off the image  
    if( rowIdx >= numRows ) return;  
  
    // Compute the index of my element  
    size_t linearIdx = rowIdx + colIdx*numRows +  
        sliceIdx*numRows*numCols;
```

Accelerating GPU Execution with PIM (I)

- Kevin Hsieh, Eiman Ebrahimi, Gwangsun Kim, Niladrish Chatterjee, Mike O'Connor, Nandita Vijaykumar, Onur Mutlu, and Stephen W. Keckler, **"Transparent Offloading and Mapping (TOM): Enabling Programmer-Transparent Near-Data Processing in GPU Systems"**
Proceedings of the 43rd International Symposium on Computer Architecture (ISCA), Seoul, South Korea, June 2016.
[[Slides \(pptx\)](#)] [[pdf](#)]
[[Lightning Session Slides \(pptx\)](#)] [[pdf](#)]

Transparent Offloading and Mapping (TOM): Enabling Programmer-Transparent Near-Data Processing in GPU Systems

Kevin Hsieh[‡] Eiman Ebrahimi[†] Gwangsun Kim^{*} Niladrish Chatterjee[†] Mike O'Connor[†]
Nandita Vijaykumar[‡] Onur Mutlu^{§‡} Stephen W. Keckler[†]

[‡]Carnegie Mellon University [†]NVIDIA ^{*}KAIST [§]ETH Zürich

Accelerating GPU Execution with PIM (II)

- Ashutosh Pattnaik, Xulong Tang, Adwait Jog, Onur Kayiran, Asit K. Mishra, Mahmut T. Kandemir, Onur Mutlu, and Chita R. Das,
"Scheduling Techniques for GPU Architectures with Processing-In-Memory Capabilities"
Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Parallel Architectures and Compilation Techniques (PACT), Haifa, Israel, September 2016.

Scheduling Techniques for GPU Architectures with Processing-In-Memory Capabilities

Ashutosh Pattnaik¹ Xulong Tang¹ Adwait Jog² Onur Kayiran³
Asit K. Mishra⁴ Mahmut T. Kandemir¹ Onur Mutlu^{5,6} Chita R. Das¹
¹Pennsylvania State University ²College of William and Mary
³Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. ⁴Intel Labs ⁵ETH Zürich ⁶Carnegie Mellon University

Accelerating Linked Data Structures

- Kevin Hsieh, Samira Khan, Nandita Vijaykumar, Kevin K. Chang, Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, and Onur Mutlu,
"Accelerating Pointer Chasing in 3D-Stacked Memory: Challenges, Mechanisms, Evaluation"
Proceedings of the 34th IEEE International Conference on Computer Design (ICCD), Phoenix, AZ, USA, October 2016.

Accelerating Pointer Chasing in 3D-Stacked Memory: Challenges, Mechanisms, Evaluation

Kevin Hsieh[†] Samira Khan[‡] Nandita Vijaykumar[†]
Kevin K. Chang[†] Amirali Boroumand[†] Saugata Ghose[†] Onur Mutlu^{§†}
[†]*Carnegie Mellon University* [‡]*University of Virginia* [§]*ETH Zürich*

Accelerating Dependent Cache Misses

- Milad Hashemi, Khubaib, Eiman Ebrahimi, Onur Mutlu, and Yale N. Patt, **"Accelerating Dependent Cache Misses with an Enhanced Memory Controller"**

Proceedings of the 43rd International Symposium on Computer Architecture (ISCA), Seoul, South Korea, June 2016.

[\[Slides \(pptx\) \(pdf\)\]](#)

[\[Lightning Session Slides \(pptx\) \(pdf\)\]](#)

Accelerating Dependent Cache Misses with an Enhanced Memory Controller

Milad Hashemi*, Khubaib[†], Eiman Ebrahimi[‡], Onur Mutlu[§], Yale N. Patt*

*The University of Texas at Austin [†]Apple [‡]NVIDIA [§]ETH Zürich & Carnegie Mellon University

Two Key Questions in 3D-Stacked PIM


- How can we accelerate important applications if we use 3D-stacked memory as a coarse-grained accelerator?
 - what is the architecture and programming model?
 - what are the mechanisms for acceleration?
- What is the minimal processing-in-memory support we can provide?
 - without changing the system significantly
 - while achieving significant benefits

PEI: PIM-Enabled Instructions (Ideas)

- **Goal:** Develop mechanisms to get the most out of near-data processing with **minimal cost, minimal changes to the system, no changes to the programming model**
- **Key Idea 1:** Expose each PIM operation as a **cache-coherent, virtually-addressed host processor instruction** (called PEI) that operates on **only a single cache block**
 - ❑ e.g., `__pim_add(&w.next_rank, value) → pim.add r1, (r2)`
 - ❑ No changes sequential execution/programming model
 - ❑ No changes to virtual memory
 - ❑ Minimal changes to cache coherence
 - ❑ No need for data mapping: Each PEI restricted to a single memory module
- **Key Idea 2:** **Dynamically decide where to execute a PEI** (i.e., the host processor or PIM accelerator) based on simple locality characteristics and simple hardware predictors
 - ❑ Execute each operation at the location that provides the best performance

Simple PIM Operations as ISA Extensions (II)

```
for (v: graph.vertices) {  
    value = weight * v.rank;  
    for (w: v.successors) {  
        w.next_rank += value;  
    }  
}
```



Conventional Architecture


Simple PIM Operations as ISA Extensions (III)

```
for (v: graph.vertices) {  
    value = weight * v.rank;  
    for (w: v.successors) {  
        __pim_add(&w.next_rank, value);  
    }  
}
```

pim.add r1, (r2)

__pim_add(&w.next_rank, value);

Host Processor




Main Memory



8 bytes in
0 bytes out

In-Memory Addition

Always Executing in Memory? Not A Good Idea



PEI: PIM-Enabled Instructions (Example)

```
for (v: graph.vertices) {  
    value = weight * v.rank;  
    for (w: v.successors) {  
        __pim_add(&w.next_rank, value);  
    }  
}
```

pim.add r1, (r2)

__pim_add(&w.next_rank, value);

pfence

pfence();

Table 1: Summary of Supported PIM Operations


Operation	R	W	Input	Output	Applications
8-byte integer increment	O	O	0 bytes	0 bytes	AT
8-byte integer min	O	O	8 bytes	0 bytes	BFS, SP, WCC
Floating-point add	O	O	8 bytes	0 bytes	PR
Hash table probing	O	X	8 bytes	9 bytes	HJ
Histogram bin index	O	X	1 byte	16 bytes	HG, RP
Euclidean distance	O	X	64 bytes	4 bytes	SC
Dot product	O	X	32 bytes	8 bytes	SVM

- Executed either in memory or in the processor: dynamic decision
 - Low-cost locality monitoring for a single instruction
- Cache-coherent, virtually-addressed, single cache block only
- Atomic between different PEIs
- *Not* atomic with normal instructions (use *pfence* for ordering)

PIM-Enabled Instructions

- Key to practicality: **single-cache-block restriction**
 - **Each PEI can access *at most one last-level cache block***
 - Similar restrictions exist in atomic instructions
- Benefits
 - **Localization:** each PEI is bounded to one memory module
 - **Interoperability:** easier support for cache coherence and virtual memory
 - **Simplified locality monitoring:** data locality of PEIs can be identified simply by the cache control logic

Example (Abstract) PEI uArchitecture



Example PEI uArchitecture

PEI: Initial Evaluation Results

- Initial evaluations with **10 emerging data-intensive workloads**
 - ❑ Large-scale graph processing
 - ❑ In-memory data analytics
 - ❑ Machine learning and data mining
 - ❑ Three input sets (small, medium, large) for each workload to analyze the impact of data locality
- Pin-based cycle-level x86-64 simulation
- **Performance Improvement and Energy Reduction:**
 - 47% average speedup with large input data sets
 - 32% speedup with small input data sets
 - 25% avg. energy reduction in a single node with large input data sets

Table 2: Baseline Simulation Configuration


Component	Configuration
Core	16 out-of-order cores, 4 GHz, 4-issue
L1 I/D-Cache	Private, 32 KB, 4/8-way, 64 B blocks, 16 MSHRs
L2 Cache	Private, 256 KB, 8-way, 64 B blocks, 16 MSHRs
L3 Cache	Shared, 16 MB, 16-way, 64 B blocks, 64 MSHRs
On-Chip Network	Crossbar, 2 GHz, 144-bit links
Main Memory	32 GB, 8 HMCs, daisy-chain (80 GB/s full-duplex)
HMC	4 GB, 16 vaults, 256 DRAM banks [20]
– DRAM	FR-FCFS, tCL = tRCD = tRP = 13.75 ns [27]
– Vertical Links	64 TSVs per vault with 2 Gb/s signaling rate [23]

Evaluated Data-Intensive Applications


- Ten emerging data-intensive workloads
 - Large-scale graph processing
 - Average teenage follower, BFS, PageRank, single-source shortest path, weakly connected components
 - In-memory data analytics
 - Hash join, histogram, radix partitioning
 - Machine learning and data mining
 - Streamcluster, SVM-RFE
- Three input sets (small, medium, large) for each workload to show the impact of data locality

PEI Performance Delta: Large Data Sets

(Large Inputs, Baseline: Host-Only)




PEI Performance: Large Data Sets




■ Host-Only ■ PIM-Only ■ Locality-Aware

PEI Performance Delta: Small Data Sets

(Small Inputs, Baseline: Host-Only)




PEI Performance: Small Data Sets




■ Host-Only ■ PIM-Only ■ Locality-Aware

PEI Performance Delta: Medium Data Sets

(Medium Inputs, Baseline: Host-Only)



PEI Energy Consumption



PEI: Advantages & Disadvantages

■ Advantages

- + Simple and low cost approach to PIM
- + No changes to programming model, virtual memory
- + Dynamically decides where to execute an instruction

■ Disadvantages

- Does not take full advantage of PIM potential
 - Single cache block restriction is limiting

Simpler PIM: PIM-Enabled Instructions

- Junwhan Ahn, Sungjoo Yoo, Onur Mutlu, and Kiyoungh Choi, **"PIM-Enabled Instructions: A Low-Overhead, Locality-Aware Processing-in-Memory Architecture"** *Proceedings of the 42nd International Symposium on Computer Architecture (ISCA)*, Portland, OR, June 2015.
[[Slides \(pdf\)](#)] [[Lightning Session Slides \(pdf\)](#)]

PIM-Enabled Instructions: A Low-Overhead, Locality-Aware Processing-in-Memory Architecture

Junwhan Ahn Sungjoo Yoo Onur Mutlu[†] Kiyoungh Choi

junwhan@snu.ac.kr, sungjoo.yoo@gmail.com, onur@cmu.edu, kchoi@snu.ac.kr

Seoul National University

[†]Carnegie Mellon University

Automatic Code and Data Mapping

- Kevin Hsieh, Eiman Ebrahimi, Gwangsun Kim, Niladrish Chatterjee, Mike O'Connor, Nandita Vijaykumar, Onur Mutlu, and Stephen W. Keckler, **"Transparent Offloading and Mapping (TOM): Enabling Programmer-Transparent Near-Data Processing in GPU Systems"**
Proceedings of the 43rd International Symposium on Computer Architecture (ISCA), Seoul, South Korea, June 2016.
[[Slides \(pptx\)](#)] [[pdf](#)]
[[Lightning Session Slides \(pptx\)](#)] [[pdf](#)]

Transparent Offloading and Mapping (TOM): Enabling Programmer-Transparent Near-Data Processing in GPU Systems

Kevin Hsieh[‡] Eiman Ebrahimi[†] Gwangsun Kim* Niladrish Chatterjee[†] Mike O'Connor[†]
Nandita Vijaykumar[‡] Onur Mutlu^{§‡} Stephen W. Keckler[†]

[‡]Carnegie Mellon University [†]NVIDIA ^{*}KAIST [§]ETH Zürich

Automatic Offloading of Critical Code

- Milad Hashemi, Khubaib, Eiman Ebrahimi, Onur Mutlu, and Yale N. Patt, **"Accelerating Dependent Cache Misses with an Enhanced Memory Controller"**

Proceedings of the 43rd International Symposium on Computer Architecture (ISCA), Seoul, South Korea, June 2016.

[\[Slides \(pptx\) \(pdf\)\]](#)

[\[Lightning Session Slides \(pptx\) \(pdf\)\]](#)

Accelerating Dependent Cache Misses with an Enhanced Memory Controller

Milad Hashemi*, Khubaib[†], Eiman Ebrahimi[‡], Onur Mutlu[§], Yale N. Patt*

*The University of Texas at Austin [†]Apple [‡]NVIDIA [§]ETH Zürich & Carnegie Mellon University

Automatic Offloading of Prefetch Mechanisms

- Milad Hashemi, Onur Mutlu, and Yale N. Patt,
"Continuous Runahead: Transparent Hardware Acceleration for Memory Intensive Workloads"
Proceedings of the 49th International Symposium on Microarchitecture (MICRO), Taipei, Taiwan, October 2016.
[\[Slides \(pptx\) \(pdf\)\]](#) [\[Lightning Session Slides \(pdf\)\]](#) [\[Poster \(pptx\) \(pdf\)\]](#)

Continuous Runahead: Transparent Hardware Acceleration for Memory Intensive Workloads

Milad Hashemi*, Onur Mutlu[§], Yale N. Patt*

*The University of Texas at Austin [§]ETH Zürich

Efficient Automatic Data Coherence Support

- Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, Minesh Patel, Hasan Hassan, Brandon Lucia, Kevin Hsieh, Krishna T. Malladi, Hongzhong Zheng, and Onur Mutlu,
"LazyPIM: An Efficient Cache Coherence Mechanism for Processing-in-Memory"
IEEE Computer Architecture Letters (CAL), June 2016.

LazyPIM: An Efficient Cache Coherence Mechanism for Processing-in-Memory

Amirali Boroumand[†], Saugata Ghose[†], Minesh Patel[†], Hasan Hassan^{†§}, Brandon Lucia[†],
Kevin Hsieh[†], Krishna T. Malladi^{*}, Hongzhong Zheng^{*}, and Onur Mutlu^{‡†}

[†]Carnegie Mellon University ^{*}Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. [§]TOBB ETÜ [‡]ETH Zürich

Fundamentally Energy-Efficient (Data-Centric) Computing Architectures

Fundamentally Low-Latency (Data-Centric) Computing Architectures

Computing Architectures with Minimal Data Movement

Agenda


- Major Trends Affecting Main Memory
- The Need for Intelligent Memory Controllers
 - Bottom Up: Push from Circuits and Devices
 - Top Down: Pull from Systems and Applications
- Processing in Memory: Two Directions
 - Minimally Changing Memory Chips
 - Exploiting 3D-Stacked Memory
- How to Enable Adoption of Processing in Memory
- Conclusion

How to Enable Adoption of Processing in Memory

Barriers to Adoption of PIM


1. Functionality of and applications for PIM
2. Ease of programming (interfaces and compiler/HW support)
3. System support: coherence & virtual memory
4. Runtime systems for adaptive scheduling, data mapping, access/sharing control
5. Infrastructures to assess benefits and feasibility

We Need to Revisit the Entire Stack




Key Challenge 1: Code Mapping

- **Challenge 1:** Which operations should be executed in memory vs. in CPU?



Key Challenge 2: Data Mapping

- **Challenge 2:** How should data be mapped to different 3D memory stacks?



How to Do the Code and Data Mapping?

- Kevin Hsieh, Eiman Ebrahimi, Gwangsun Kim, Niladrish Chatterjee, Mike O'Connor, Nandita Vijaykumar, Onur Mutlu, and Stephen W. Keckler, **"Transparent Offloading and Mapping (TOM): Enabling Programmer-Transparent Near-Data Processing in GPU Systems"**
Proceedings of the 43rd International Symposium on Computer Architecture (ISCA), Seoul, South Korea, June 2016.
[[Slides \(pptx\)](#)] [[pdf](#)]
[[Lightning Session Slides \(pptx\)](#)] [[pdf](#)]

Transparent Offloading and Mapping (TOM): Enabling Programmer-Transparent Near-Data Processing in GPU Systems

Kevin Hsieh[‡] Eiman Ebrahimi[†] Gwangsun Kim* Niladrish Chatterjee[†] Mike O'Connor[†]
Nandita Vijaykumar[‡] Onur Mutlu^{§‡} Stephen W. Keckler[†]

[‡]Carnegie Mellon University [†]NVIDIA ^{*}KAIST [§]ETH Zürich


How to Schedule Code?

- Ashutosh Pattnaik, Xulong Tang, Adwait Jog, Onur Kayiran, Asit K. Mishra, Mahmut T. Kandemir, Onur Mutlu, and Chita R. Das, **"Scheduling Techniques for GPU Architectures with Processing-In-Memory Capabilities"**
Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Parallel Architectures and Compilation Techniques (PACT), Haifa, Israel, September 2016.

Scheduling Techniques for GPU Architectures with Processing-In-Memory Capabilities

Ashutosh Pattnaik¹ Xulong Tang¹ Adwait Jog² Onur Kayiran³
Asit K. Mishra⁴ Mahmut T. Kandemir¹ Onur Mutlu^{5,6} Chita R. Das¹
¹Pennsylvania State University ²College of William and Mary
³Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. ⁴Intel Labs ⁵ETH Zürich ⁶Carnegie Mellon University

Challenge: Coherence for Hybrid CPU-PIM Apps



How to Maintain Coherence?

- Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, Minesh Patel, Hasan Hassan, Brandon Lucia, Kevin Hsieh, Krishna T. Malladi, Hongzhong Zheng, and Onur Mutlu,
"LazyPIM: An Efficient Cache Coherence Mechanism for Processing-in-Memory"
IEEE Computer Architecture Letters (CAL), June 2016.

LazyPIM: An Efficient Cache Coherence Mechanism for Processing-in-Memory

Amirali Boroumand[†], Saugata Ghose[†], Minesh Patel[†], Hasan Hassan^{†§}, Brandon Lucia[†],
Kevin Hsieh[†], Krishna T. Malladi^{*}, Hongzhong Zheng^{*}, and Onur Mutlu^{††}

[†] *Carnegie Mellon University* ^{*} *Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.* [§] *TOBB ETÜ* [‡] *ETH Zürich*

How to Support Virtual Memory?

- Kevin Hsieh, Samira Khan, Nandita Vijaykumar, Kevin K. Chang, Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, and Onur Mutlu,
"Accelerating Pointer Chasing in 3D-Stacked Memory: Challenges, Mechanisms, Evaluation"
Proceedings of the 34th IEEE International Conference on Computer Design (ICCD), Phoenix, AZ, USA, October 2016.

Accelerating Pointer Chasing in 3D-Stacked Memory: Challenges, Mechanisms, Evaluation

Kevin Hsieh[†] Samira Khan[‡] Nandita Vijaykumar[†]
Kevin K. Chang[†] Amirali Boroumand[†] Saugata Ghose[†] Onur Mutlu^{§†}
[†]*Carnegie Mellon University* [‡]*University of Virginia* [§]*ETH Zürich*

How to Design Data Structures for PIM?

- Zhiyu Liu, Irina Calciu, Maurice Herlihy, and Onur Mutlu,
"Concurrent Data Structures for Near-Memory Computing"
*Proceedings of the 29th ACM Symposium on Parallelism in Algorithms
and Architectures (SPAA)*, Washington, DC, USA, July 2017.
[[Slides \(pptx\)](#)] [[pdf](#)]

Concurrent Data Structures for Near-Memory Computing

Zhiyu Liu

Computer Science Department
Brown University
zhiyu.liu@brown.edu

Irina Calciu

VMware Research Group
icalciu@vmware.com

Maurice Herlihy

Computer Science Department
Brown University
mph@cs.brown.edu

Onur Mutlu

Computer Science Department
ETH Zürich
onur.mutlu@inf.ethz.ch

Simulation Infrastructures for PIM


- **Ramulator** extended for PIM
 - Flexible and extensible DRAM simulator
 - Can model many different memory standards and proposals
 - Kim+, “**Ramulator: A Flexible and Extensible DRAM Simulator**”, IEEE CAL 2015.
 - <https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/ramulator>

Ramulator: A Fast and Extensible DRAM Simulator

Yoongu Kim¹ Weikun Yang^{1,2} Onur Mutlu¹
¹Carnegie Mellon University ²Peking University

An FPGA-based Test-bed for PIM?

- Hasan Hassan et al., **SoftMC: A Flexible and Practical Open-Source Infrastructure for Enabling Experimental DRAM Studies** HPCA 2017.
- Flexible
- Easy to Use (C++ API)
- Open-source
github.com/CMU-SAFARI/SoftMC



New Applications and Use Cases for PIM

- Jeremie S. Kim, Damla Senol Cali, Hongyi Xin, Donghyuk Lee, Saugata Ghose, Mohammed Alser, Hasan Hassan, Oguz Ergin, Can Alkan, and Onur Mutlu, **"GRIM-Filter: Fast Seed Location Filtering in DNA Read Mapping Using Processing-in-Memory Technologies"**
BMC Genomics, 2018.
Proceedings of the 16th Asia Pacific Bioinformatics Conference (APBC),
Yokohama, Japan, January 2018.
[arxiv.org Version \(pdf\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.00000)

GRIM-Filter: Fast seed location filtering in DNA read mapping using processing-in-memory technologies

Jeremie S. Kim^{1,6*}, Damla Senol Cali¹, Hongyi Xin², Donghyuk Lee³, Saugata Ghose¹,
Mohammed Alser⁴, Hasan Hassan⁶, Oguz Ergin⁵, Can Alkan^{4*} and Onur Mutlu^{6,1*}

From The Sixteenth Asia Pacific Bioinformatics Conference 2018
Yokohama, Japan. 15-17 January 2018

Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks

Amirali Boroumand

Saugata Ghose, Youngsok Kim, Rachata Ausavarungnirun,
Eric Shiu, Rahul Thakur, Daehyun Kim, Aki Kuusela,
Allan Knies, Parthasarathy Ranganathan, Onur Mutlu

SAFARI

Carnegie Mellon

Google



SEOUL
NATIONAL
UNIVERSITY

ETH zürich

Genome Read In-Memory (GRIM) Filter:

Fast Seed Location Filtering in DNA Read Mapping
using Processing-in-Memory Technologies

Jeremie Kim,

Damla Senol, Hongyi Xin, Donghyuk Lee,
Saugata Ghose, Mohammed Alser, Hasan Hassan,
Oguz Ergin, Can Alkan, and Onur Mutlu

Carnegie Mellon



ETH zürich

Executive Summary

- **Genome Read Mapping** is a very important problem and is the first step in many types of genomic analysis
 - Could lead to improved health care, medicine, quality of life
- Read mapping is an **approximate string matching** problem
 - Find the best fit of 100 character strings into a 3 billion character dictionary
 - **Alignment** is currently the best method for determining the similarity between two strings, but is **very expensive**
- We propose an in-memory processing algorithm **GRIM-Filter** for accelerating read mapping, by reducing the number of required alignments
- We implement GRIM-Filter using **in-memory processing** within **3D-stacked memory** and show up to **3.7x speedup**.

GRIM-Filter in 3D-stacked DRAM




Figure 7: *Left block:* GRIM-Filter bitvector layout within a DRAM bank. *Center block:* 3D-stacked DRAM with tightly integrated logic layer stacked underneath with TSVs for a high intra-DRAM data transfer bandwidth. *Right block:* Custom GRIM-Filter logic placed in the logic layer.


- The layout of bit vectors in a bank enables filtering many bins in parallel
- Customized logic for accumulation and comparison per genome segment
 - Low area overhead, simple implementation

GRIM-Filter Performance



1.8x-3.7x performance benefit across real data sets

GRIM-Filter False Positive Rate



5.6x-6.4x False Positive reduction across real data sets

Conclusions

- We propose an **in memory filter algorithm** to **accelerate end-to-end genome read mapping** by reducing the number of required alignments
- Compared to the previous best filter
 - We observed **1.8x-3.7x speedup**
 - We observed **5.6x-6.4x fewer false positives**
- **GRIM-Filter is a universal filter** that can be applied to any genome read mapper

In-Memory DNA Sequence Analysis

- Jeremie S. Kim, Damla Senol Cali, Hongyi Xin, Donghyuk Lee, Saugata Ghose, Mohammed Alser, Hasan Hassan, Oguz Ergin, Can Alkan, and Onur Mutlu, **"GRIM-Filter: Fast Seed Location Filtering in DNA Read Mapping Using Processing-in-Memory Technologies"** ***BMC Genomics***, 2018.
Proceedings of the 16th Asia Pacific Bioinformatics Conference (APBC), Yokohama, Japan, January 2018.
[arxiv.org Version \(pdf\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.00000)

GRIM-Filter: Fast seed location filtering in DNA read mapping using processing-in-memory technologies

Jeremie S. Kim^{1,6*}, Damla Senol Cali¹, Hongyi Xin², Donghyuk Lee³, Saugata Ghose¹, Mohammed Alser⁴, Hasan Hassan⁶, Oguz Ergin⁵, Can Alkan^{4*} and Onur Mutlu^{6,1*}

From The Sixteenth Asia Pacific Bioinformatics Conference 2018
Yokohama, Japan. 15-17 January 2018

Open Problems: PIM Adoption

Enabling the Adoption of Processing-in-Memory: Challenges, Mechanisms, Future Research Directions

SAUGATA GHOSE, KEVIN HSIEH, AMIRALI BOROUMAND,
RACHATA AUSAVARUNGNIRUN

Carnegie Mellon University

ONUR MUTLU

ETH Zürich and Carnegie Mellon University

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.00320.pdf>

Agenda

- Major Trends Affecting Main Memory
- The Need for Intelligent Memory Controllers
 - Bottom Up: Push from Circuits and Devices
 - Top Down: Pull from Systems and Applications
- Processing in Memory: Two Directions
 - Minimally Changing Memory Chips
 - Exploiting 3D-Stacked Memory
- How to Enable Adoption of Processing in Memory
- Conclusion


Four Key Directions

- Fundamentally **Secure/Reliable/Safe** Architectures
- Fundamentally **Energy-Efficient** Architectures
 - **Memory-centric** (Data-centric) Architectures
- Fundamentally **Low-Latency** Architectures
- Architectures for **Genomics, Medicine, Health**

Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, A Third Time

Maslow, "A Theory of Human Motivation,"
Psychological Review, 1943.

Maslow, "Motivation and Personality,"
Book, 1954-1970.



Fundamentally Energy-Efficient (Data-Centric) Computing Architectures


Fundamentally Low-Latency (Data-Centric) Computing Architectures

Computing Architectures with Minimal Data Movement

PIM: Concluding Remarks

A Quote from A Famous Architect

- “architecture [...] based upon **principle**, and not upon **precedent**”



Precedent-Based Design?


- “architecture [...] based upon **principle**, and not upon **precedent**”



Principled Design

- “architecture [...] based upon **principle**, and not upon **precedent**”





The Overarching Principle

Organic architecture

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Organic architecture is a [philosophy](#) of [architecture](#) which promotes harmony between human habitation and the natural world through design approaches so sympathetic and well integrated with its site, that buildings, furnishings, and surroundings become part of a unified, interrelated composition.

A well-known example of organic architecture is [Fallingwater](#), the residence Frank Lloyd Wright designed for the Kaufmann family in rural Pennsylvania. Wright had many choices to locate a home on this large site, but chose to place the home directly over the waterfall and creek creating a close, yet noisy dialog with the rushing water and the steep site. The horizontal striations of stone masonry with daring [cantilevers](#) of colored beige concrete blend with native rock outcroppings and the wooded environment.

Another Example: Precedent-Based Design



Principled Design



Another Principled Design



Source: By Martín Gómez Tagle - Lisbon, Portugal, CC BY-SA 3.0, <https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=13764903>

Source: <http://www.arcspace.com/exhibitions/unsorted/santiago-calatrava/>

Principle Applied to Another Structure



Source: By 準建築人手札網站 Forgemind ArchiMedia - Flickr: IMG_2489.JPG, CC BY 2.0,

Source: <https://www.dezeen.com/2016/08/29/santiago-calatrava-regulus-world-trade-center-transportation-hub-new-york-photographs-hufton-crow/>

The Overarching Principle

Zoomorphic architecture

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Zoomorphic architecture is the practice of using animal forms as the inspirational basis and blueprint for architectural design. "While animal forms have always played a role adding some of the deepest layers of meaning in architecture, it is now becoming evident that a new strand of **biomorphism** is emerging where the meaning derives not from any specific representation but from a more general allusion to biological processes."^[1]

Some well-known examples of Zoomorphic architecture can be found in the **TWA Flight Center** building in **New York City**, by **Eero Saarinen**, or the **Milwaukee Art Museum** by **Santiago Calatrava**, both inspired by the form of a bird's wings.^[3]

Overarching Principle for Computing?



Concluding Remarks


- It is time to design **principled system architectures** to solve the **memory problem**
- Design complete systems to be balanced, high-performance, and energy-efficient, i.e., **data-centric (or memory-centric)**
- Enable computation capability inside and close to memory
- **This** can
 - ❑ Lead to **orders-of-magnitude** improvements
 - ❑ **Enable new applications & computing platforms**
 - ❑ **Enable better understanding of nature**
 - ❑ ...

The Future of Processing in Memory is Bright

- Regardless of challenges
 - in underlying technology and overlying problems/requirements

Can enable:

- Orders of magnitude improvements
- New applications and computing systems



Yet, we have to

- Think across the stack
- Design enabling systems

If In Doubt, See Other Doubtful Technologies

- A very “doubtful” emerging technology
 - for at least two decades



Proceedings of the IEEE, Sept. 2017

Error Characterization, Mitigation, and Recovery in Flash-Memory-Based Solid-State Drives

This paper reviews the most recent advances in solid-state drive (SSD) error characterization, mitigation, and data recovery techniques to improve both SSD's reliability and lifetime.

By YU CAI, SAUGATA GHOSE, ERICH F. HARATSCH, YIXIN LUO, AND ONUR MUTLU

For Some Open Problems, See

Enabling the Adoption of Processing-in-Memory: Challenges, Mechanisms, Future Research Directions

SAUGATA GHOSE, KEVIN HSIEH, AMIRALI BOROUMAND,
RACHATA AUSAVARUNGNIRUN

Carnegie Mellon University

ONUR MUTLU

ETH Zürich and Carnegie Mellon University

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.00320.pdf>

Memory Systems and Memory-Centric Computing Systems

Lecture 4 Topic 3: In-Memory Computation

Prof. Onur Mutlu

omutlu@gmail.com

<https://people.inf.ethz.ch/omutlu>

11 July 2018

HiPEAC ACACES Summer School 2018

Backup Slides

Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks

Amirali Boroumand

Saugata Ghose, Youngsok Kim, Rachata Ausavarungnirun,
Eric Shiu, Rahul Thakur, Daehyun Kim, Aki Kuusela,
Allan Knies, Parthasarathy Ranganathan, Onur Mutlu

SAFARI

Carnegie Mellon

Google



SEOUL
NATIONAL
UNIVERSITY

ETH zürich

Consumer Devices



Consumer devices are everywhere!

**Energy consumption is
a first-class concern in consumer devices**



Popular Google Consumer Workloads



Chrome

Google's web browser



TensorFlow Mobile

Google's machine learning framework

VP9



Video Playback

Google's **video codec**

VP9




Video Capture

Google's **video codec**

Energy Cost of Data Movement

1st key observation: **62.7%** of the total system energy is spent on **data movement**



Potential solution: move computation **close to data**

Challenge: limited area and energy budget

Using PIM to Reduce Data Movement


2nd key observation: a significant fraction of **data movement** often comes from **simple functions**

We can design lightweight logic to implement these simple functions in **memory**

Small embedded
low-power core



Small fixed-function
accelerators



Offloading to PIM logic reduces energy by 55.4% and improves performance by 54.2% on average

Goals


- 1 Understand the **data movement** related bottlenecks in **modern consumer workloads**
- 2 Analyze opportunities to **mitigate data movement** by using **processing-in-memory (PIM)**
- 3 Design **PIM** logic that can **maximize energy efficiency** given **the limited area and energy budget** in consumer devices

Outline

- Introduction
- **Background**
- Analysis Methodology
- Workload Analysis
- Evaluation
- Conclusion

Potential Solution to Address Data Movement

- **Processing-in-Memory (PIM)**
 - A potential solution to **reduce data movement**
 - **Idea:** move computation close to data
 - ✓ Reduces data movement
 - ✓ Exploits large in-memory bandwidth
 - ✓ Exploits shorter access latency to memory
- **Enabled by recent advances in 3D-stacked memory**



Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- **Analysis Methodology**
- Workload Analysis
- Evaluation
- Conclusion

Workload Analysis Methodology


- **Workload Characterization**




- Chromebook with an Intel Celeron SoC and 2GB of DRAM
- Extensively use performance counters within SoC

- **Energy Model**

- Sum of the energy consumption within the **CPU**, **all caches, off-chip interconnects**, and **DRAM**



PIM Logic Implementation



Workload Analysis



Chrome

Google's web browser



TensorFlow

Google's machine learning
framework

VP9



Video Playback

Google's **video codec**

VP9



Video Capture

Google's **video Codec**

Workload Analysis



Chrome

Google's web browser



TensorFlow

Google's machine learning
framework

VP9



Video Playback

Google's video codec


VP9




Video Capture

Google's video codec

How Chrome Renders a Web Page



How Chrome Renders a Web Page




Browser Analysis

- To satisfy user experience, the browser must provide:
 - Fast **loading** of webpages
 - Smooth **scrolling** of webpages
 - Quick **switching** between browser tabs
- We focus on two important user interactions:
 - 1) **Page Scrolling**
 - 2) **Tab Switching**
 - Both include page loading

Scrolling


What Does Happen During Scrolling?

rasterization uses **color blitters**
to convert the basic primitives
into **bitmaps**




to minimize **cache misses**
during **compositing**, the graphics driver
reorganizes the bitmaps

Scrolling Energy Analysis




41.9% of page scrolling energy is spent on
texture tiling and **color blitting**

Scrolling a Google Docs Web Page



77% of total energy consumption goes to data movement


▨ Data Movement ▨ Compute



A significant portion of total data movement comes from texture tiling and color blitting

37.7% of total system energy


Scrolling a Google Docs Web Page



77% of total energy consumption goes to data movement


Can we use **PIM** to mitigate the **data movement cost** for **texture tiling** and **color blitting**?

A significant portion of total data movement comes from **texture tiling** and **color blitting**



37.7% of total system energy

Can We Use PIM for Texture Tiling?



Texture tiling is a good candidate for PIM execution

Can We Implement Texture Tiling in PIM Logic?



Requires simple primitives: **memcpy**, **bitwise operations**, and simple **arithmetic operations**

PIM Core

9.4% of the area
available for PIM logic

**PIM
Accelerator**

7.1% of the area
available for PIM logic

**PIM core and PIM accelerator are feasible to
implement in-memory Texture Tiling**

Color Blitting Analysis

Generates a large amount of data movement

Accounts for 19.1% of the total system energy during scrolling

**Color blitting is a good candidate
for PIM execution**

Requires low-cost operations:

Memset, simple arithmetic, and shift operations

**It is feasible to implement color blitting
in PIM core and PIM accelerator**

Scrolling Wrap Up

Texture tiling and **color blitting** account for a significant portion (**41.9%**) of energy consumption



37.7% of total system energy goes to data movement generated by these functions


1 Both functions can benefit significantly from PIM execution

2 Both functions are feasible to implement as PIM logic

Tab Switching

What Happens During Tab Switching?

- Chrome employs a **multi-process** architecture
 - Each tab is a separate process




- Main operations during **tab switching**:
 - Context switch
 - Load the new page

Memory Consumption

- **Primary concerns during tab switching:**
 - How fast a new tab **loads** and **becomes interactive**
 - **Memory consumption**

Chrome uses **compression** to reduce each tab's **memory footprint**




Data Movement Study

- To study **data movement** during tab switching, we emulate a user switching through 50 tabs

We make two **key observations**:

- 1 **Compression and decompression** contribute to **18.1%** of the total system energy
- 2 **19.6 GB** of data moves between **CPU** and **ZRAM**

Can We Use PIM to Mitigate the Cost?



PIM core and PIM accelerator are feasible to implement in-memory compression/decompression

Tab Switching Wrap Up

A large amount of **data movement** happens during **tab switching** as Chrome attempts to **compress** and **decompress** tabs

Both functions can benefit from PIM execution and can be implemented as PIM logic

Workload Analysis



Chrome

Google's web browser



TensorFlow

Google's machine learning
framework

VP9



Video Playback

Google's **video codec**

VP9



Video Capture

Google's **video codec**

Workload Analysis



Chrome

Google's web browser



TensorFlow

Google's machine learning
framework

VP9



Video Playback

Google's **video codec**


VP9



Video Capture

Google's **video codec**

TensorFlow Mobile



57.3% of the inference energy is spent on
data movement



54.4% of the **data movement** energy comes from
packing/unpacking and quantization

Packing



Reorders elements of matrices to minimize **cache misses** during **matrix multiplication**



Up to **40%** of the inference **energy** and **31%** of inference **execution time**



Packing's data movement accounts for up to **35.3%** of the inference **energy**

A simple **data reorganization** process that requires **simple arithmetic**

Quantization



Converts 32-bit floating point to 8-bit integers to improve inference execution time and energy consumption




Up to **16.8%** of the inference **energy** and **16.1%** of inference **execution time**



Majority of **quantization** energy comes from **data movement**

A simple **data conversion** operation that requires **shift**, **addition**, and **multiplication** operations

Quantization



Converts 32-bit floating point to 8-bit integers to improve inference execution time and energy consumption

Based on our analysis, we conclude that:


- Both functions are good candidates for PIM execution
- It is feasible to implement them in PIM logic

inference execution time

A simple data conversion operation that requires shift, addition, and multiplication operations

Video Playback and Capture

VP9



Majority of energy is spent on **data movement**

Majority of **data movement** comes from **simple functions** in **decoding** and **encoding** pipelines


Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Analysis Methodology
- Workload Analysis
- **Evaluation**
- Conclusion

Evaluation Methodology

- **System Configuration (gem5 Simulator)**
 - **SoC:** 4 OoO cores, 8-wide issue, 64 kB L1 cache, 2MB L2 cache
 - **PIM Core:** 1 core per vault, 1-wide issue, 4-wide SIMD, 32kB L1 cache
 - **3D-Stacked Memory:** 2GB cube, 16 vaults per cube
 - Internal Bandwidth: 256GB/S
 - Off-Chip Channel Bandwidth: 32 GB/s
 - **Baseline Memory:** LPDDR3, 2GB, FR-FCFS scheduler
- **We study each target in isolation and emulate each separately and run them in our simulator**


Normalized Energy



77.7% and **82.6%** of energy reduction for **texture tiling** and **packing** comes from eliminating **data movement**

PIM core and **PIM accelerator** reduces **energy consumption** on average by **49.1%** and **55.4%**


Normalized Runtime



Offloading these kernels to **PIM core** and **PIM accelerator** improves **performance** on average by **44.6%** and **54.2%**

Conclusion

- Energy consumption is a **major challenge** in consumer devices
- We conduct an in-depth analysis of popular **Google consumer workloads**
 - **62.7%** of the total system energy is spent on **data movement**
 - Most of the **data movement** comes from simple functions that consist of simple operations
- We use **PIM** to reduce **data movement cost**
 - We design **lightweight logic** to implement **simple operations** in DRAM



- Reduces **total energy** by **55.4%** on average
- Reduces **execution time** by **54.2%** on average

Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks

Amirali Boroumand

Saugata Ghose, Youngsok Kim, Rachata Ausavarungnirun,
Eric Shiu, Rahul Thakur, Daehyun Kim, Aki Kuusela,
Allan Knies, Parthasarathy Ranganathan, Onur Mutlu

SAFARI

Carnegie Mellon

Google



SEOUL
NATIONAL
UNIVERSITY

ETH zürich

3D-Stacked PIM on Mobile Devices

- Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, Youngsok Kim, Rachata Ausavarungnirun, Eric Shiu, Rahul Thakur, Daehyun Kim, Aki Kuusela, Allan Knies, Parthasarathy Ranganathan, and Onur Mutlu, **"Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks"**
*Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems (**ASPLOS**), Williamsburg, VA, USA, March 2018.*

Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks

Amirali Boroumand¹

Saugata Ghose¹

Youngsok Kim²

Rachata Ausavarungnirun¹

Eric Shiu³

Rahul Thakur³

Daehyun Kim^{4,3}

Aki Kuusela³

Allan Knies³

Parthasarathy Ranganathan³

Onur Mutlu^{5,1}

Accelerating Pointer Chasing in 3D-Stacked Memory: *Challenges, Mechanisms, Evaluation*

Kevin Hsieh

Samira Khan, Nandita Vijaykumar, Kevin K. Chang,
Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, Onur Mutlu

**Carnegie
Mellon
University**



ETH zürich

SAFARI

Executive Summary


- **Our Goal:** Accelerating pointer chasing inside main memory
- **Challenges:** Parallelism challenge and Address translation challenge
- **Our Solution:** In-Memory Pointer Chasing Accelerator (IMPICA)
 - Address-access decoupling: enabling parallelism in the accelerator with low cost
 - IMPICA page table: low cost page table structure
- **Key Results:**
 - 1.2X – 1.9X speedup for pointer chasing operations, +16% database throughput
 - 6% - 41% reduction in energy consumption

Linked Data Structures


- Linked data structures are widely used in many important applications



Linked data structures are connected by pointers





B-Tree



Hash Table

The Problem: Pointer Chasing



- Traversing linked data structures requires chasing pointers



**Serialized and irregular access pattern
6X cycles per instruction in real workloads**

Our Goal


Accelerating pointer chasing inside main memory



Outline


- Motivation and Our Approach
- Parallelism Challenge
- IMPICA Core Architecture
- Address Translation Challenge
- IMPICA Page Table
- Evaluation
- Conclusion

Parallelism Challenge




Parallelism Challenge and Opportunity


- A simple in-memory accelerator can still be **slower** than multiple CPU cores




- **Opportunity:** a pointer-chasing accelerator spends a long time **waiting for memory**



Our Solution: Address-Access Decoupling



IMPICA Core Architecture




Outline

- Motivation and Our Approach
- Parallelism Challenge
- IMPICA Core Architecture
- Address Translation Challenge
- IMPICA Page Table
- Evaluation
- Conclusion

Address Translation Challenge

The page table walk requires multiple memory accesses

Virtual Address



No TLB/MMU on the memory side
Duplicating it is costly and creates compatibility issue

PML4

PDPT

PGD

PGT

2^9


Page table walk

Our Solution: IMPICA Page Table

- Completely decouple the page table of IMPICA from the page table of the CPUs

IMPICA Page Table

Map linked data structure into IMPICA regions
IMPICA page table is a partial-to-any mapping



IMPICA Page Table: Mechanism

Virtual Address

Bit [47:4]

Bit [11:0]

**Flat page table
saves one memory access**


Region Table

**Tiny region table is almost
always in the cache**

Large Page Table
(2MB)

Small Page Table
(4KB)

Physical Address



Outline

- Motivation and Our Approach
- Parallelism Challenge
- IMPICA Core Architecture
- Address Translation Challenge
- IMPICA Page Table
- **Evaluation**
- **Conclusion**


Evaluated Workloads

- Microbenchmarks
 - **Linked list** (from Olden benchmark)
 - **Hash table** (from Memcached)
 - **B-tree** (from DBx1000)
- Application
 - **DBx1000** (with TPC-C benchmark)


Evaluation Methodology

- Simulator: [gem5](#)
- System Configuration
 - CPU
 - 4 OoO cores, 2GHz
 - Cache: 32KB L1, 1MB L2
 - IMPICA
 - 1 core, 500MHz, 32KB Cache
 - Memory Bandwidth
 - 12.8 GB/s for CPU, 51.2 GB/s for IMPICA
- Our simulator code is open source
 - <https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/IMPICA>


Result – Microbenchmark Performance



Result – Database Performance



System Energy Consumption



Area and Power Overhead

CPU (Cortex-A57)	5.85 mm ² per core
L2 Cache	5 mm ² per MB
Memory Controller	10 mm ²
IMPICA (+32KB cache)	0.45 mm ²

- Power overhead: average power increases by 5.6%

More in the Paper

- Interface and design considerations
 - CPU interface and programming model
 - Page table management
 - Cache coherence
- Area and power overhead analysis
- Sensitivity to IMPICA page table design

Conclusion

- Performing pointer-chasing inside main memory can greatly speed up the traversal of linked data structures
- **Challenges:** Parallelism challenge and Address translation challenge
- **Our Solution:** In-Memory Pointer Chasing Accelerator
 - Address-access decoupling: enabling parallelism with low cost
 - IMPICA page table: low cost page table structure
- **Key Results:**
 - 1.2X – 1.9X speedup for pointer chasing operations, +16% database throughput
 - 6% - 41% reduction in energy consumption
- Our solution can be applied to a broad class of in-memory accelerators

Current Investigations

- More efficient address translation and protection mechanisms for PIM
- More concurrent data structures for PIM

More Info on IMPICA (Current Status)

- Kevin Hsieh, Samira Khan, Nandita Vijaykumar, Kevin K. Chang, Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, and Onur Mutlu,
["Accelerating Pointer Chasing in 3D-Stacked Memory: Challenges, Mechanisms, Evaluation"](#)
Proceedings of the [34th IEEE International Conference on Computer Design \(ICCD\)](#), Phoenix, AZ, USA, October 2016.

Accelerating Pointer Chasing in 3D-Stacked Memory: Challenges, Mechanisms, Evaluation

Kevin Hsieh[†] Samira Khan[‡] Nandita Vijaykumar[†]

Kevin K. Chang[†] Amirali Boroumand[†] Saugata Ghose[†] Onur Mutlu^{§†}

[†]*Carnegie Mellon University* [‡]*University of Virginia* [§]*ETH Zürich*

Accelerating Pointer Chasing in 3D-Stacked Memory: *Challenges, Mechanisms, Evaluation*

Kevin Hsieh

Samira Khan, Nandita Vijaykumar, Kevin K. Chang,
Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, Onur Mutlu

**Carnegie
Mellon
University**



ETH zürich

SAFARI

Accelerating Linked Data Structures

- Kevin Hsieh, Samira Khan, Nandita Vijaykumar, Kevin K. Chang, Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, and Onur Mutlu,
"Accelerating Pointer Chasing in 3D-Stacked Memory: Challenges, Mechanisms, Evaluation"
Proceedings of the 34th IEEE International Conference on Computer Design (ICCD), Phoenix, AZ, USA, October 2016.

Accelerating Pointer Chasing in 3D-Stacked Memory: Challenges, Mechanisms, Evaluation

Kevin Hsieh[†] Samira Khan[‡] Nandita Vijaykumar[†]
Kevin K. Chang[†] Amirali Boroumand[†] Saugata Ghose[†] Onur Mutlu^{§†}
[†]*Carnegie Mellon University* [‡]*University of Virginia* [§]*ETH Zürich*

GRIM-Filter:

***Fast seed location filtering in DNA read mapping
using processing-in-memory technologies***

Jeremie S. Kim,

Damla Senol Cali, Hongyi Xin, Donghyuk Lee,
Saugata Ghose, Mohammed Alser, Hasan Hassan,
Oguz Ergin, Can Alkan, and Onur Mutlu



Carnegie Mellon



TOBB
UNIVERSITY OF
ECONOMICS AND TECHNOLOGY

SAFARI

ETH zürich

Executive Summary

- **Genome Read Mapping** is a very important problem and is the first step in genome analysis
- Read Mapping is an **approximate string matching** problem
 - Find the best fit of 100 character strings into a 3 billion character dictionary
 - **Alignment** is currently the best method for determining the similarity between two strings, but is **very expensive**
- We propose an algorithm called **GRIM-Filter**
 - Accelerates read mapping by reducing the number of required alignments
 - GRIM-Filter can be accelerated using **processing-in-memory**
 - Adds simple logic into **3D-Stacked memory**
 - Uses high internal memory bandwidth to perform parallel filtering
- GRIM-Filter with processing-in-memory delivers a **3.7x speedup**

GRIM-Filter Outline

1. Motivation and Goal

2. Background Read Mappers

- a. Hash Table Based

- b. Hash Table Based with Filter

3. Our Proposal: GRIM-Filter

4. Mapping GRIM-Filter to 3D-Stacked Memory

5. Results

6. Conclusion

Motivation and Goal

- **Sequencing**: determine the [A,C,G,T] series in DNA strand
- Today's machines sequence short strands (**reads**)
 - Reads are on the order of 100 – 20k base pairs (**bp**)
 - The human genome is approximately 3 billion bp
- Therefore genomes are cut into reads, which are sequenced independently, and then reconstructed
 - **Read mapping** is the first step in analyzing someone's genome to detect predispositions to diseases, personalize medicine, etc.
- **Goal**: We want to **accelerate** end-to-end performance of **read mapping**

GRIM-Filter Outline

1. Motivation and Goal

2. Background: Read Mappers

a. Hash Table Based

b. Hash Table Based with Filter

3. Our Proposal: GRIM-Filter

4. Mapping GRIM-Filter to 3D-Stacked Memory

5. Results

6. Conclusion


Background: Read Mappers

We now have **sequenced reads** and want a **full genome**



We map **reads** to a known **reference genome** (>99.9% similarity across humans) with some minor errors allowed

Because of high similarity, long sequences in **reads** perfectly match in the **reference genome**



We can use a hash table to help quickly map the **reads**!

GRIM-Filter Outline

1. Motivation and Goal

2. Background: Read Mappers

a. Hash Table Based

b. Hash Table Based with Filter

3. Our Proposal: GRIM-Filter


4. Mapping GRIM-Filter to 3D-Stacked Memory

5. Results

6. Conclusion

Generating Hash Tables

To map any reads, generate a **hash table** per **reference genome**.



We can query the table with substrings from reads to quickly find a list of possible mapping locations

Hash Tables in Read Mapping

Read Sequence (100 bp)

99.9% of locations
result in a **mismatch**

Hash Table

Reference Genome

**We want to filter these out
so we do not waste time
trying to align them**

Location Filtering

- **Alignment** is **expensive** and requires the use of $O(n^2)$ dynamic programming algorithm
 - We need to align millions to billions of reads

Our goal is to accelerate **read mapping** by improving the **filtering** step

- Both methods are used by mappers today, but **filtering** has replaced **alignment** as the **bottleneck** [Xin+, BMC Genomics 2013]

GRIM-Filter Outline

1. Motivation and Goal

2. Background: Read Mappers

a. Hash Table Based

b. Hash Table Based with Filter

3. Our Proposal: GRIM-Filter

4. Mapping GRIM-Filter to 3D-Stacked Memory

5. Results

6. Conclusion

Hash Tables in Read Mapping

Read Sequence (100 bp)



Mismatch.


False
Negative

Hash Table

37 140
894 1203
1564

Reference Genome

Filter



GRIM-Filter Outline

1. Motivation and Goal

2. Background: Read Mappers

a. Hash Table Based

b. Hash Table Based with Filter

3. Our Proposal: GRIM-Filter

4. Mapping GRIM-Filter to 3D-Stacked Memory

5. Results

6. Conclusion

Our Proposal: GRIM-Filter

1. **Data Structures: Bins & Bitvectors**
2. Checking a Bin
3. Integrating GRIM-Filter into a Mapper

GRIM-Filter: Bins

- We partition the genome into large sequences (**bins**).



- Represent each bin with a **bitvector** that holds the occurrence of all permutations of a small string (**token**) in the bin
- To account for matches that straddle bins, we employ overlapping bins
 - A read will now always completely fall within a single bin

Bitvector

AAAAA	1	<u>AAAAA</u> exists in bin x
AAAAC	0	
AAAAT	1	
...	...	
CCCCC	1	
CCCCT	0	<u>CCCCT</u> doesn't exist in bin x
CCCCG	0	
...	...	
GGGGG	1	


GRIM-Filter: Bitvectors



Bin x Bitvector

AAAAA	0
...	...
CGTGA	0
...	...
TGAGT	0
...	...
GAGTC	0
...	...
GTGAG	0
...	...

GRIM-Filter: Bitvectors



Storing all bitvectors requires $4^n * t$ bits in memory, where t = number of bins.


For **bin size** ~ 200 , and **$n = 5$** , **memory footprint** ~ 3.8 GB

Our Proposal: GRIM-Filter

1. Data Structures: Bins & Bitvectors
2. **Checking a Bin**
3. Integrating GRIM-Filter into a Mapper

GRIM-Filter: Checking a Bin

How GRIM-Filter determines whether to **discard** potential match locations in a given bin **prior** to alignment




Our Proposal: GRIM-Filter

1. Data Structures: Bins & Bitvectors
2. Checking a Bin
3. Integrating GRIM-Filter into a Mapper

Our Proposal: GRIM-Filter

1. Data Structures: Bins & Bitvectors
2. Checking a Bin
3. **Integrating GRIM-Filter into a Mapper**

Integrating GRIM-Filter into a Read Mapper



GRIM-Filter Outline

1. Motivation and Goal

2. Background: Read Mappers

a. Hash Table Based

b. Hash Table Based with Filter

3. Our Proposal: GRIM-Filter

4. Mapping GRIM-Filter to 3D-Stacked Memory

5. Results

6. Conclusion

Key Properties of GRIM-Filter

1. Simple Operations:


- To check a given bin, find the **sum** of all bits corresponding to each token in the read
- **Compare** against threshold to determine whether to align

2. Highly Parallel: Each bin is operated on independently and there are many many bins

3. Memory Bound: Given the frequent accesses to the large bitvectors, we find that GRIM-Filter is memory bound

These properties together make GRIM-Filter a good algorithm to be run in 3D-Stacked DRAM


3D-Stacked Memory



- 3D-Stacked DRAM architecture has **extremely high bandwidth** as well as a stacked customizable logic layer
 - ❑ Logic Layer enables **Processing-in-Memory**, offloading computation to this layer and alleviating the memory bus
 - ❑ Embed GRIM-Filter operations into **DRAM logic layer** and appropriately distribute bitvectors throughout memory


3D-Stacked Memory

- 3D-Stacked DRAM **bandwidth** as
 - Logic Layer e computation t
 - Embed GRIM appropriately




3D-Stacked Memory

Micron's HMC




Micron has working demonstration components

http://images.anandtech.com/doci/9266/HBMCar_678x452.jpg




<http://i1-news.softpedia-static.com/images/news2/Micron-and-Samsung-Join-Force-to-Create-Next-Gen-Hybrid-Memory-2.png>

GRIM-Filter in 3D-Stacked DRAM



- Each DRAM layer is organized as an array of **banks**
 - A **bank** is an array of cells with a row buffer to transfer data
- The layout of bitvectors in a bank enables filtering many bins in parallel

GRIM-Filter in 3D-Stacked DRAM



- Customized logic for accumulation and comparison per genome segment
 - Low area overhead, simple implementation
 - For HBM2, we use 4096 incrementer LUTs, 7-bit counters, and comparators in logic layer

Details are in the paper

GRIM-Filter Outline

1. Motivation and Goal

2. Background: Read Mappers

a. Hash Table Based

b. Hash Table Based with Filter

3. Our Proposal: GRIM-Filter

4. Mapping GRIM-Filter to 3D-Stacked Memory

5. Results

6. Conclusion


Methodology

- Performance simulated using an in-house 3D-Stacked DRAM simulator
- Evaluate 10 real read data sets (From the 1000 Genomes Project)
 - Each data set consists of 4 million reads of length 100
- Evaluate two key metrics
 - Performance
 - False negative rate
 - The fraction of locations that pass the filter but result in a mismatch
- Compare against a state-of-the-art filter, FastHASH [Xin+, BMC Genomics 2013] when using mrFAST, but **GRIM-Filter can be used with ANY read mapper**

GRIM-Filter Performance

Benchmarks and their Execution Times

FastHASH filter GRIM-Filter



1.8x-3.7x performance benefit across real data sets

2.1x average performance benefit


GRIM-Filter gets performance due to its hardware-software co-design

GRIM-Filter False Negative Rate

Benchmarks and their False Negative Rates

FastHASH filter GRIM-Filter

False Negative Rate



Sequence Alignment
Error Tolerance (e)

$e = 0.05$

5.6x-6.4x False Negative reduction across real data sets

6.0x average reduction in False Negative Rate

GRIM-Filter utilizes more information available in the read to filter

Other Results in the Paper

- Sensitivity of execution time and false negative rates to error tolerance of string matching
- Read mapper execution time breakdown
- Sensitivity studies on the filter
 - Token Size
 - Bin Size
 - Error Tolerance

GRIM-Filter Outline

1. Motivation and Goal

2. Background: Read Mappers

a. Hash Table Based

b. Hash Table Based with Filter

3. Our Proposal: GRIM-Filter

4. Mapping GRIM-Filter to 3D-Stacked Memory

5. Results

6. Conclusion

Conclusion

We propose an **in-memory filtering algorithm** to **accelerate end-to-end read mapping** by reducing the number of required alignments

Key ideas:

- Introduce a **new representation** of coarse-grained segments of the reference genome
- Use **massively-parallel in-memory operations** to identify read presence within each coarse-grained segment

Key contributions and results:

- Customized filtering algorithm for 3D-Stacked DRAM
- Compared to the previous best filter
 - We observed **1.8x-3.7x read mapping speedup**
 - We observed **5.6x-6.4x fewer false negatives**

GRIM-Filter is a universal filter that can be applied to any read mapper

GRIM-Filter:

***Fast seed location filtering in DNA read mapping
using processing-in-memory technologies***

Jeremie S. Kim,

Damla Senol Cali, Hongyi Xin, Donghyuk Lee,
Saugata Ghose, Mohammed Alser, Hasan Hassan,
Oguz Ergin, Can Alkan, and Onur Mutlu



Carnegie Mellon



TOBB
UNIVERSITY OF
ECONOMICS AND TECHNOLOGY

SAFARI

ETH zürich

In-Memory DNA Sequence Analysis

- Jeremie S. Kim, Damla Senol Cali, Hongyi Xin, Donghyuk Lee, Saugata Ghose, Mohammed Alser, Hasan Hassan, Oguz Ergin, Can Alkan, and Onur Mutlu, **"GRIM-Filter: Fast Seed Location Filtering in DNA Read Mapping Using Processing-in-Memory Technologies"** ***BMC Genomics***, 2018.
Proceedings of the 16th Asia Pacific Bioinformatics Conference (APBC), Yokohama, Japan, January 2018.
[arxiv.org Version \(pdf\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.00000)

GRIM-Filter: Fast seed location filtering in DNA read mapping using processing-in-memory technologies

Jeremie S. Kim^{1,6*}, Damla Senol Cali¹, Hongyi Xin², Donghyuk Lee³, Saugata Ghose¹, Mohammed Alser⁴, Hasan Hassan⁶, Oguz Ergin⁵, Can Alkan^{4*} and Onur Mutlu^{6,1*}

From The Sixteenth Asia Pacific Bioinformatics Conference 2018
Yokohama, Japan. 15-17 January 2018

LazyPIM

An Efficient Cache Coherence Mechanism for
Processing In Memory

Amirali Boroumand

"LazyPIM: An Efficient Cache Coherence Mechanism
for Processing-in-Memory",


IEEE CAL 2016. (Preliminary version)

LazyPIM Summary

- Cache Coherence is a **major system challenge** for PIM
 - Conventional cache coherence makes PIM programming easy but **loses a significant portion of PIM benefits**
- Observation:
 - Significant amount of sharing between **PIM cores** and **CPU cores** in many important data-intensive applications
 - Efficient **handling of coherence** is critical to retain PIM benefits
- LazyPIM
 - Key idea: use **speculation** to **avoid coherence lookups** during PIM core execution and **compressed signatures** to verify correctness after PIM core is done
 - Improves performance by **19.8%** and energy by **18% vs. best previous**
 - Comes within **4.4%** and **9.8%** of **ideal PIM energy** and *performance*
- We believe LazyPIM can enable new applications that benefit from fine-grained sharing between CPU and PIM

PIM Coherence

- A Major System Challenge for PIM: Coherence



PIM Coherence

- **Potential solution: Conventional coherence protocols**
 - We can treat PIM cores as **additional independent cores**
 - Use **conventional coherence protocol** to make them coherent with the CPUs

Conventional coherence is **impractical**: **large number of coherence messages over off-chip channel**



- ✓ Simplifies PIM programming model
- ✗ Generates a large amount of off-chip coherence traffic
- ✗ Eliminates on average **72.4%** of Ideal PIM energy improvement

Goal and Key Idea

- Our goal is to develop a cache coherence mechanism that:
 - 1) Maintains the **logical behavior** of conventional cache coherence protocols to simplify **PIM programming model**
 - 2) **Retains** the large **performance and energy benefits** of PIM
- Our key idea is
 - 1) Avoid *coherence lookups* during PIM core execution
 - 2) **Batch lookups** in compressed signatures and use them to **verify correctness** after PIM core finishes

Background

Prior Approaches to PIM Coherence

Prior Approaches to PIM Coherence

- There are many recent proposals on PIM
 - Primarily focus on the design of compute unit within the logic layer
- Prior works employ other approaches than conventional coherence protocol
 - Marking PIM-data as **Non-cacheable**
 - They no longer need to deal with coherence
 - **Coarse-grained** coherence
 - Tracks coherence at a larger granularity than a single cache line
 - Does not transfer permission while PIM is working
 - **No concurrent access** from the CPU and PIM

Prior Approaches to PIM Coherence

- Prior works proposed coherence mechanisms assuming:
 - Entire application could be offloaded to PIM core → **Almost zero sharing** between PIM and CPU
 - Only **limited** communication happens between CPU and PIM

Observation: These assumptions *do not hold* for many important data-intensive applications that benefit from PIM


Motivation

Applications with Data Sharing

Application Analysis for PIM

- An application benefits from PIM when we offload its **memory-intensive parts** that:
 - Generate a lot of data movement
 - Have poor cache locality
 - Contribute to a large portion of execution time
- Parts of the application that are **compute-intensive** or **cache friendly** should remain on the CPU
 - To benefit from **larger** and **sophisticated cores** with larger caches

Example: Hybrid In-Memory Database




Applications with High Data Sharing

- Our application analysis shows that:
 - Some portions of the applications perform better on CPUs
 - These portions often access the same region of data as the PIM cores
- Based on this observation, we can conclude that:
 - There are important data-intensive applications that **have strong potential for PIM** and show **significant data sharing between the CPU and PIM**

**Let's see how prior approaches work for
these applications**


Non-Cacheable

- ✗ Generates a large number of off-chip accesses
- ✗ Significantly hurts CPU threads' performance




Coarse-Grained Coherence

- Need to get coherence permission for the entire region
 - Needs to flush every dirty data within that region to transfer permission
- ✗ Unnecessarily flushes a large amount of data in **pointer-based data structure**



- Does not allow concurrent accesses
 - Blocks CPUs accessing PIM-data during PIM execution
- ✗ Coarse-grained locks frequently cause **thread serialization**




Motivation: Summary

- **Conventional cache coherence** loses a significant portion of PIM benefits
- Prior works use other approaches to avoid those costs
 - Their assumption: **Zero** or **a limited** amount of sharing
- We observe that those assumptions do not hold for a number of important data-intensive applications
 - Using prior approaches **eliminates a significant portion** of PIM benefits
- We want to get the best of both worlds
 - 1) Maintain the **logical behavior** of conventional cache coherence
 - 2) **Retain** the large **performance and energy benefits** of PIM

LazyPIM


Baseline PIM Architecture




Our Proposal

- **LazyPIM:**

- Lets PIM cores use *speculation* to *avoid* coherence lookups during execution
- Uses *compressed signatures* to batch the lookups and verify correctness after the PIM core completes



LazyPIM High-level Operation



How LazyPIM Avoids Pitfalls of Prior Approaches

- **Conventional Coherence (Fine-grained)**

- ✗ Generates a large amount of **off-chip** coherence traffic *for every miss*
- ✓ LazyPIM only sends a **compressed signature** after PIM cores finishes

- **Coarse-grained Coherence**


- ✗ Unnecessarily **flushes** a **large amount of data**
- ✓ LazyPIM performs **only the necessary flushes**
- ✗ Causes Thread **Serialization**
- ✓ LazyPIM enables **concurrent execution** of the CPUs and PIM cores

- **Non-Cacheable**

- ✗ A large number of **off-chip accesses** hurting **CPU threads'** performance
- ✓ LazyPIM allows CPU threads to **use caches**


How we define conflicts in LazyPIM?

Conflicts



Architecture Support

LazyPIM Architecture



- How does LazyPIM support **speculative execution**?
- How does LazyPIM implement **signatures**?
- How does LazyPIM **handle conflicts**?

Shared LLC


Conflict
Detection

CPUWriteSET

PIMWriteSET


Tracking speculative updates

- One-bit flag per cache line to mark all data updates as speculative




Tracking potential conflicts

- The CPU records **all dirty cache lines** and **writes** in the PIM data region in the **CPUWriteSet**





Tracking memory accesses

- The **PIMReadSet** and **PIMWriteSet** are updated for every **read** and **write** by the PIM core



Bloom filter based signature has two major benefits:

- Allows us to easily perform **conflict detection**
- Allows for a large number of addresses to be stored within a **fixed-length register**



If **conflict** happens:

- The CPU **flushes** the **dirty cache lines** that

If **no conflicts**:

- Any clean cache lines in the CPU that **match** an address in the **PIMWriteSet** are **invalidated**
- PIM core **commits** speculative updates

Evaluation

Evaluation Methodology

- **Simulator**
 - Gem5 full system simulator
- **System Configuration:**
 - **Processor**
 - 4-16 Cores, 8 wide issue, 2GHz Frequency
 - L1 I/D Cache: 64KB private, 4-way associative, 64B Block
 - L2 Cache: 2MB shared, 8-way associative, 64B Blocks
 - Cache Coherence Protocol: MESI
 - **PIM**
 - 4-16 Cores, 1 wide issue, 2GHz Frequency
 - L1 I/D Cache: 64KB private, 4-way associative, 64B Block
 - Cache Coherence Protocol: MESI
 - **3D-stacked Memory**
 - One 4GB Cube, 16 Vaults per cube

Applications


- **Ligra**

- Lightweight multithreaded graph processing for shared memory system
- We used three Ligra graph applications
 - PageRank
 - Radii
 - Connected Components
- Input graphs constructed from real-world network datasets:
 - arXiv General Relativity (5K nodes, 14K edges)
 - peer-to-peer Gnutella25 (22K nodes, 54K edges).
 - Enron email communication network (36K nodes, 183K edges)

- **IMDB**


- In-house prototype of an in-memory database (IMDB)
- Capable of running both **transactional** queries and **analytical** queries on the **same** database tables (**HTAP workload**)
- 32K transactions, 128/256 analytical queries

Speedup with 16 Threads



Energy with 16 threads

- **NC** suffers greatly from the *large number of accesses to DRAM*
- **Interconnect** and **DRAM** energy increase by **3.1x** and **4.5x**



LazyPIM significantly reduces energy consumption and comes within **4.4%** of **Ideal-PIM**

Conclusion

Conclusion

- Cache Coherence is a **major system challenge** for PIM
 - Conventional cache coherence makes PIM programming easy but **loses a significant portion of PIM benefits**
- Observation:
 - Significant amount of sharing between **PIM cores** and **CPU cores** in many important data-intensive applications
 - Efficient **handling of coherence** is critical to retain PIM benefits
- LazyPIM
 - Key idea: use **speculation** to **avoid coherence lookups** during PIM core execution and **compressed signatures** to verify correctness after PIM core is done
 - Improves performance by **19.8%** and energy by **18% vs. best previous**
 - Comes within **4.4%** and **9.8%** of **ideal PIM energy and performance**
- We believe LazyPIM can enable new applications that benefit from fine-grained sharing between CPU and PIM

LazyPIM

An Efficient Cache Coherence Mechanism for
Processing In Memory

Amirali Boroumand

"LazyPIM: An Efficient Cache Coherence Mechanism
for Processing-in-Memory",

IEEE CAL 2016. (Preliminary version)

Efficient Automatic Data Coherence Support

- Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, Minesh Patel, Hasan Hassan, Brandon Lucia, Kevin Hsieh, Krishna T. Malladi, Hongzhong Zheng, and Onur Mutlu,
"LazyPIM: An Efficient Cache Coherence Mechanism for Processing-in-Memory"
IEEE Computer Architecture Letters (CAL), June 2016.

LazyPIM: An Efficient Cache Coherence Mechanism for Processing-in-Memory

Amirali Boroumand[†], Saugata Ghose[†], Minesh Patel[†], Hasan Hassan^{†§}, Brandon Lucia[†],
Kevin Hsieh[†], Krishna T. Malladi^{*}, Hongzhong Zheng^{*}, and Onur Mutlu^{††}

[†]Carnegie Mellon University ^{*}Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. [§]TOBB ETÜ [‡]ETH Zürich

End of Backup Slides