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Abstract

Although specular objects have gained interest in recent years, virtually no approaches exist for markerless reconstruction of reflective scenes in the wild. In this work, we present a practical approach to capturing normal maps in real-world scenes using video only. We focus on nearly planar surfaces such as windows, facades from glass or metal, or frames, screens and other indoor objects and show how normal maps of these can be obtained without the use of an artificial calibration object. Rather, we track the reflections of real-world straight lines, while moving with a hand-held or vehicle-mounted camera in front of the object. In contrast to error-prone local edge tracking, we obtain the reflections by a robust, global segmentation technique of an ortho-rectified 3D video cube that also naturally allows efficient user interaction. Then, at each point of the reflective surface, the resulting 2D-curve to 3D-line correspondence provides a novel quadratic constraint on the local surface normal. This allows to globally solve for the shape by integrability and smoothness constraints and easily supports the usage of multiple lines. We demonstrate the technique on several objects and facades.

1. Introduction

Reflective surfaces are a key design element in modern architecture and facades of skyscrapers and office towers are often dominated by glass and metal. Also many shops and even some particular private houses show large reflective surfaces, sometimes designed specifically to generate a certain impression.

The appearance of such buildings depends largely on what is reflected and in which way the surrounding scenery is distorted by the reflection. Many of the reflective surfaces are flat or nearly flat, but small deviations of the surface normals can nevertheless generate very strong distortions in the reflections. The reason for the small variations of the normals can be imperfections of the glass manufacturing, mechanical fixation, or can be part of the intended design. This results in many reflecting surfaces having their own specific distortion patterns. \textit{e.g.} Fig. 1 shows a characteristic example. It has been shown very recently that considering reflections can significantly improve the realism of image-based rendering techniques [22], however this was restricted to objects that behave like perfectly planar mirrors. One reason for this restriction might be that no practical way is known to capture the surface normals of big real world objects like shop windows or entire glass facades.

Consequently, when digitally modeling distorted windows as perfectly flat this will dramatically hurt realism of the virtual 3D building or virtual city model. It is well known that realism can be added to the glass reflections by using a normal map [5] and the current state of the art practice for modeling windows in such models consists of using a plausible, generic bumpmap template (\textit{e.g.} bulge), potentially perturbed with some low-frequency random noise pattern. Such a ‘randomized surface’ approach avoids the immediate synthetic impression created by perfect mirrors. However, it cannot easily be used to mimic the specific visual reflection patterns characteristic for a particular building or window. In contrast, our goal with this paper is to propose a practical approach to capture these small, but visually significant normal variations of real-world reflective surfaces outside the lab. As we will discuss in the related work section, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first approach demonstrated to be able to capture normal maps of outdoor windows, in place.

Our work should be seen as fitting in a major trend in computer vision and graphics that consists of developing techniques for capturing 3D models [19, 17] and measuring surface properties [6, 4] of surfaces to generate faithful copies of the real-world. More specifically, there has recently been a lot of interest in capturing visual models of cities [9, 18, 11]. It should be noted that existing techniques for capturing buildings struggle with windows as these do not reflect sufficient laser-light back to the range sensor or
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due to their reflective nature violating the Lambertian assumption underlying most image-based techniques.

The key idea we follow is to track the (curved) reflections of real-world straight lines (e.g., typically a line observed on the opposing facade) when moving a video camera (hand-held, or mounted on a capture vehicle) in front of the window of interest. Under the assumption that the window is a plane at a certain distance, we attribute the deformation of the straight line to variation of the local normal. Essentially, first we estimate the pose of the camera and the position of the 3D line before we track the curved reflection in the video. As we have to estimate the two degrees of freedom of the normal and each observation provides a single constraint, two passes of different lines (e.g., a horizontal and a vertical one) would be required and sufficient to determine each normal locally. However, similarly as in shape-from-shading, when we consider only physically plausible, global solutions for the set of normals forming a smooth surface, a single pass combined with integrability and smoothness assumptions are sufficient to recover the whole normal map for the window. This normal map can be used e.g., in ray-tracers to realistically render the reflections of the window that was captured. Before describing our approach more in detail, we discuss previous work with respect to normal and shape estimation of reflective surfaces.

2. Previous Work and Contribution

Specular surfaces, although present everywhere in man-made environments, still pose challenges for camera tracking. 3D reconstruction (cf. to Ihrke et al. [12] for a recent survey) or view interpolation. Towards this end, recently Sinha et al. [22] have presented an image-based rendering approach that addresses the problem of realistic mixing of reflected light and transmitted light using proxy geometries. In terms of 3D reconstruction of the specular surface itself, e.g., Zisserman et al. [29] infer surface properties from a specular reflection of a single light source. Later, Savarese et al. [21] use a calibrated camera observing a planar pattern and analyze which surface properties could be inferred from local measurements of a point plus a variable number of local directions (e.g., a point-to-point correspondence and two local directions can be used at each corner position when clicking correspondences on a chessboard pattern). Roth and Black [20] formalize the concept of specular flow and show that for a distant reflected scene it can in principle be used to recover shape. The theoretical properties of the specular flow are further studied by Vasilyev et al. [25] who also propose reconstruction algorithms under the assumption that the specular flow is given. In fact, this is a major stumbling block as the specular flow is much more complicated to estimate than the standard (diffuse) optical flow and no algorithms exist for doing this from real-world imagery. This is also the reason why we have resorted to track the reflection of lines instead of points as this is more feasible. However, in this case we only obtain a single constraint per point traversed by the reflection of the line. Notice also that the reflection of a line can consist of multiple curves as the topology of the reflection can change (as we will see later), which makes optical flow virtually impossible and even the tracking of lines very challenging. The shape from specular reflection problem, as we pose it, creates a constraint on the surface normal at each position that is quadratic, but, apart from this last fact, it is closely related to the shape from shading problem [28] as only a single constraint on the two degrees of freedom of the normal is obtained directly from the measurements.

The novelty of our work lies in the fact that we do not require a specifically designed calibration target like a checkerboard [7] or a pattern on a calibrated monitor [8, 24, 14, 2, 15]. To our knowledge, our paper is the first to present practical results on outdoor reconstruction of specular surfaces. As we focus in particular on near-flat surfaces like windows, we discuss related work addressing this case more in detail. Here, Ding and Yu [7] also reconstructed properties of almost flat surfaces. However, they require a calibration object to be held in front of the surface. The object they use is a color-coded checkerboard pattern that allows to establish point correspondences between the reflection and known 3D coordinates on the checkerboard. In a later work Ding et al. [8] also use reflections of lines, however, they require many known 3D lines that intersect the specular surface, which in practice makes the approach impossible to use in uncontrolled environments. Recently Liu et al. [15] uses dense (or sparse with B-spline parametrized smooth surface) correspondences between a known calibration pattern and a single image to reconstruct the surface. The need to know exactly the calibration pattern to compute correspondences makes also this method impractical in uncontrolled environments. Consequently, we consider the main contributions of our work:

- the exploitation of existing scene structures only (in practice big windows would require unrealistically big calibration targets)
- a novel constraint on the surface normal derived from point-to-line correspondences rather than point-to-point. The line reflection passing over the surface allows to scan very densely instead on a few sparse feature positions
- camera tracking in reflective scenes where patch-feature-based approaches fail, allowing to rectify video frames with respect to the window frame
- robust, efficient line reflection tracking by 3d ortho-video cube segmentation that supports line topology changes
- both direct local estimation of normals (by using more than one 3D line) or global optimization by incorporating integrability constraints and shape priors

This altogether results in - to the best of our knowledge - the first system that is able to capture normal maps in real-world conditions, at physically inaccessible facades, on top of being very practical as all it needs is a video camera.
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Figure 2. Overview of our normal map capturing pipeline.

3. Normal Map Capture

In this section we will present the general system (see also Fig. 2). After having moved a camera such that a 3D line’s reflection passes over a window, we compute the camera path. We then rectify the video and track the reflection of the line in order to first approximate the 3D line’s parameters (using reflection on the boundaries). Finally, we estimate a normal map for the window that in turn can be used to improve the 3D line’s estimate and vice versa.

3.1. Tracking the Camera

When using a capturing vehicle for acquiring panoramic street-level data, the trajectory is often already available or could be computed reliably from omni-directional images and additional sensors e.g. GPS/INS or wheel odometry. Using images only, for big reflective facades often everything is reflective but a grid of lines. This fools state of the art Structure-from-Motion algorithms like [26]. Consequently, in the following we briefly outline a technique based on vanishing points and chamfer matching to track the camera motion in planar, highly reflective scenes like the one shown in Fig. 1.

For each frame of the video that scans e.g. a window on a facade we estimate vanishing points using the software published by Baatz et al. [1]. Although orthogonality of vanishing points can also reveal the focal length, we assume a camera with known intrinsics. After rectifying with respect to vanishing points we obtain a video with constant camera orientation (aligned with facade directions). We set our world coordinate system origin into the plane such that the first image’s camera center has coordinates (0,0,-1).

In order to obtain the relative camera motion, we track the edges that support the vanishing points (i.e. we remove curved reflections). Rather than tracking all edges at once, we exploit the fact that we have stable orientation and subdivide the remaining (horizontal and vertical) edges into the four directions according to their gradient (north, south, east, west). For each of the four sets of edges, Chamfer matching[3] between subsequent frames is performed, however with a joint set of parameters for x,y and z translation (z-translation corresponding to scaling). To compensate for potential drift and small jitter from vanishing point estimation, we finally register all images to the first one using a full 6 degree of freedom pose parameterization also using Chamfer matching, where we can also optionally enforce straight motion, e.g. for sequences taken from a vehicle.

3.2. Tracking the reflected lines

As a first step the facades and windows need to be detected in the input video. While recent advances on facade parsing (e.g. Martinovic et al. [16]) have shown the possibility to detect facades and find facade elements such as windows fully automatically, in the present work windows were hand-selected in one reference frame.

In order to estimate the normal map of a detected window, the position of the 3D line’s reflection inside that window has to be known. A difficulty of this task is that, due to the distortions, this reflection is no longer a line in the image, it is not even guaranteed to be one connected curve as depicted in Fig. 5. Therefore standard line tracking methods cannot be applied.

We will use the fact that the camera moves approximately along a straight line to reformulate the problem of tracking the line as a volumetric segmentation problem.

Observation 1. Having a straight camera path, the reflection of a static 3D line will be observed at a certain position $O = (u, v)$ of a static window only in one frame $t$ (or in no/all frames).

Proof. Let $\pi_{(O, n)}$ be the plane going through $O$ with normal $n$, $\pi_l$ be the plane going through $O$ containing $l$, and $\pi_{l, sym}$ the reflection of $\pi_l$ with respect to $\pi_{(O, n)}$. $\pi_{l, sym}$ is the only location where $l$ can be seen at $O$. The straight camera path will hence intersect $\pi_{l, sym}$ in one single frame (or in all/no frames). See Fig. 4 for a 2D illustration.

Obv. 1 means that once the reflection has passed over a particular window position $(u, v)$, it cannot be seen there again from a later camera position and this allows to formulate the reflection tracking as a binary segmentation problem: before and after the reflection.

The input video is first rectified to a $u$-$v$-$t$ video volume per window. Given that the camera positions and the facade plane are known, we can warp the images to fronto-parallel views (see Fig. 3). Stacking the fronto-parallel views of the extracted windows along the t direction leads to a video.
volume per window. \((u, v)\) are the pixel coordinates in the local window coordinate frame. According to Obs. 1 at each point on the window the line can only pass once and therefore the surface that separates "before" and "after" the line forms a height field (in temporal direction). The height for a particular \((u, v)\) position tells when the line has passed this position.

As the segmentation boundary should be at the position of the reflected line we expect a high gradient in the input video volume at this position. Furthermore the normal of the segmentation boundary should align with the gradient inside the volume.

There are many volumetric segmentation methods published that can handle this situation. We chose to use the method described in Zach et al. [27]. The segmentation is described as a convex optimization problem in the continuum. It is particularly applicable for this problem as it allows to directly align the normal of the segmentation boundary with the gradient orientation inside the volume. This is in contrast to graph based methods where only the weights of the graph’s edges can be adapted. Additionally, the height map constraint can be directly enforced.

To bootstrap the optimization we learn the appearance of the reflected line as follows. Even though the distortions can be quite strong and the reflected line might even disconnect, there are in general always a few frames where the line is strong enough to be segmented. Therefore we treat each frame individually in a first step. A cut through the window along pixels with strong gradient is optimized, which gives us candidate line reflections. For each candidate two boosted decision tree classifiers [10] are trained. The first one outputs the likelihoods that a patch is centered on the line and the second one outputs whether a patch is likely to lie right before or right after the reflected line (c.f. Fig. 12). The classification is done pixel-wise. For each pixel \(q\) we use as feature vector the color values of all the pixels in a window centered around \(q\). Finally we apply [27] by weighting the gradient lengths in spatial (respectively temporal) direction by line (respectively before/after) classifier based values.

At this point we want to make a few remarks:

- For difficult cases hard constraints can be added by annotating some before and after areas in a few of the input frames.
- Using a vehicle-mounted omni-directional camera it would also be possible to train the appearance of the reflection from a direct image of the 3D line.
- Filtering out candidates resulting in impossible segmentations or normal maps and keeping only the consensus validated normal map leads to a fully automatic system to track the reflected lines.
- Overall, using volumetric segmentation instead of local line tracking, we can naturally handle complex topology changes of the reflected line as depicted in Fig. 5.

3.3. Estimating the 3D line position in the world

For the subsequent normal estimation steps we need to know the position of the 3D line in the world. The parameters for this line are obtained in a two step process, first by an approximate solution improved afterwards using nonlinear refinement.

Initial 3D Line estimate In practice, it is often quite easy to obtain a sufficiently good initial guess of the 3D line parameters e.g. for a vertical line at the opposite side of the street, just by comparing the camera distance to the facade and the 3D line distance to the facade. However, the initial 3D line parameters can also be obtained by an image-based method: When having a closer look at Fig. 1 it can be seen that the reflection at the boundaries between adjacent windows behaves consistently. This is a general observation that is also true for the overwhelming majority of facades that we saw:

Observation 2. All curved line intersections with their frame boundaries lie on a straight line and this is approximately the perfect mirror reflection of the 3D line on the facade.

An explanation to this observation would be that the windows have the canonic normal close to the boundary and we will use this for the initial estimate of the 3D line that is now derived:

First, we observe that given the camera pose \(P\) and the normal \(n\), a particular point \(X_i\) on the 3D line in space is projected to image position \(c \approx PM_nX_i\). Herein, \(M_n\) is the \(4 \times 4\) matrix that encodes the reflection on the plane with normal \(n\). Now given two endpoints of the curve (e.g. top and bottom for a vertical line) in the image and given the expected canonic normal \(\hat{n}\) close to the boundary (\(\hat{n} = (0, 0, 1)^\top\), due to observation 2) we can construct a 2D line \(l\) through these endpoints and backproject the line into 3D space, resulting in a plane \(\pi_i\) for the \(i\)th frame of the video:

\[
\pi_i = M_n^\top P_i^\top l_i
\]

We bring all planes to normal form and stack the \(m\) 4-vectors to construct a matrix \(\Pi\). All points \(X_i\) on the 3D line must be on all these planes:

\[
\Pi X_i = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \Pi = (\pi_1 \pi_2 \ldots \pi_m)^\top
\]

The 3D line is then determined as the 2D null space of the above matrix \(\Pi\) (through singular value decomposition).

3D Line Optimization Once an initial 3D line estimate is available and given the camera poses and the canonic normals at the boundaries, we can project the initial 3D line into image space and minimize the difference between projected and measured curve for each frame using the Levenberg-Marquardt method. This allows also to constrain the line to being vertical or horizontal. Later, once the whole normal field is recovered, one can go back to this stage and even reoptimize the line using all normals and all observations.
3.4. Estimating the Normal Field

At this point we know the camera poses for each image, the 3D line position and the reflection of the 3D line in each of the images. To start reconstructing the normals, we assume that the window is geometrically planar (i.e., all window points are in the $z = 0$ plane) but that there are small variations which we capture in the local normals.

We will now first look locally at a single point in the image, where we see some points of the 3D line being reflected. Tracing back the ray from the camera onto the reflective surface, it must be reflected in a way such that it meets the 3D line in 3D space. However, we do not know which point on the 3D line we are observing. Each of these points on the 3D line would give rise to a different local normal, since the normal is the bisection of the incoming and outgoing ray of the reflective surface. We will first derive the set of normals compatible with a local observation and show that we obtain a quadratic constraint on the normal. Given this local constraint, we will discuss how to disambiguating this by exploiting the fact that the normal components are actually the slopes of the surface’s height field and thus cannot vary freely.

Local quadratic constraint on normal The two degrees of freedom of the local normal are not fully determined by observing the line’s reflection at some local position. Rather, depending on which point of the 3D line we are seeing, this leads to a different geometric configuration.

Let us take the position of the reflective surface point $O$ in Fig. 6 (left). We wish to find the normal $n$ and for this consideration we assume that $O$ is the origin of the coordinate system. We also assume we observe this reflective surface in a camera with center $S$, and the (normalized) direction of the ray from $O$ to $S$ is $\hat{S}$. The light from a point $X$ on the line $l$ comes in from a (normalized) direction $\hat{X}$. It is easy to verify that the normal $n$ is aligned with the sum of the two unit vectors $\hat{X} + \hat{S}$ (as it is the bisector of the angle $\angle(\hat{X}, \hat{S})$). When $X$ takes different positions on the line, $\hat{X}$ describes the half-circle $C_0$ because of its unit length and $\hat{S}$ stays fixed, therefore $\hat{X} + \hat{S}$ is also bound to be on the half-circle $C$ ($C_0$ lifted by $\hat{S}$). Finally, $n$ is bound to be on the half-cone with vertex $O$ that contains $C$. Algebraically, we start from ($\simeq$ meaning proportional to):

$$n \simeq \hat{X} + \hat{S}$$

(3)

Let us name $l_0$ the closest point to $O$ on the line $l$, $\hat{l}_0 = l_0/\|l_0\|$, $l_{\text{dir}}$ the unit-length direction of the line $l$, and $\theta = \angle(\hat{l}_0, l_{\text{dir}})$ (notice that $l_0 \perp l_{\text{dir}}$). It derives:

$$\hat{X} + \hat{S} = \cos \theta \hat{l}_0 + \sin \theta l_{\text{dir}} + \hat{S}$$

(4)

Then, by using the half-angle substitution $t = \tan \frac{\theta}{2}$ (i.e. $\cos \theta = \frac{1 - t^2}{1 + t^2}$ and $\sin \theta = \frac{2t}{1 + t^2}$), we obtain:

$$\hat{X} + \hat{S} = \frac{1}{1 + t^2} \left( \hat{S} + l_0 + 2t l_{\text{dir}} + t^2 S - t^2 \hat{l}_0 \right)$$

(5)

$$n \simeq \left( \hat{S} + l_0 \right) \left[ l_{\text{dir}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}^T \right]$$

(6)

$$D$$

Here, the matrix $D$ constructed from the position of the camera center and the line relative to the surface point fully encodes the geometric setting. Since the explicitly parametrized conic $(1 \ t^2)^T$ has the implicit equation:

$$(1 \ t^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} (1 \ t^2) = 0,$$

(7)

we obtain:

$$n^T D^{-T} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} D^{-1} n = 0$$

(8)

which describes an (oblique) cone of normals (of which half is feasible due to the observation that $|t| < 1$).

Degenerate Cases The degenerate cases arise when $D$ does not have full rank. This happens when the point of reflection (the origin in this derivation), the line and the camera center are all in one plane (e.g. frontal to a facade and a vertical line right behind the camera).
We therefore removed the need of 3D to 2D correspondences of earlier methods by implicitly representing the set of 3D points possibly reflected at this pixel. The price to pay is to not directly have the normals but only a cone of possible normals. The ambiguity could be resolved by observing another line and intersecting the two (half-)cones to obtain the normal. Notice that this is different from using the 3D intersection of 2 lines (tracking a 3D point on a chessboard), since our 3D lines need not intersect each other (e.g. lamp post and facade line), and we also allow the other lines to be acquired independently (e.g. another day). This would allow for pointwise, independent normal estimates for the whole window, however due to the local nature of the measurements the estimates might be noisy. Instead, we propose to exploit constraints related to the physical properties of the material, i.e. that the normal field has to be smooth and integrable, therefore resolving the local ambiguity in a more global manner. As an additional benefit, this allows recovering normal maps with one single pass, e.g. by a vehicle driving down the road.

**Global formulation** In order to solve for the normal field, we design an energy using all the constraints we have on the normal map, and then minimize it with an iterative method.

\[
E(\vec{n}) = \int_{p \in \mathcal{W}} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{p \in \mathcal{D}} \left( \mathbf{n}_p \cdot \mathbf{C}_p \mathbf{n}_p \right)^2 + \mu \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_p}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_p}{\partial x} \right\|^2 + \gamma \left( \frac{\partial n_x^2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial n_y^2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial n_x^2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial n_y^2}{\partial x} \right) \right] dp \quad (9)
\]

Here, \( \vec{n} \) stands for the whole 2D vector field over the window, \( \mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) is the set of points of the window, \( \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{W} \) is the set of points where we observed a reflected line, \( \mathbb{1}_{p \in \mathcal{D}} = 1 \) if \( p \in \mathcal{D} \) else 0, \( \mathbf{n}_p = [n_x, n_y, 1]^\top \) is the normal at point \( p \) (assuming a near-flat window), \( C_p \) is the quadratic constraint of Eq. (8) at point \( p \).

This energy is made of 3 parts, in order of appearance:

1. the data term, which is the square of the signed algebraic distance of each normal to its corresponding allowed cone (note that the square of algebraic distance to a conic is 4th order and therefore in general not convex).
2. the integrability constraint, we use a soft enforcement as experiments with hard constraint were more prone to fall into a local minimum.
3. the smoothness constraint, enforcing neighbor normals to be close to each other or in other words the curvature of the height field to be low. This energy is also known as thin plate or bending energy of elastic materials in physical models. If \( h \) is the height map, it can be rewritten as \( \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y^2} = \text{div grad } h \).

Since the window is not infinitely large, we use Neumann boundary conditions, i.e. for the vertical boundaries we assume that the horizontal normal component stays the same and vice versa. In terms of window height field assumption that corresponds to linear extrapolation of height orthogonal to the boundaries. In our work, we used \( \mu = 100 \) and \( \gamma = 5 \).

The starting point of the optimization is chosen by assuming that each normal lies on the plane defined by the ray from its point to the camera center and the \( z \) direction (this is a naive per window point constraint, without any neighborhood or smoothness constraint). The advantages of the given formulation is its ability to handle missing data (\( \mathbb{1}_{p \notin \mathcal{D}} = 0 \)) as well as multiple reflected 3D lines (it just adds more constraints), while at the same time limiting the sensitivity to noise due to global optimization. Once we have this first estimate for the normal map, it is possible to refine the position of the 3D line(s) by back-reflecting each reflected line using the newly computed normal map and vice versa. However, for the demonstrations of this paper we did not make use of this possibility and present results obtained from the first convergence of the normal map.

### 4. Evaluation

In this section we first evaluate the sensitivity of the approach with respect to noisy poses. Afterwards we compute the accuracy using a known ground truth surface (rendered) and prove that a single pass is sufficient to capture both degrees of freedom of the normal. Finally we show qualitative real-world results.

**Expected accuracy on normal map** The pose has to be estimated with respect to the facade and in Fig. 6, we analyze the sensitivity with respect to noise in the pose. In particular in planar pose estimation for cameras with limited field of view there is an almost-ambiguity between rotation (e.g. panning right) and translation (moving right). Fig. 6 (right) shows that if the error in the camera rotation angle is \( \alpha \) then the normals are shifted by \( \frac{\alpha}{2} \), which means that the reachable angular accuracy is in the order of magnitude...
of $\delta \theta = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{\delta S}{d}$ where $\delta S$ stands for the inaccuracy in the absolute camera centers, and $d$ for the distance to the plane. Typical values for our sequences are $\delta S = 5\,\text{cm}$ and $d = 20\,\text{m}$, giving an angular accuracy of $\delta \theta = 0.08\,\text{deg}$. This also shows why we see bigger distortions when looking from further, and why the $z = 0$ assumption does not contradict the non orthogonal normal computation, since moving $O$ has the same effect than moving $S$ on angles.

**Rendered data with ground truth information** As a proof of concept and to analyze the accuracy of the method, a virtual surface model has been created that is essentially one period of a sine in one direction and one period of a cosine in the orthogonal direction plus some high frequency noise. The maximum difference from flat is $1.2\,\text{deg}$. Then, we used a raytracer to render a horizontal edge reflecting on this surface from a vertical camera path. The resulting movie has been processed using the complete pipeline including camera tracking, rectification and video cube segmentation, 3D line estimation and normal estimation. The geometric configuration has been chosen to mimic a real scene and camera motion (i.e. $1 \times 1.5m$ window, $2m$ long hand-held camera path, $8m$ in front of the facade, $3D$ line $20m$ away). We obtain a mean and median error between estimated and ground truth normal of $0.1\,\text{deg}$. Additionally, we re-render the same movie just changing ground truth normals with estimated ones and obtain a visually almost indistinguishable result (see Fig. 7 and even better in the supplementary video).

To validate that this accuracy obtained from one pass only is good enough, we also render a reflection of a different vertical line from a completely different viewpoint using both the normals estimated from the horizontal line and the ground truth surface: The result looks very similar (see Fig. 7). This proves that the whole surface can be reconstructed with a single pass.

**Real world surfaces** We made several qualitative experiments, first with a simple case like the CD case shown in Fig. 8, and then with more challenging ones: a poster frame in a livingroom in Fig. 9, and several real facades, for example the one shown in Fig. 10 or 11. Fig. 12 is an example that demonstrates results with fully automatic tracking of reflected line. For a better overview of our results, we refer the reader to the video attached that better shows the distortion induced by the camera motion. On the real facade example, we compared the rendering with our computed normal maps with state-of-the art bulge ramp and we show still frames for comparison.

## 5. Conclusion and future work

We have presented a practical method to capture normal maps for almost-flat, reflective surfaces such as windows or glass/metal facades. In particular we use no more than a hand-held or vehicle-mounted video camera and track the reflection of a straight line, which is typically easy to find in urban environments. To enable this to be carried out efficiently, we have also proposed a practical method for 6 DOF tracking of the camera in front of reflective facades. Clearly, the main application of our work is realistic modeling of glass windows, therefore we also proposed how to enable automatic city-scale reconstruction using a vehicle-mounted camera. In this way, statistical models of window normal distributions can be established. Our approach can also easily be used to capture other reflective surfaces such as...
already been some interesting work in this area, e.g. Figure 12. Left: cut out from three input frames containing two windows. Right, first row: Line classifier scores of both windows shown on left side at the respective frame (dark is high score). Second row: Before/after score (dark is after). Third row: Estimated normal maps. Note that no annotations were used.

As e.g., CD-boxes, picture frames, TV-sets and many other man-made objects found in our environment. It is in fact often surprising how irregular the reflection of many of these objects are and how applying generic normal maps reveals the synthetic nature.

Along the line of [23], we plan to investigate techniques to suppress or separate out fraction of light coming from transparency and multi-glazing.

We intend to explore the applicability of our technique to model distortions in transparent materials that can be observed e.g. in older glass with uneven thickness. There has already been some interesting work in this area, e.g. [13]. Another future direction is the possibility to extend our technique to non-flat reflective surfaces by making use of a proxy-geometry. This would enable to recover the detailed normal maps of cars or other reflective objects with carefully designed reflection patterns.
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1. Pose estimation

For highly reflective scenes we argue it is very difficult to use existing structure from motion software (e.g. bundler1 or [4]) that is based on patch-features like SIFT[2]. Virtually all features that are detected lie in the curved reflection of the opposite building and are not visually stable and do not fulfill the static scene assumption (because of the non-perfect reflection there is no consistent 3D point that would explain the positions where they are observed during a sequence). Only those features that lie on the grid can be used, but then their surrounding changes drastically when the reflection moves over (hurting feature descriptor similarity). Additionally, the descriptor is not very discriminative (because of the repetition). This is why we resorted for very reflective facades to rather track the facade grid directly as described in the paper.

2. Reflection tracking

In this section we give some additional background information on our line tracking formulation, which is not required for the understanding of the paper but might help for exact reproduction of our results. In 2.1 we give a brief summary of the segmentation method from [5], which we are using to track the line. 2.3 gives some details on how we apply the segmentation to track the reflected line.

2.1. Convex Formulation For Segmentation

In [5] a binary image segmentation framework which allows to align the segmentation boundary with the gradients in the input image is described. It states the segmentation task as the convex optimization problem

\[
\min \ E(s) = \int_{\Omega} \phi_x (\nabla s) + \lambda \rho d x \quad \text{s.t. } s \in [0, 1],
\]

where \( s \) is indicating the segmentation. The function \( \rho : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \) computes the data cost and the functions \( \phi_x \), with \( x \in \Omega \) is a convex positively 1-homogeneous function. A popular choice for the \( \phi \) is the \( L^2 \) norm \( \| \cdot \| \) (total variation) which penalizes the segmentation boundary’s surface area. A weighted version would allow to penalize the surface area depending on where it passes through, but there are much more complex choices possible. To ease the choice of a function which is positively one homogeneous the function can be rewritten to \( \phi_x (\nabla s) = \max_{p \in W_{\phi}} (-p^T \nabla s) \). Where \( W_{\phi} \) is the so-called Wulff shape, which is a convex shape that contains the origin. Using a suitable Wulff shape the segmentation boundary’s normal can be aligned with the gradient of the input image.

2.2. Classifier Scores

As explained in the main text we learn two classifiers which we use later on to define our smoothness term. The
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1http://phototour.cs.washington.edu/bundler
line classifier computes scores $\sigma_{\text{line}}^s$ at position $s$ and the before after classifier scores $\sigma_{\text{before-after}}^s$ respectively. The scores are normalized between $[0, 1]$ and computed for each pixel $s$ in the video cube. Small $\sigma_{\text{line}}^s$ indicate a high likelihood for having the line at pixel $s$ and $\sigma_{\text{before-after}}^s$ close to one means high likelihood for pixel $s$ to be directly before the line.

2.3. Convex Line Tracking

In order to use the segmentation for the line tracking we need to define an appropriate Wulff shape. In [5] a Wulff shape consisting of a half-circle attached to a circular segment for 2D image segmentation (see Fig. 2), is given. We will extend this Wulff shape to segment our 3D video volume using the height map constraint. The 2D shape is aligned with the image gradient in such a way that the circular segment is attached into the direction of the image gradient. The circular segment has a smaller height than the half sphere's radius, which makes directions aligned with the image gradient cheaper. The height is given by

$$ w_s = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \sigma_s} + \epsilon, \quad (2) $$

with $\sigma_s = 1 - \sigma_{\text{line}}^s$ and $\alpha$ and $\epsilon$ are parameters $> 0$.

To extend the shape to 3D we extrude it along the third direction. In order to enforce the height map constraint the shape is unbounded in one direction. In the temporal direction we use Eq. 2 with $\sigma_s = 1 - \sigma_{\text{before-after}}^s + \sigma_{\text{before-after}}^{s(0,0,1)}$ as height of the shape (see Fig. 2).

For the numerical optimization we use the first-order primal dual algorithm from [1].

In our experiments we experienced less grid artifacts by using the described convex segmentation compared to more widespread graph based segmentation algorithms. In Fig. 3 we show a comparison to a graph-cut segmentation with a 4-connected neighborhood.

3. Comparison and Ground Truth

Several options exist to quantitatively evaluate the approach, basically using a known object or a standard technique.

**Known objects** Since we focus on flat surfaces, the standard object to reconstruct is a perfect mirror and then to measure the deviation from flat. This evaluation approach makes sense for per-point normal computation, but since we globally optimize the normal map this limits the value of such evaluation. As globally optimizing imposes smoothness, we would get an arbitrarily good mirror by setting the smoothness weight high enough. Producing a curved object is also difficult since it has to be reflective in the end, i.e. after milling or 3D printing a “smoothing step” (e.g. melting or coating) is required which would make the surface shape uncertain again, as we would be aiming at normal accuracy of significantly better than $0.1^\circ$.

**Laser scanner** Commercial high precision laser scanners are able to scan high facades, but they claim accuracy of several mm (while we aim at much more subtle normal variations). Besides this, they just do not work for windows: virtually all energy is transmitted and/or reflected off (depending on laser wavelength and material). The models we obtained with such laser scanners never had windows captured.

**Standard vision techniques** Virtually all normal estimation techniques for reflective surfaces compute the normal at discrete points only. Additionally, there are some degenerate cases and unstable configurations (e.g. [3, fig.17a]) that make systematic comparison with these discrete methods even more difficult. Other techniques like shape from shading / photometric stereo would require the object to be coated/powdered, which would alter the surface. Additionally the absolute precision of the estimated parameters would again be unclear (depending on calibrations,...).

**Provided evaluation** All these aforementioned reasons let us believe that the best evaluation is to synthesize image material in a geometrically plausible configuration. We choose all the parameters to mimic a real scene and camera motion (i.e. 1x1.5m window, 2m-long hand-held camera path, 8m in front of the facade, 3D line 20m away). This generated movie is then used as input to our pipeline as if it was real image data, errors introduced by pose estimation, 3D line estimation, segmentation are automatically considered and we still have perfect ground truth. The rendering of a vertical line along the horizontal camera path.

![Figure 2. Utilized Wulff shape for the segmentation](image)

![Figure 3. Comparison of using the described segmentation method and a 4-connected graph cut. Using a graph cut grid artifacts in form of staircasing are visible in the resulting line.](image)
shows that although the input data were from the orthogonal direction (horizontal line and vertical camera path), the recovered normal map is highly faithful. This also enables the quantitative evaluation provided in the paper.

References