Using Commodity Memory Devices to Support Fundamental Security Primitives

Onur Mutlu omutlu@gmail.com

https://people.inf.ethz.ch/omutlu

25 March 2019 Bogazici University



ETH zürich





- Onur Mutlu
 - □ Full Professor @ ETH Zurich CS, since September 2015 (officially May 2016)
 - Strecker Professor @ Carnegie Mellon University ECE/CS, 2009-2016, 2016-...
 - PhD from UT-Austin, worked at Google, VMware, Microsoft Research, Intel, AMD
 - <u>https://people.inf.ethz.ch/omutlu/</u>
 - omutlu@gmail.com (Best way to reach me)
 - https://people.inf.ethz.ch/omutlu/projects.htm
- Research and Teaching in:
 - Computer architecture, computer systems, hardware security, bioinformatics
 - Memory and storage systems
 - Hardware security, safety, predictability; fault tolerance
 - Hardware/software cooperation
 - Architectures for bioinformatics, health, medicine
 - New computation, communication, storage paradigms

• ...

SAFARI Research Group

SAFARI Research Group safari.ethz.ch



https://safari.ethz.ch



SAFARI Group Members @ ETH Zurich





- Dr. Mohammed Alser
- Dr. Lois Orosa
- Dr. Yaohua Wang

Dr. Juan Gómez-Luna

- 4 Post-doctoral Researchers
- 8 PhD Students + 4 at CMU
- **5** Interns
- 15 Master's and Bachelor's Researchers



- Jeremie Kim
- Ivan Puddu





Giray Yaglikci



Can Firtina





Nika Mansouri



Hasan Hassan

Skanda Koppula



Kanellopoulos

Minesh Patel



Ataberk Olgun

Lukas Breitwieser



Rokneddin Azizi



Giannoula



Teaching: Accelerated Memory Course (~6.5 hours)

ACACES 2018

- Memory Systems and Memory-Centric Computing Systems
- Taught by Onur Mutlu July 9-13, 2018
- ~6.5 hours of lectures
- Website for the Course including Videos, Slides, Papers
 - https://people.inf.ethz.ch/omutlu/acaces2018.html
 - https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL5Q2soXY2Zi-HXxomthrpDpMJm05P6J9x
- All Papers are at:
 - https://people.inf.ethz.ch/omutlu/projects.htm
 - Final lecture notes and readings (for all topics)

Teaching: Online Courses and Lectures

- Freshman Digital Circuits and Computer Architecture Course Lecture Videos (2018, 2017)
- Graduate Computer Architecture Course Lecture Videos (2018, 2017, 2015, 2013)
- <u>Undergraduate Computer Architecture Course Lecture</u> <u>Videos (2015, 2014, 2013)</u>
- <u>Parallel Computer Architecture Course</u> <u>Materials (Lecture Videos)</u>
- <u>https://people.inf.ethz.ch/omutlu/teaching.html</u>
- <u>https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCIwQ8uOeRFgOEvBLYc3kc3g</u>
- https://www.youtube.com/user/cmu18447

Research & Teaching: Some Overview Talks

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kgiZISOcGFM&list=PL5Q2soXY2Zi8D_5MGV6EnXEJHnV2YFBJl

Future Computing Architectures

- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kgiZlSOcGFM&list=PL5Q2soXY2Zi8D_5MG V6EnXEJHnV2YFBJl&index=1
- Enabling In-Memory Computation
 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oHqsNbxgdzM&list=PL5Q2soXY2Zi8D_5M GV6EnXEJHnV2YFBJl&index=7

Accelerating Genome Analysis

<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hPnSmfwu2-</u> <u>A&list=PL5Q2soXY2Zi8D_5MGV6EnXEJHnV2YFBJl&index=9</u>

Rethinking Memory System Design

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F7xZLNMIY1E&list=PL5Q2soXY2Zi8D_5MG V6EnXEJHnV2YFBJl&index=3

Research: Broad Perspective

- <u>Research Focus:</u> Computer architecture, HW/SW, security, bioinformatics <u>Memory and storage (DRAM, flash, emerging)</u> interconnects
- Heterogeneous & parallel systems, GPUs, systems for data analytics
- System/architecture interaction, new execution models, new interfaces
- Energy efficiency, fault tolerance, hardware security, performance
- Genome sequence analysis & assembly algorithms and architectures
- Biologically inspired systems & system design for bio/medicine



Graphics and Vision Processing

Four Major Current Directions

Fundamentally Secure/Reliable/Safe Architectures

Fundamentally Energy-Efficient Architectures
 Memory-centric (Data-centric) Architectures

Fundamentally Low-Latency Architectures

Architectures for Genomics, Medicine, Health



- Main memory is a critical component of all computing systems: server, mobile, embedded, desktop, sensor
- Devices that make up main memory are ubiquitous in all systems



- Main memory is a critical component of all computing systems: server, mobile, embedded, desktop, sensor
- Devices that make up main memory are ubiquitous in all systems



- Main memory is a critical component of all computing systems: server, mobile, embedded, desktop, sensor
- Devices that make up main memory are ubiquitous in all systems



Most of the system is dedicated to storing and moving data

- Most of the system is dedicated to main memory
- Main memory is in all systems

Main memory devices are used to **only** store and move data

- Can we do better?
- Can we better take advantage of the main memory devices?

Doing Better with Memory Devices

Make the memory devices more intelligent

- \rightarrow minimize data movement, exploit parallel processing
- Processing in memory

1 1 1

- See my past Bogazici talks and many works:
 - RowClone [MICRO 2013], Ambit [MICRO 2017], Tesseract [ISCA 2015], PEI [ISCA 2015], TOM [ISCA 2016], EMC [ISCA 2016], Google Workloads [ASPLOS 2018], LazyPIM/CoNDA [ISCA 2019],

Processing in Memory (I)

 Amirali Boroumand, Saugata Ghose, Youngsok Kim, Rachata Ausavarungnirun, Eric Shiu, Rahul Thakur, Daehyun Kim, Aki Kuusela, Allan Knies, Parthasarathy Ranganathan, and Onur Mutlu, "Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks" Proceedings of the <u>23rd International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming</u> <u>Languages and Operating Systems</u> (ASPLOS), Williamsburg, VA, USA, March 2018.

62.7% of the total system energy is spent on data movement

Google Workloads for Consumer Devices: Mitigating Data Movement Bottlenecks

Amirali Boroumand¹Saugata Ghose¹Youngsok Kim²Rachata Ausavarungnirun¹Eric Shiu³Rahul Thakur³Daehyun Kim^{4,3}Aki Kuusela³Allan Knies³Parthasarathy Ranganathan³Onur Mutlu^{5,1}16

Processing in Memory (II)

Processing Data Where It Makes Sense in Modern Computing Systems: Enabling In-Memory Computation

Onur Mutlu

omutlu@gmail.com https://people.inf.ethz.ch/omutlu

Feb. 21st 2019

SAFARI

ETH zürich

Carnegie Mellon

Processing in Memory (III)

Processing Data Where It Makes Sense in Modern Computing Systems: Enabling In-Memory Computation

> Onur Mutlu <u>omutlu@gmail.com</u>

https://people.inf.ethz.ch/omutlu

15 February 2019 GWU ECE Distinguished Lecture

SAFARI

ETH zürich



Processing Data Where It Makes Sense: Enabling In-Memory Computation

Onur Mutlu

onur@cmu.edu

http://users.ece.cmu.edu/~omutlu/

August 6, 2015 Bogazici University



SAFARI

Processing in Memory: Overview Paper

Enabling the Adoption of Processing-in-Memory: Challenges, Mechanisms, Future Research Directions

SAUGATA GHOSE, KEVIN HSIEH, AMIRALI BOROUMAND, RACHATA AUSAVARUNGNIRUN

Carnegie Mellon University

ONUR MUTLU ETH Zürich and Carnegie Mellon University

Saugata Ghose, Kevin Hsieh, Amirali Boroumand, Rachata Ausavarungnirun, Onur Mutlu, "Enabling the Adoption of Processing-in-Memory: Challenges, Mechanisms, Future Research Directions" Invited Book Chapter, to appear in 2018. [Preliminary arxiv.org version]

Doing Better with Memory Devices

Make the memory devices more intelligent

- \rightarrow minimize data movement, exploit parallel processing
- Processing in memory
- See my past Bogazici talks and many works:
 - RowClone [MICRO 2013], Ambit [MICRO 2017], Tesseract [ISCA 2015], PEI [ISCA 2015], TOM [ISCA 2016], EMC [ISCA 2016], Google Workloads [ASPLOS 2018], LazyPIM/CoNDA [ISCA 2019],

Use the memory devices to support key functions
 Security primitives
 ...



How to Use Memory Devices to Support Security



Using Memory for Security

Generating True Random Numbers (using DRAM)
 Is Kim et al., HPCA 2019

Evaluating Physically Unclonable Functions (using DRAM)
 In Kim et al., HPCA 2018

Quickly Destroying In-Memory Data (using DRAM)
 Orosa et al., arxiv.org 2019

Generating True Random Numbers

 Jeremie S. Kim, Minesh Patel, Hasan Hassan, Lois Orosa, and Onur Mutlu, "D-RaNGe: Using Commodity DRAM Devices to Generate True Random Numbers with Low Latency and High Throughput" Proceedings of the <u>25th International Symposium on High-Performance</u> <u>Computer Architecture</u> (HPCA), Washington, DC, USA, February 2019. [Slides (pptx) (pdf)] [Full Talk Video (21 minutes)]

D-RaNGe: Using Commodity DRAM Devices to Generate True Random Numbers with Low Latency and High Throughput

Jeremie S. Kim^{‡§}

Minesh Patel[§] Hasan Hassan[§] Lois Orosa[§] Onur Mutlu^{§‡} [‡]Carnegie Mellon University [§]ETH Zürich

Evaluating Physically Unclonable Functions

 Jeremie S. Kim, Minesh Patel, Hasan Hassan, and Onur Mutlu,
 "The DRAM Latency PUF: Quickly Evaluating Physical Unclonable Functions by Exploiting the Latency-Reliability Tradeoff in Modern DRAM Devices"
 Proceedings of the <u>24th International Symposium on High-Performance</u>

<u>Computer Architecture</u> (**HPCA**), Vienna, Austria, February 2018. [Lightning Talk Video] [Slides (pptx) (pdf)] [Lightning Session Slides (pptx) (pdf)]

The DRAM Latency PUF:

Quickly Evaluating Physical Unclonable Functions by Exploiting the Latency-Reliability Tradeoff in Modern Commodity DRAM Devices

> Jeremie S. Kim^{†§} Minesh Patel[§] Hasan Hassan[§] Onur Mutlu^{§†} [†]Carnegie Mellon University [§]ETH Zürich

Quickly Destroying In-Memory Data

Dataplant: In-DRAM Security Mechanisms for Low-Cost Devices
 <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1902.07344.pdf</u>

Dataplant: In-DRAM Security Mechanisms for Low-Cost Devices

Lois Orosa¹ Yaohua Wang^{1,2} Ivan Puddu¹ Mohammad Sadrosadati^{1,3} Kaveh Razavi^{1,4} Juan Gómez-Luna¹ Hasan Hassan¹ Nika Mansouri-Ghiasi¹ Arash Tavakkol¹ Minesh Patel¹ Jeremie Kim^{1,5} Vivek Seshadri⁶ Uksong Kang⁷ Saugata Ghose⁵ Rodolfo Azevedo⁸ Onur Mutlu^{1,5} ¹ETH Zürich ²National University of Defense Technology ³Sharif University of Technology ⁴Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam ⁵Carnegie Mellon University ⁶Microsoft ⁷SK Hynix ⁸UNICAMP

Using Memory for Security

Generating True Random Numbers (using DRAM)
 Kim et al., HPCA 2019

Evaluating Physically Unclonable Functions (using DRAM)
 Image: Kim et al., HPCA 2018

Quickly Destroying In-Memory Data (using DRAM)
 Orosa et al., arxiv.org 2019

D-RaNGe: Using Commodity DRAM Devices to Generate True Random Numbers with Low Latency and High Throughput

<u>Jeremie S. Kim</u> Minesh Patel Hasan Hassan Lois Orosa Onur Mutlu

Carnegie Mellon



Executive Summary

- <u>Motivation</u>: High-throughput true random numbers enable system security and various randomized algorithms.
 - Many systems (e.g., IoT, mobile, embedded) do not have dedicated True Random Number Generator (TRNG) hardware but have DRAM devices
- **<u>Problem</u>**: Current DRAM-based TRNGs either
 - 1. do **not** sample a fundamentally non-deterministic entropy source
 - 2. are **too slow** for continuous high-throughput operation
- <u>Goal</u>: A novel and effective TRNG that uses existing commodity DRAM to provide random values with 1) high-throughput, 2) low latency and 3) no adverse effect on concurrently running applications
- **<u>D-RaNGe</u>**: Reduce DRAM access latency **below reliable values** and exploit DRAM cells' failure probabilities to generate random values
- Evaluation:
 - 1. Experimentally characterize **282 real LPDDR4 DRAM devices**
 - 2. D-RaNGe (717.4 Mb/s) has significantly higher throughput (211x)
- 3. **D-RaNGe (100ns)** has significantly lower latency **(180x) SAFARI**

D-RaNGe Outline

Motivation

Effective True Random Number Generators

D-RaNGe

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Methodology

Results

Prior work on DRAM-based TRNGs

Command scheduling

Cell charge retention

Start-up values

Summary

D-RaNGe Outline

Motivation

Effective True Random Number Generators

D-RaNGe

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Methodology

Results

Prior work on DRAM-based TRNGs

Command scheduling

Cell charge retention

Start-up values

Summary

Motivation and Goal

- High throughput **True Random Numbers** are required for many real-world applications
 - Importantly **cryptography** for securely encrypting file systems, network packets, data in standard protocols (TLS/SSL/RSA...)
 - Others include randomized algorithms, scientific simulation, statistical sampling, recreational entertainment
- True random numbers can only be generated via physical processes
 - e.g., radioactive decay, thermal noise, shot noise
 - Systems rely on **dedicated TRNG Hardware** that samples nondeterministic **various physical phenomena**

Motivation and Goal

- Smaller devices (e.g., IoT, mobile, embedded) require, but often lack, a high throughput True Random Number Generator (TRNG)
- DRAM devices are available on most systems
- Mechanism that generates TRN using DRAM enables:
 - 1. applications that **require true random numbers** to now run on most systems
 - 2. other use-cases, e.g., **processing-in-memory applications** to generate true random numbers within memory itself
- **Our Goal:** to provide a **TRNG** using DRAM devices that satisfies the characteristics of an effective TRNG

D-RaNGe Outline

Motivation

Effective True Random Number Generators

D-RaNGe

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Methodology

Results

Prior work on DRAM-based TRNGs

Command scheduling

Cell charge retention

Start-up values

Summary



Effective TRNG Characteristics

- 1. Low implementation cost
- 2. Fully **non-deterministic**
 - impossible to predict the next output given complete information about how the mechanism operates
- 3. Provide a continuous stream of true random numbers with **high throughput**
- 4. Provide true random numbers with **low latency**
- 5. Exhibit low system interference
 - not significantly slow down concurrently-running applications

Generate random values with low energy overhead
 SAFARI
 35

D-RaNGe Outline

Motivation

Effective True Random Number Generators

D-RaNGe

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Methodology

Results

Prior work on DRAM-based TRNGs

Command scheduling

Cell charge retention

Start-up values

Summary
DRAM Organization

A DRAM bank is hierarchically organized into subarrays



Columns of cells in subarrays share a **local bitline** Rows of cells in a subarray share a **wordline SAFARI**

DRAM Operation





DRAM Accesses and Failures



DRAM Accesses and Failures



D-RaNGe Outline

Motivation

Effective True Random Number Generators

D-RaNGe

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Methodology

Results

Prior work on DRAM-based TRNGs

Command scheduling

Cell charge retention

Start-up values

Summary

D-RaNGe Key Idea

- A cell's latency failure probability is inherently related to random process variation from manufacturing
- We can extract **random values** by observing DRAM cells' latency failure probabilities



D-RaNGe Key Idea

- A cell's latency failure probability is inherently related to **random process variation** from manufacturing
- We can extract random values by observing DRAM

The key idea is to extract random values by sampling DRAM cells that fail truly randomly



D-RaNGe: Extracting Random Values

Identify all DRAM cells that fail randomly when accessed with a reduced t_{RCD} (RNG Cell)

- When accessing an RNG Cell with a reduced ${\bf t_{RCD}},$ the values read will be truly random values



Random values when accessed with t_{RCD} reduced by 45%



D-RaNGe: Identifying RNG Cells

- To identify RNG Cells, extract 1M values (bitstream) from each DRAM cell
- An **RNG Cell** is a DRAM cell whose output passes the NIST statistical test suite for randomness
- NIST tests [Rukhin+, Tech report, 2001] include tests for:
 - Unbiased output of 1's and 0's across entire bitstream
 - Unbiased output within smaller segments of the bitstream
 - Limited number of uninterrupted sequence of identical bits
 - Peak heights in the discrete fourier transform of bitstream
 - Even distribution of short sequences within bitstream
 - Cumulative sum always stays close to zero

D-RaNGe: Access Pattern

- To maximize the bits that are accessed immediately following activation, we alternate accesses to distinct rows in each bank
 - quickly generate tRCD failures within cache lines in two rows
 - maximizes tRCD failures when using reduced tRCD



D-RaNGe: Access Pattern

• To maximize the bits that are accessed **immediately following activation**, we alternate

Accessing cache lines containing more RNG cells will result in more random values





- To minimize system interference, D-RaNGe has **exclusive access** to RNG cells
- In a bank, find the **two cache lines** in distinct rows with the most number of RNG cells



Reserve rows containing selected cache lines exclusively for D-RaNGe accesses to minimize interference



Reserve neighboring rows to minimize DRAM data pattern/read interference

ine most number of Arta cens



• Cache lines containing more RNG cells provide more random bits of data per access

In a bank find the two cache lines in distinct rows with

We can parallelize accesses across all available DRAM banks for higher throughput of random values



D-RaNGe: Example Implementation

- Memory controller **reserves rows** containing selected RNG cells and neighboring rows
- When system not accessing a bank, memory controller runs D-RaNGe firmware to generate random values in the bank
- Memory controller has **buffer of random data**
- Stores random values in memory controller buffer
- Expose **API** for returning random values from the buffer when requested by the user

D-RaNGe Outline

Motivation

Effective True Random Number Generators

D-RaNGe

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Methodology

Results

Prior work on DRAM-based TRNGs

Command scheduling

Cell charge retention

Start-up values

Summary

Methodology

- 282 2y-nm LPDDR4 DRAM devices
 - 2GB device size from 3 major DRAM manufacturers
- Thermally controlled testing chamber
 - Ambient temperature range: {40°C 55°C} ± 0.25°C
 - DRAM temperature is held at 15°C above ambient
- Control over DRAM commands/timing parameters
 - Test reduced latency effects by **reducing t_{RCD} parameter**
- **Cycle-level simulator:** Ramulator [Kim+, CAL'15] <u>https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/ramulator</u>
 - SPEC CPU2006 workloads, 4-core
- **DRAM Energy:** DRAMPower [Chandrasekar+, '12] http://www.es.ele.tue.nl/drampower/
 - Using output from Ramulator

D-RaNGe Outline

Motivation

Effective True Random Number Generators

D-RaNGe

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Methodology

Results

Prior work on DRAM-based TRNGs

Command scheduling

Cell charge retention

Start-up values

Summary

Results – NIST Randomness Tests

How do we know whether D-RaNGe is truly random?

NIST Test Name	P-value	Status
monobit	0.675	PASS
frequency_within_block	0.096	PASS
runs	0.501	PASS
longest_run_ones_in_a_block	0.256	PASS
binary_matrix_rank	0.914	PASS
dft	0.424	PASS
non_overlapping_template_matching	>0.999	PASS
overlapping_template_matching	0.624	PASS
maurers_universal	0.999	PASS
linear_complexity	0.663	PASS
serial	0.405	PASS
approximate_entropy	0.735	PASS
cumulative_sums	0.588	PASS
random_excursion	0.200	PASS
random_excursion_variant	0.066	PASS

[Rukhin+, Tech report, 2001]

Passes all tests in NIST test suite for randomness!SAFARIMore details in our HPCA 2019 paper56

Results – 64-bit TRN Latency

Latency is related to density of available RNG cells per cache line



Number of RNG Cells per 32-byte Word

Across our devices, we analyze **availability of RNG cells** per cache line in a bank. Each point is the number of occurrences in a bank.

We plot the distribution across many banks as box-and-whisker plot

Results – 64-bit TRN Latency

Latency is related to density of available RNG cells per cache line



Maximum latency: 960 ns

assuming 1 RNG cell / cache line from a single bank Minimum empirical latency: 100 ns assuming 4 RNG cell / cache line in all 32 banks in 4-channels

Results – Single Channel Throughput



We determine **throughput** using the RNG cell densities found

For each bank utilized (x-axis), select the two cache lines containing the **most** number of RNG cells

 $Throughput = \frac{Accesses}{Second} \times (\sum_{i}^{selected \ cache \ lines} RNG \ Cell \ Densityi)$

Results – Single Channel Throughput



Since there are only between 1 and 4 RNG cells per cache line, there are a limited number of possible throughputs

- At least 40 Mb/s when using all 8 banks in a single channel
- Maximum throughput for A/B/C: 179.4/179.4/134.5 Mb/s
- 4-channel max (avg) throughput: 717.4 Mb/s (435.7 Mb/s)

Results

• System Interference

- Capacity overhead: 6 DRAM rows per DRAM bank (~0.018%)
- D-RaNGe is flexible and can adjust its level of interference
- D-RaNGe throughput with SPEC CPU2006 workloads in the **pessimistic** case where D-RaNGe only issues accesses to a DRAM bank when it is idle (no interference)
 - Average throughput of 83.1 Mb/s

Energy Consumption

- 4.4 nJ/bit
- Determined by Ramulator + DRAMPower
 - <u>https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/ramulator</u>
 - <u>http://www.es.ele.tue.nl/drampower/</u>

Other Results in the Paper

LPDDR4 DRAM Activation Failure Characterization

- Spatial distribution, data pattern dependence, temperature effects, variation over time
- A detailed analysis on:
 - Devices of the three major DRAM manufacturers
 - D-RaNGe energy consumption, 64-bit latency, throughput

• Further discussion on:

- Algorithm for D-RaNGe to effectively generate random values
- **Design considerations** for D-RaNGe
- D-RaNGe overhead analysis
- Analysis of NIST statistical test suite results
- Detailed comparison against prior work

D-RaNGe Outline

Motivation

Effective True Random Number Generators

D-RaNGe

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Methodology

Results

Prior work on DRAM-based TRNGs

Command scheduling

Cell charge retention

Start-up values

Summary

Prior Work: Command Scheduling [Pyo+, IET, 2009]

- Randomness source: time it takes to run a code segment of many DRAM accesses
 - Since time to access DRAM is **unpredictable** due to memory conflicts, refresh operations, calibration, etc.
 - Lower bits of the cycle timer used as random values
- Can produce random numbers at **3.4 Mb/s**
- **D-RaNGe** can produce TRNs at >700Mb/s (211x higher)
- Downsides of DRAM Command Scheduling based TRNGs
 - Randomness source is not truly random: depends on memory controller implementation and concurrently running applications
 - Much lower TRN throughput than **D-RaNGe**

D-RaNGe Outline

Motivation

Effective True Random Number Generators

D-RaNGe

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Methodology

Results

Prior work on DRAM-based TRNGs

Command scheduling

Cell charge retention

Start-up values

Summary

DRAM Cell Leakage

DRAM encodes information in **leaky** capacitors



Stored data is **corrupted** if too much charge leaks (i.e., the capacitor voltage degrades too much)

SAFARI

[Patel et al., REAPER, ISCA'17]

DRAM Cell Retention



Retention failure – when leakage corrupts stored data **Retention time** – how long a cell holds its value

SAFARI

[Patel et al., REAPER, ISCA'17]

Data Retention in DRAM Cells [ISCA 2013]

 Jamie Liu, Ben Jaiyen, Yoongu Kim, Chris Wilkerson, and Onur Mutlu, "An Experimental Study of Data Retention Behavior in Modern DRAM Devices: Implications for Retention Time Profiling Mechanisms" Proceedings of the <u>40th International Symposium on Computer Architecture</u> (ISCA), Tel-Aviv, Israel, June 2013. <u>Slides (ppt)</u> <u>Slides (pdf)</u>

An Experimental Study of Data Retention Behavior in Modern DRAM Devices: Implications for Retention Time Profiling Mechanisms

Jamie Liu* Ben Jaiyen^{*} Yoongu Kim Carnegie Mellon University Carnegie Mellon University Carnegie Mellon University 5000 Forbes Ave. 5000 Forbes Ave. 5000 Forbes Ave. Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Pittsburgh, PA 15213 bjaiyen@alumni.cmu.edu jamiel@alumni.cmu.edu yoonguk@ece.cmu.edu Chris Wilkerson Onur Mutlu Intel Corporation Carnegie Mellon University 2200 Mission College Blvd. 5000 Forbes Ave. Santa Clara, CA 95054 Pittsburgh, PA 15213

onur@cmu.edu

chris.wilkerson@intel.com

Data Retention in DRAM Cells [ISCA 2017]

- Minesh Patel, Jeremie S. Kim, and Onur Mutlu,
 "The Reach Profiler (REAPER): Enabling the Mitigation of DRAM Retention Failures via Profiling at Aggressive Conditions"
 Proceedings of the <u>44th International Symposium on Computer</u> Architecture (ISCA), Toronto, Canada, June 2017.
 [Slides (pptx) (pdf)]
 [Lightning Session Slides (pptx) (pdf)]
- First experimental analysis of (mobile) LPDDR4 chips
- Analyzes the complex tradeoff space of retention time profiling
- Idea: enable fast and robust profiling at higher refresh intervals & temperatures

The Reach Profiler (REAPER): Enabling the Mitigation of DRAM Retention Failures via Profiling at Aggressive Conditions

Minesh Patel^{§‡} Jeremie S. Kim^{‡§} Onur Mutlu^{§‡} [§]ETH Zürich [‡]Carnegie Mellon University

Retention-based TRNGs [Keller+, ISCAS, 2014] [Hashemian, DATE, 2015] [Sutar+, TECS, 2018]

Generate random values using data from cells that **fail randomly** with a **refresh interval** *N*



After time N, some cells leak close to Vmin. These RNG cells fail randomly

Retention-based TRNGs

[Keller+, ISCAS, 2014] [Hashemian, DATE, 2015] [Sutar+, TECS, 2018]

Generate random values using data from cells that **fail randomly** with a **refresh interval** *N*

The key idea is to extract random values by aggregating values from RNG cells after every *increased* refresh interval N



DRAM Retention TRNG Weaknesses High latency

- Prior work shows that 40 sec refresh interval results in 256 random bits of data per 4MiB DRAM block
- **D-RaNGe's** latency is **100ns** (>9 orders of magnitude faster)

Low Throughput / High DRAM capacity overhead

- Requires more capacity for higher throughput
 - Fully reserving a **32GB** DRAM device results in **0.05 Mb/s**
- **D-RaNGe** has **14,000x** higher throughput with a fixed capacity overhead **(384 KB)**

High energy consumption

- 6.8mJ/bit mainly due to long idle periods
- D-RaNGe: 4.4 nJ/bit (>7 orders of magnitude lower)
D-RaNGe Outline

Motivation

Effective True Random Number Generators

D-RaNGe

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Methodology

Results

Prior work on DRAM-based TRNGs

Command scheduling

Cell charge retention

Start-up values



Start-up Values as Random Numbers [Tehranipoor, HOST, 2016]

- When a device is powered up, some DRAM cells have **random values** due to interaction between
 - precharge logic
 - row decoder logic
 - column select lines
- Prior works propose **power cycling DRAM** to extract the random data resident in those cells

Downsides of DRAM Start-up value based TRNGs

- Must power cycle DRAM to generate random values:
 - High latency: based on power cycle time and data migration
 - High storage cost: all data must be migrated or will be lost

D-RaNGe Comparison against Prior Work

Compared to Command Scheduling, D-RaNGe:

- samples a truly random entropy source
- **211x** higher throughput
- 180x lower latency

Compared to Retention Time, D-RaNGe:

- >5 orders of magnitude higher throughput
- >9 orders of magnitude lower latency
- >7 orders of magnitude more energy efficient

Compared to Startup Values, D-RaNGe:

- continuously produces random values
- does not require a system restart

D-RaNGe Outline

Motivation

Effective True Random Number Generators

D-RaNGe

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Methodology

Results

Prior work on DRAM-based TRNGs

Command scheduling

Cell charge retention

Start-up values



Summary and Conclusion

- <u>Motivation</u>: High-throughput true random numbers enable system security and various randomized algorithms.
 - Many systems (e.g., IoT, mobile, embedded) do not have dedicated True Random Number Generator (TRNG) hardware but have DRAM devices
- **<u>Problem</u>**: Current DRAM-based TRNGs either
 - 1. do **not** sample a fundamentally non-deterministic entropy source
 - 2. are **too slow** for continuous high-throughput operation
- <u>Goal</u>: A novel and effective TRNG that uses existing commodity DRAM to provide random values with 1) high-throughput, 2) low latency and 3) no adverse effect on concurrently running applications
- **<u>D-RaNGe</u>**: Reduce DRAM access latency **below reliable values** and exploit DRAM cells' failure probabilities to generate random values
- Evaluation:
 - 1. Experimentally characterize **282 real LPDDR4 DRAM devices**
 - 2. **D-RaNGe (717.4 Mb/s)** has significantly higher throughput **(211x)**
- 3. **D-RaNGe (100ns)** has significantly lower latency **(180x) SAFARI**

D-RaNGe: Using Commodity DRAM Devices to Generate True Random Numbers with Low Latency and High Throughput

<u>Jeremie S. Kim</u> Minesh Patel Hasan Hassan Lois Orosa Onur Mutlu

Carnegie Mellon



More on D-RaNGe

 Jeremie S. Kim, Minesh Patel, Hasan Hassan, Lois Orosa, and Onur Mutlu, "D-RaNGe: Using Commodity DRAM Devices to Generate True Random Numbers with Low Latency and High Throughput" Proceedings of the <u>25th International Symposium on High-Performance</u> <u>Computer Architecture</u> (HPCA), Washington, DC, USA, February 2019. [Slides (pptx) (pdf)] [Full Talk Video (21 minutes)]

D-RaNGe: Using Commodity DRAM Devices to Generate True Random Numbers with Low Latency and High Throughput

Jeremie S. Kim^{‡§}

Minesh Patel[§] Hasan Hassan[§] Lois Orosa[§] Onur Mutlu^{§‡} [‡]Carnegie Mellon University [§]ETH Zürich

Using Memory for Security

Generating True Random Numbers (using DRAM)
 Is Kim et al., HPCA 2019

Evaluating Physically Unclonable Functions (using DRAM)
 Kim et al., HPCA 2018

- Quickly Destroying In-Memory Data (using DRAM)
 - Orosa et al., arxiv.org 2019

The DRAM Latency PUF: Quickly Evaluating Physical Unclonable Functions by Exploiting the Latency-Reliability Tradeoff in Modern Commodity DRAM Devices

<u>Jeremie S. Kim</u> Minesh Patel Hasan Hassan Onur Mutlu





Executive Summary

<u>Motivation</u>:

- We can authenticate a system via **unique signatures** if we can evaluate a **Physical Unclonable Function (PUF)** on it
- Signatures (PUF response) reflect inherent properties of a device
- DRAM is a promising substrate for PUFs because it is **widely** used
- Problem: Current DRAM PUFs are 1) very slow, 2) require a DRAM reboot, or 3) require additional custom hardware
- <u>Goal</u>: To develop a novel and effective PUF for existing commodity DRAM devices with low-latency evaluation time and low system interference across all operating temperatures
- **DRAM Latency PUF:** Reduce DRAM access latency **below reliable values** and exploit the resulting error patterns as **unique identifiers**
- Evaluation:
 - 1. Experimentally characterize **223 real LPDDR4 DRAM devices**
 - 2. **DRAM latency PUF** (88.2 ms) achieves a speedup of **102x/860x** at 70°C/55°C over prior DRAM PUF evaluation mechanisms

The DRAM Latency PUF Outline

Motivation

Effective PUF Characteristics

DRAM Latency PUF

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Prior Best DRAM PUF: DRAM Retention PUF

DRAM Cell Retention

Key Idea

Weaknesses

Methodology

Results

The DRAM Latency PUF Outline

Motivation

Effective PUF Characteristics

DRAM Latency PUF

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Prior Best DRAM PUF: DRAM Retention PUF

DRAM Cell Retention

Key Idea

Weaknesses

Methodology

Results

Motivation

We want a way to ensure that a system's components are not **compromised**

- Physical Unclonable Function (PUF): a function we evaluate on a device to generate a signature unique to the device
- We refer to the unique signature as a **PUF response**
- Often used in a Challenge-Response Protocol (CRP)



Motivation

- 1. We want a **runtime-accessible** PUF
 - Should be evaluated quickly with minimal impact on concurrent applications
 - Can protect against attacks that swap system components with malicious parts

- **2.** DRAM is a **promising substrate** for evaluating PUFs because it is **ubiquitous** in modern systems
 - Unfortunately, current DRAM PUFs are **slow** and get **exponentially slower** at lower temperatures

The DRAM Latency PUF Outline

Motivation

Effective PUF Characteristics

DRAM Latency PUF

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Prior Best DRAM PUF: DRAM Retention PUF

DRAM Cell Retention

Key Idea

Weaknesses

Methodology

Results

1. Repeatability





1. Repeatability

2. Diffuseness





1. Repeatability

- 2. Diffuseness
- **3. Uniform Randomness**

Cannot use multiple challenge-response pairs to guess another



DRAM

Device

DRAM

Device

DRAM

Device

2



- 2. Diffuseness
- **3. Uniform Randomness**

Challenge1

Challo

4. Uniqueness

Trusted Challenge_z Device

All PUF responses of different devices are significantly different

- 1. Repeatability
- 2. Diffuseness
- **3. Uniform Randomness**
- 4. Uniqueness
- 5. Unclonability

Trusted Device





- 1. Repeatability
- 2. Diffuseness
- **3. Uniform Randomness**

More analysis of the effective PUF characteristics in the paper



Runtime-accessible PUFs must have

1. Low Latency

Each device can **quickly** generate a PUF response

2. Low System Interference

- PUF evaluation **minimally affects performance** of concurrently-running applications

The DRAM Latency PUF Outline

Motivation

Effective PUF Characteristics

DRAM Latency PUF

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Prior Best DRAM PUF: DRAM Retention PUF

DRAM Cell Retention

Key Idea

Weaknesses

Methodology

Results

DRAM Accesses and Failures



DRAM Accesses and Failures



97

The DRAM Latency PUF Outline

Motivation

Effective PUF Characteristics

DRAM Latency PUF

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Prior Best DRAM PUF: DRAM Retention PUF

DRAM Cell Retention

Key Idea

Weaknesses

Methodology

Results

DRAM Latency PUF Key Idea

- A cell's latency failure probability is inherently related to random process variation from manufacturing
- We can provide **repeatable and unique device signatures** using latency error patterns

SA

SA

SA

High % chance to fail with reduced t_{RCD}

SA

SA

Low % chance to fail with reduced t_{RCD}

SA

SA

DRAM Latency PUF Key Idea

- A cell's latency failure probability is inherently related to random process variation from manufacturing
- We can provide repeatable and unique device

The key idea is to compose a PUF response using the DRAM cells that fail with high probability



Evaluating a DRAM Latency PUF

Determine whether a **single cell's location** should be included in a DRAM latency PUF response

- **Include** if the cell **fails** with a probability greater than a **chosen threshold** when accessed with a reduced **t**_{RCD}

Chosen Threshold: 50%



This Cell's Failure Rate: 60%

Failure rate is greater than the chosen threshold, so the cell's location should be included

Evaluating a DRAM Latency PUF

- We induce latency failures 100 times and use a threshold of 10% (i.e., use cells that fail > 10 times)
- We do this for every cell in a continuous **8KiB** memory region, that we refer to as a **PUF memory segment**



Evaluating a DRAM Latency PUF

 We induce latency failures 100 times and use a threshold of 10% (i.e., use cells that fail > 10 times)

for overu a

We can evaluate the DRAM latency PUF in only 88.2ms on average regardless of temperature!



The DRAM Latency PUF Outline

Motivation

Effective PUF Characteristics

DRAM Latency PUF

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Prior Best DRAM PUF: DRAM Retention PUF

DRAM Cell Retention

Key Idea

Weaknesses

Methodology

Results

DRAM Cell Leakage

DRAM encodes information in leaky capacitors



Stored data is **corrupted** if too much charge leaks (i.e., the capacitor voltage degrades too much)

SAFARI

[Patel et al., REAPER, ISCA'17]

DRAM Cell Retention



Retention failure – when leakage corrupts stored data **Retention time** – how long a cell holds its value

SAFARI

[Patel et al., REAPER, ISCA'17]

Each Cell has a Different Retention Time



8GB DRAM = 6.4e10 cells



[Patel et al., REAPER, ISCA'17]

107

The DRAM Latency PUF Outline

Motivation

Effective PUF Characteristics

DRAM Latency PUF

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Prior Best DRAM PUF: DRAM Retention PUF

DRAM Cell Retention

Key Idea

Weaknesses

Methodology

Results
Evaluating a DRAM Retention PUF

Generate a **PUF response** with locations of cells in a **PUF memory segment** that **fail** with a **refresh interval** *N*



The pattern of retention failures across a segment of DRAM is unique to the device **SAFARI**

Evaluating a DRAM Retention PUF

Generate a **PUF response** with locations of cells in a **PUF memory segment** that **fail** with a **refresh interval** *N*

Can handle a

We use the best methods from prior work and optimize the retention PUF for our devices

DRAM is **unique** to the device **SAFARI**

The DRAM Latency PUF Outline

Motivation

Effective PUF Characteristics

DRAM Latency PUF

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Prior Best DRAM PUF: DRAM Retention PUF

DRAM Cell Retention

Key Idea

Weaknesses

Methodology

Results

Summary

DRAM Retention PUF Weaknesses

DRAM Retention PUF evaluation time is **very long** and leads to **high system interference**

Long evaluation time:

- Most DRAM cells are strong → need to wait for long time to drain charge from capacitors
- 2. Especially at low temperatures

High system interference:

- 1. DRAM refresh can only be disabled at a **channel** granularity (512MB 2GB)
- 2. Must issue **manual refreshes** to maintain data correctness in the rest of the channel **during entire evaluation time**
- 3. Manually refreshing DRAM consumes **significant** bandwidth on the DRAM bus

DRAM Retention PUF Weaknesses

Long evaluation time could be ameliorated in 2 ways:

- **1. Increase temperature** higher rate of charge leakage
 - \rightarrow Observe failures faster

Unfortunately:

1. Difficult to control DRAM temperature in the field

2. Operating at high temperatures is undesirable

2. Increase PUF memory segment size – more cells with low retention time in PUF memory segment

 \rightarrow Observe more failures faster

Unfortunately:

• Large PUF memory segment

→ high DRAM capacity overhead

The DRAM Latency PUF Outline

Motivation

Effective PUF Characteristics

DRAM Latency PUF

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Prior Best DRAM PUF: DRAM Retention PUF

DRAM Cell Retention

Key Idea

Weaknesses

Methodology

Results

Summary

Methodology

- 223 2y-nm LPDDR4 DRAM devices
 - **2GB** device size
 - From 3 major DRAM manufacturers

• Thermally controlled testing chamber

- Ambient temperature range: {40°C 55°C} ± 0.25°C
- DRAM temperature is held at 15°C above ambient

• Precise control over DRAM commands and timing parameters

- Test retention time effects by **disabling refresh**
- Test reduced latency effects by **reducing t_{RCD} parameter**

The DRAM Latency PUF Outline

Motivation

Effective PUF Characteristics

DRAM Latency PUF

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Prior Best DRAM PUF: DRAM Retention PUF

DRAM Cell Retention

Key Idea

Weaknesses

Methodology

Results

Summary



DRAM latency PUF is

1. Fast and constant latency (88.2ms)



DRAM latency PUF is

1. Fast and constant latency (88.2ms)



DRAM latency PUF is

1. Fast and constant latency (88.2ms)



DRAM latency PUF is

1. Fast and constant latency (88.2ms)

2. On average, 102x/860x faster than the previous DRAM PUF with the same DRAM capacity overhead (64KiB)

Results – System Interference

During PUF evaluation on commodity devices:

• The DRAM Retention PUF

- Disables refresh at channel granularity (~512MB 2GB)
 - **Issue manual refresh operations** to rows in channel but not in PUF memory segment to prevent data corruption
- Has **long evaluation time** at low temperatures

The DRAM Latency PUF

- Does not require disabling refresh
- Has short evaluation time **at any operating temperature**

Other Results in the Paper

- How the DRAM latency PUF meets the basic requirements for an effective PUF
- A detailed analysis on:
 - Devices of the three major DRAM manufacturers
 - The evaluation time of a PUF
- Further discussion on:
 - **Optimizing** retention PUFs
 - **System interference** of DRAM retention and latency PUFs
 - Algorithm to quickly and reliably evaluate DRAM latency PUF
 - **Design considerations** for a DRAM latency PUF
 - The DRAM Latency PUF overhead analysis

The DRAM Latency PUF Outline

Motivation

Effective PUF Characteristics

DRAM Latency PUF

DRAM Operation

Key Idea

Prior Best DRAM PUF: DRAM Retention PUF

DRAM Cell Retention

Key Idea

Weaknesses

Methodology

Results

Summary



Executive Summary

<u>Motivation</u>:

- We can authenticate a system via **unique signatures** if we can evaluate a **Physical Unclonable Function (PUF)** on it
- Signatures (PUF response) reflect inherent properties of a device
- DRAM is a promising substrate for PUFs because it is **widely** used
- Problem: Current DRAM PUFs are 1) very slow, 2) require a DRAM reboot, or 3) require additional custom hardware
- <u>Goal</u>: To develop a novel and effective PUF for existing commodity DRAM devices with low-latency evaluation time and low system interference across all operating temperatures
- **DRAM Latency PUF:** Reduce DRAM access latency **below reliable values** and exploit the resulting error patterns as **unique identifiers**
- Evaluation:
 - 1. Experimentally characterize **223 real LPDDR4 DRAM devices**
 - 2. **DRAM latency PUF** (88.2 ms) achieves a speedup of **102x/860x** at 70°C/55°C over prior DRAM PUF evaluation mechanisms

The DRAM Latency PUF: Quickly Evaluating Physical Unclonable Functions by Exploiting the Latency-Reliability Tradeoff in Modern Commodity DRAM Devices

<u>Jeremie S. Kim</u> Minesh Patel Hasan Hassan Onur Mutlu





More on the DRAM Latency PUF

 Jeremie S. Kim, Minesh Patel, Hasan Hassan, and Onur Mutlu, "The DRAM Latency PUF: Quickly Evaluating Physical Unclonable Functions by Exploiting the Latency-Reliability Tradeoff in Modern DRAM Devices" Proceedings of the 24th International Symposium on High-Performance Computer Architecture (HPCA), Vienna, Austria, February 2018.

[Lightning Talk Video]

[Slides (pptx) (pdf)] [Lightning Session Slides (pptx) (pdf)]

The DRAM Latency PUF:

Quickly Evaluating Physical Unclonable Functions by Exploiting the Latency-Reliability Tradeoff in Modern Commodity DRAM Devices

Jeremie S. Kim^{†§} Minesh Patel[§] Hasan Hassan[§] Onur Mutlu^{§†} [†]Carnegie Mellon University [§]ETH Zürich

Using Memory for Security

Generating True Random Numbers (using DRAM)
 a Kim et al., HPCA 2019

Evaluating Physically Unclonable Functions (using DRAM)
 In Kim et al., HPCA 2018

Quickly Destroying In-Memory Data (using DRAM)
 Orosa et al., arxiv.org 2019

For Another Time ...

Dataplant: In-DRAM Security Mechanisms for Low-Cost Devices
 <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1902.07344.pdf</u>

Dataplant: In-DRAM Security Mechanisms for Low-Cost Devices

Lois Orosa¹ Yaohua Wang^{1,2} Ivan Puddu¹ Mohammad Sadrosadati^{1,3} Kaveh Razavi^{1,4} Juan Gómez-Luna¹ Hasan Hassan¹ Nika Mansouri-Ghiasi¹ Arash Tavakkol¹ Minesh Patel¹ Jeremie Kim^{1,5} Vivek Seshadri⁶ Uksong Kang⁷ Saugata Ghose⁵ Rodolfo Azevedo⁸ Onur Mutlu^{1,5} ¹ETH Zürich ²National University of Defense Technology ³Sharif University of Technology ⁴Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam ⁵Carnegie Mellon University ⁶Microsoft ⁷SK Hynix ⁸UNICAMP

Conclusion

- Memory devices have inherent capability to support key security primitives
 - True Random Number Generation
 - Physically Unclonable Functions
 - Fast Destruction/Randomization of Data

• ...

- It is time for us to treat memory as an intelligent device
 - that does more than simply storing and supplying data...
 - Producing security primitives is one example
- We can reinvent computing
 - with a **memory-centric design perspective**

Using Commodity Memory Devices to Support Fundamental Security Primitives

Onur Mutlu omutlu@gmail.com

https://people.inf.ethz.ch/omutlu

25 March 2019 Bogazici University



ETH zürich



DRaNGe Backup Slides

DRAM Organization + Operation



DRAM Activation Failure Testing

Algorithm 1: DRAM Activation Failure Testing

- **1 DRAM_ACT_failure_testing**(*data_pattern*, *DRAM_region*):
- **2** write *data_pattern* (e.g., solid 1s) into all cells in *DRAM_region*
- **3** set low t_{RCD} for ranks containing $DRAM_region$
- 4 **foreach** *col* in *DRAM_region*:
- **5 foreach** *row* in *DRAM_region*:
- 6 *activate(row)* // fully refresh cells
- 7 *precharge(row)* // ensure next access activates the row
- 8 *activate(row)*
- 9 *read(col)* // induce activation failure on col
- **10** *precharge(row)*
- **11** record activation failures to storage
- **12** set default *t_{RCD}* for DRAM ranks containing *DRAM_region*

Activation Failure Spatial Distribution



Figure 4: Activation failure bitmap in 1024 \diamond 1024 cell array.

Activation Failure Temperature Dependence

Figure 6: Effect of temperature variation on failure probability

Full D-RaNGe Algorithm

Algorithm 2: D-RaNGe: A DRAM-based TRNG

- **1 D-RaNGe(***num_bits*): // *num_bits*: number of random bits requested
- 2 *DP*: a known data pattern that results in high entropy
- **3** select 2 DRAM words with RNG cells in distinct rows in each bank
- 4 write *DP* to chosen DRAM words and their neighboring cells
- **5** get exclusive access to rows of chosen DRAM words and nearby cells
- **6** set low t_{RCD} for DRAM ranks containing chosen DRAM words
- 7 **for** each bank:
- 8 read data in DW_1 // induce activation failure
- 9 write the read value of DW_1 's RNG cells to *bitstream*
- **10** write original data value back into DW_1
- **11** memory barrier // ensure completion of write to DW_1
- **12** read data in DW_2 // induce activation failure
- **13** write the read value of DW_2 's RNG cells to *bitstream*
- **14** write original data value back into DW_2
- **15** memory barrier // ensure completion of write to DW_2
- **16** if $bitstream_{size} \ge num_bits$:
- 17 break
- **18** set default t_{RCD} for DRAM ranks of the chosen DRAM words
- **19** release exclusive access to rows of chosen words and nearby cells

Summary Comparison Table

Proposal	Year	Entropy Source	True Random	Streaming Capable	64-bit TRNG Latency	Energy Consumption	Peak Throughput
Pyo+ [116]	2009	Command Schedule	X	✓ ✓	18µs	N/A	3.40 <i>Mb</i> / <i>s</i>
Keller+ [65]	2014	Data Retention	1	✓	40 <i>s</i>	6.8 <i>mJ/bit</i>	0.05 <i>Mb</i> /s
Tehranipoor+ [144]	2016	Startup Values	1	×	> 60ns (optimistic)	$> 245.9 p \tilde{J}/bit$ (optimistic)	N/A
Sutar+ [141]	2018	Data Retention	1	✓	40 <i>s</i>	6.8 <i>mJ/bit</i>	0.05 <i>Mb</i> /s
D-RaNGe	2018	Activation Failures	1	✓ ✓	100ns < x < 960ns	4.4 <i>nJ</i> / <i>bit</i>	717.4 <i>Mb</i> /s

Table 2: Comparison to previous DRAM-based TRNG proposals.

DRAM Data Pattern Dependence

Figure 5: Data pattern dependence of DRAM cells prone to activation failure over 100 iterations

DRAM Architecture Background

Sources of Retention Time Variation

- Process/voltage/temperature
- Data pattern dependence (DPD)
 - Retention times **change with data** in cells/neighbors
 - e.g., all 1's vs. all 0's

• Variable retention time (VRT)

- Retention time changes **randomly (unpredictably)**
- Due to a combination of various circuit effects

Long-term Continuous Profiling

Error correction codes (ECC) and online profiling are *necessary* to manage new failing cells

- New failing cells continue to appear over time
 - Attributed to variable retention time (VRT)
- The set of failing cells changes over time **SAFARI**

Single-cell Failure Probability (Cartoon)

Temperature Relationship

- Well-fitting exponential relationship:
- - $R_C \propto e^{0.26\Delta T}$

• E.g., 10° C ~ 10x more failures

Retention Failures @ 45°C

Unique: failures not observed at lower refresh intervals

Non-repeat: failures observed at lower refresh intervals, but not at current

Repeat: failures observed at both current and lower refresh intervals



VRT Failure Accumulation Rate



800 Rounds of Profiling @ 2048ms, 45°C



800 Rounds of Profiling @ 2048ms, 45°C



Individual Cell Failure Probabilities



- Single representative chip of Vendor B at 40° C
- Refresh intervals ranging from 64ms to 4096ms **SAFARI**

Individual Cell Failure Distributions



Single-cell Failures With Temperature



- Single representative chip of Vendor B
- {mean, std} for cells between 64ms and 4096ms
 SAFARI

DRAM Latency PUF Backup Slides

DRAM Architecture Background



Evaluating DRAM Retention PUFs

Algorithm 1: Evaluate Retention PUF [103, 120, 121, 124, 135]

- **1** evaluate_DRAM_retention_PUF(seg_id, wait_time):
- 2 $rank_id \leftarrow DRAM$ rank containing seg_id
- **3** disable refresh for Rank[*rank_id*]
- 4 $start_time \leftarrow current_time()$
- **5** while current_time() start_time < wait_time:
- **6 foreach** *row* **in** Rank[*rank_id*]:
 - if row not in Segment[seg_id]:
 - issue refresh to row

// refresh all other rows

- **9** enable refresh for Rank[*rank_id*]
- **10** return data at Segment[seg_id]

SAFARI

7

8

	#Chips	#Tested Memory Segments
А	91	17,408
В	65	12,544
С	67	10,580

Table 1: The number of tested PUF memory segments across the tested chips from each of the three manufacturers.



Figure 3: Distributions of Jaccard indices calculated across every possible pair of PUF responses across all tested PUF memory segments from each of 223 LPDDR4 DRAM chips.



Figure 4: Distributions of Jaccard indices calculated between PUF responses of DRAM chips from a single manufacturer.

	#Chips	#Total Memory Segments
A	19	589,824
В	12	442,879
С	14	437,990

Table 2: Number of PUF memory segments tested for 30 days.

	% Memory Segments per Chip			
	Intra-Jaccard index range < 0.1	Intra-Jaccard index range < 0.2		
А	100.00 [99.08, 100.00]	100.00 [100.00, 100.00]		
В	90.39 [82.13, 99.96]	96.34 [95.37, 100.00]		
С	95.74 [89.20, 100.00]	96.65 [95.48, 100.00]		

Table 3: Percentage of PUF memory segments per chip with Intra-Jaccard index ranges <0.1 or 0.2 over a 30-day period. Median [minimum, maximum] values are shown.

Temperature Effects



Figure 6: DRAM latency PUF repeatability vs. temperature. SAFARI

Evaluating a DRAM Latency PUF

Algorithm 2: Evaluate DRAM latency PUF

1	<pre>evaluate_DRAM_latency_PUF(seg_id):</pre>		
2	write known data (all 1's) to Segment[seg_id]		
3	<i>rank_id</i> ← DRAM rank containing <i>seg_id</i>		
4	obtain exclusive access to Rank[<i>rank_id</i>]		
5	<pre>set low t_{RCD} for Rank[rank_id]</pre>		
6	for $i = 1$ to num_iterations :		
7	<pre>for col in Segment[seg_id]</pre>		
8	<pre>for row in Segment[seg_id]:</pre>	// column-order reads	
9	read()	// induce read failures	
10	memory_barrier()	// one access at a time	
11	count_failures()	// record in another rank	
12	set default <i>t_{RCD}</i> for Rank[<i>rank_id</i>]		
13	filter the PUF memory segment	// See Filtering Mechanism	
14	release exclusive access to Rank[<i>rank_id</i>]		
15	return error pattern at Segment[<i>seg_id</i>]		

Memory Footprint. Equation 2 provides the memory footprint required by PUF evaluation:

 $mem_{total} = (size_{mem_seg}) + (size_{counter_buffer})$ (2) where $size_{mem_seg}$ is the size of the PUF memory segment and $size_{counter_buffer}$ is the size of the counter buffer. The size of the counter buffer can be calculated using Equation 3:

 $size_{counter_buffer} = (size_{mem_seg}) \times \lceil \log_2 N_{iters} \rceil$ (3)

	#Chips	Good Memory Segments per Chip (%)
А	19	100.00 [100.00, 100.00]
В	12	$100.00 \ [64.06, \ 100.00]$
С	14	30.86 [19.37, 95.31]

Table 4: Percentage of *good* memory segments per chip across manufacturers. Median [min, max] values are shown.



DRAM Characterization

Sources of Retention Time Variation

- Process/voltage/temperature
- Data pattern dependence (DPD)
 - Retention times **change with data** in cells/neighbors
 - e.g., all 1's vs. all 0's

• Variable retention time (VRT)

- Retention time changes **randomly (unpredictably)**
- Due to a combination of various circuit effects

Long-term Continuous Profiling



Error correction codes (ECC) and online profiling are *necessary* to manage new failing cells

- New failing cells continue to appear over time
 - Attributed to variable retention time (VRT)
- The set of failing cells changes over time **SAFARI**

Single-cell Failure Probability (Cartoon)





Temperature Relationship

- Well-fitting exponential relationship:
- $R_A \propto e^{0.22\Delta T} \qquad R_B \propto e^{0.20\Delta T}$
 - $R_C \propto e^{0.26\Delta T}$

• E.g., 10° C ~ 10x more failures



Retention Failures @ 45°C

Unique: failures not observed at lower refresh intervals

Non-repeat: failures observed at lower refresh intervals, but not at current

Repeat: failures observed at both current and lower refresh intervals



VRT Failure Accumulation Rate



800 Rounds of Profiling @ 2048ms, 45°C



800 Rounds of Profiling @ 2048ms, 45°C



Individual Cell Failure Probabilities



- Single representative chip of Vendor B at 40° C
- Refresh intervals ranging from 64ms to 4096ms **SAFARI**

Individual Cell Failure Distributions



Single-cell Failures With Temperature



- Single representative chip of Vendor B
- {mean, std} for cells between 64ms and 4096ms
 SAFARI