

FEM and sparse linear system solving Lecture 1, Sept 22, 2017: Introduction http://people.inf.ethz.ch/arbenz/FEM17

Peter Arbenz Computer Science Department, ETH Zürich E-mail: arbenz@inf.ethz.ch

Introduction: Survey on lecture

- 1. The finite element method
- 2. Direct solvers for sparse systems
- 3. Iterative solvers for sparse systems

Introduction: Extended survey on lecture

- The finite element method
 - Introduction, model problems.
 - ▶ 1D problems. Piecewise polynomials in 1D.
 - > 2D problems. Triangulations. Piecewise polynomials in 2D.
 - Variational formulations. Galerkin finite element method.
 - Implementation aspects.
- Direct solvers for sparse systems
 - LU and Cholesky decomposition
 - Sparse matrices, storage schemes.
 - Fill-reducing orderings.

Introduction: Extended survey on lecture (cont.)

- Iterative solvers for sparse systems
 - Stationary iterative methods, preconditioning.
 - Preconditioned conjugate gradient algorithm (PCG).
 - Krylov space methods for nonsymmetric systems Generalized Minimal RESidual (GMRES) algorithm.
 - Incomplete factorization preconditioning.
 - Multigrid preconditioning.
 - Indefinite problems (SYMMLQ, MINRES).
 - Nonsymmetric Lanczos iteration based methods Bi-CG, QMR, CGS, BiCGstab.

Literature



N. G. Larson, F. Bengzon: The Finite Element Method: Theory, Implementation, and Applications. Springer, 2013.

📎 H. Elman, D. Sylvester, A. Wathen. Finite elements and fast *iterative solvers (2nd ed.).* Oxford University Press, 2014.





T. Davis. Direct Methods for Sparse Linear Systems. SIAM, 2006.



📎 V. Dolean, P. Jolivet, F. Nataf. An Introduction to Domain Decomposition Methods. SIAM, 2015.

F. Hecht. FreeFem++ http://www.freefem.org/

-Introduction

Organization

- 13 lectures
- complementary exercises
 - to get hands-on experience
 - ▶ based on MATLAB and FreeFem++
 - ► MATLAB's finite element toolbox (≤ 2D)
 - FEM used to construct matrices
 - Direct / iterative methods uses to solve the resulting systems of equations
- Examination
 - 30' oral examination
 - in exam session

Strong formulation

- Poisson equation

The Poisson problem I

Model problem posed on a simply connected domain Ω :

$$-\Delta u(\mathbf{x}) := -\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2 u(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i^2} = f(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$$
$$u(\mathbf{x}) = g_D(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_D = \partial \Omega_D, \qquad (\mathsf{M})$$
$$\frac{\partial u(\mathbf{x})}{\partial n} = g_N(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_N = \partial \Omega_N.$$

Here, $\partial \Omega = \Gamma_D \cup \Gamma_N$. On $\Gamma_D \neq \emptyset$ *Dirichlet* boundary conditions are imposed. On Γ_N *Neumann* boundary conditions are imposed. *Mixed* or *Cauchy* or *Robin* boundary conditions are possible:

$$\alpha u + \beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g.$$

Strong formulation

Poisson equation

The Poisson problem II



If we assume that $\Gamma_D \neq \emptyset$ then (M) has a unique solution. REMARK: If $\Gamma_D = \emptyset$ then

$$-\int_{\partial\Omega}g_N\,d\mathbf{s}=-\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\,d\mathbf{s}=-\int_{\Omega}\Delta u\,d\mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega}f\,d\mathbf{x}.$$

is necessary for the existence of a solution.

Origins of the Poisson equation

- Steady state heat conduction (temperature distribution in homogeneous medium due to heat sources.
- Electrostatics: Electric potential due to charge distribution.
- Astronomy: Gravitational potential due to mass distribution.
- ► Fluid dynamics: Potential of irrotational (curl-free) flow.
- Deviation from equilibrium state of a membrane due to external forces.

Strong formulation

Origins of the Poisson equation

Origins of the Poisson equation (cont.)

- Previous problems assumed homogeneous medium.
- Non-homogeneity due to (e.g.) varying material properties can be taken into account and do not affect the ideas of the following analyses, except that they make things more complicated to write down.

$$-\operatorname{div} \left(\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}) \operatorname{grad} u(\mathbf{x}) \right) = f(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ positive definite,}$$
$$u(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_D,$$
$$\frac{\partial(\mathcal{K}u(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial n} = g_N(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_N.$$

Strong formulation

- Examples

Example 1

Solution for $\Omega = (-1, 1)^2$, right hand side f = 1, g = 0 where $\Gamma_D = \partial \Omega$.



The solution is continuous up to the boundary and has second derivatives in the interior. Analytic solution can be obtained by separation of variables.

Examples

Example 2 (same problem / different domain)

Solution for $\Omega = L$ -shaped membrane, right hand side f = 1, g = 0 where $\Gamma_D = \partial \Omega$.



The solution is continuous up to the boundary, but its first derivative is singular at the reentrant corner. There it has the form $u(r, \vartheta) = r^{2/3} \sin(2\vartheta + \pi)/3)$

Strong formulation

- Finite differences

Finite differences

The Poisson equation $-\Delta u = f$ (plus some boundary conditions) on a domain with axis-parallel boundary is often solved by finite differences on a rectangular grid.

We define a rectangular grid with grid points that are a distance h apart. In each grid point the Laplacian of u can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u(x_1, x_2) &= -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} u(x_1, x_2) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} u(x_1, x_2) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{h^2} \left(4u(x_1, x_2) - u(x_1 + h, x_2) - u(x_1 - h, x_2) \right. \\ &- u(x_1, x_2 + h) - u(x_1, x_2 - h) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \end{aligned}$$

-Strong formulation

Finite differences

16 imes 16 grid grid width h $\Omega = (0, 15h) imes (0, 15h)$

The discretization in every (interior) grid point is given by

 $4u_{\text{center}} - u_{\text{west}} - u_{\text{south}} - u_{\text{east}} - u_{\text{north}} = h^2 \cdot f_{\text{center}}$

Strong formulation

- Finite differences

Structure of a FD matrix



MATLAB spy of a matrix discretization of the Poisson equation $-\Delta u = f$ in $\Omega = (0, 1)^2$, u = 0 on $\partial \Omega$, with finite differences on a 12×12 grid.

Strong formulation

- Finite differences

Conclusion on Finite Differences

- Finite differences are difficult (or cumbersome) to implement if the shapes of the domains get complicated, i.e., if the boundary is not aligned with the coordinate axes.
- At this point finite elements come into play.

Strong formulation

Finite differences

Complicated domains



Structures that are difficult to discretize by finite differences.

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

- Polynomial spaces

The space of linear polynomials

 $\mathbb{P}_1(I)$ vector space of linear polynomials on interval $I = [x_0, x_1]$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_1(I) &= \left\{ v: \ v(x) = c_0 + c_1 x, \ x \in I, \ c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.\\ & \left\{ 1, x \right\}: \text{the monomial basis for } \mathbb{P}_1(I) \end{split}$$

Definition: $\{x_0, x_1\}$: nodal points/nodes $\{\varphi_0(x), \varphi_1(x)\}$: $\varphi_j(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$ nodal basis for $\mathbb{P}_1(I)$

$$\varphi_0(x) = \frac{x_1 - x}{x_1 - x_0}, \quad \varphi_1(x) = \frac{x - x_0}{x_1 - x_0}$$

Any function v in $\mathbb{P}_1(I)$ can be expressed as

$$v(x) = \alpha_0 \varphi_0(x) + \alpha_1 \varphi_1(x)$$

$$\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}$$
: node values $\alpha_0 = v(x_0)$; $\alpha_1 = v(x_1)$

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

- Piecewise polynomial spaces

The space of continuous piecewise linear polynomials

 $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$: n+1 nodes in interval *I*:

$$0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < x_n = L.$$

Subintervals: $I_i = [x_{i-1}, x_i]$, i = 1, ..., n. Length: $h_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$. The space of continuous piecewise linear functions V_h

$$V_h = \{ v : v(x) \in C^0(I), v|_{I_i} \in \mathbb{P}_1(I_i) \}.$$

 $C^{0}(I)$: the space of continuous functions on I $\mathbb{P}_{1}(I_{i})$: the space of linear functions on I_{i}



FEM & sparse linear system solving, Lecture 1, Sept 22, 2017

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

Piecewise polynomial spaces

Any function v in V_h can be written as a linear combination of $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=0}^n$: nodal bases (hat functions) $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^n$: nodal values of v.



Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

- Piecewise polynomial spaces

Piecewise polynomial approximation

We consider two types of approximations of functions:

- 1. Piecewise (linear) interpolation.
 - ► Here we assume that the function *f* to be approximated (interpolated) is continuous.
 - What can happen if we try tro interpolate a function that is not continuous? (See Exercise 1.)
- 2. Piecewise (linear) best approximation in the least squares or L₂-sense.
 - Here we assume that the function f to be approximated is in $L_2(I)$, i.e.

$$\|v\|_{L^2(I)} \equiv \left(\int_I v^2 dx\right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

- Interpolation

Piecewise polynomial approximation technique I

1 Interpolation: Given a continuous function f on I = [0, L], its continuous piecewise linear interpolant πf is defined by

$$\pi f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f(x_i) \varphi_i(x)$$



The interpolant πf approximates f exactly at the nodes x_i .

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

- Interpolation

Interpolation error $f - \pi f$

There are many norms to measure the difference $f - \pi f$ in

1. the infinity norm

$$\|v\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in I} |v(x)|$$

2. the $L^{2}(I)$ norm: for any square integrable function v on I

$$\|v\|_{L^2(I)} = \left(\int_I v^2 dx\right)^{1/2}$$

Note: $L^2(I)$ norm or any norm obeys the triangle inequality:

$$\|v+w\|_{L^2(I)} \le \|v\|_{L^2(I)} + \|w\|_{L^2(I)}$$

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

Interpolation

Interpolation error $e = f - \pi f$ in $L^2(I)$ -norm

Theorem 1: Linear interpolation in interval $I = [x_0, x_1]$ of length h.

$$\|f - \pi f\|_{L^{2}(I)} \leq C h^{2} \|f''\|_{L^{2}(I)}$$
$$\|(f - \pi f)'\|_{L^{2}(I)} \leq C h \|f''\|_{L^{2}(I)}$$

Proof: Fundamental thm of calculus $\rightarrow e(y) = e(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{y} e' dx$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$e(y) = \int_{x_0}^{y} e' dx \le \int_{x_0}^{y} |e'| dx \le \left(\int_{I} 1 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{I} e'^{2} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = h^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{I} e'^{2} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\|e\|_{L^{2}(I)} \le h\|e'\|_{L^{2}(I)}, \text{ also } \|e'\|_{L^{2}(I)} \le h\|e''\|_{L^{2}(I)} \Rightarrow \|e\|_{L^{2}(I)} \le h^{2} \|e''\|_{L^{2}(I)}$$

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

Interpolation

Interpolation error $e = f - \pi f$ in $L^2(I)$ -norm (cont.)

Theorem 2: Piecewise linear interpolation in interval *I*.

$$\|f - \pi f\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{4} \|f''\|_{L^{2}(I_{i})}^{2}$$

 $\|(f - \pi f)'\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{2} \|f''\|_{L^{2}(I_{i})}^{2}$

Proof: Note that

$$\|f - \pi f\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|f - \pi f\|_{L^{2}(I_{i})}^{2}.$$

Then use triangle inequality and Theorem 1.

FEM and sparse linear system solving └─ Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D └─ L² -projection

Piecewise polynomial approximation technique II

2 L^2 -projection: The L^2 -projection $P_h f$ of f onto the space V_h is defined by

$$\int_{I} (f - P_h f) v_h \, dx = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in V_h \tag{(*)}$$



The L^2 -projection $P_h f$ approximates f on average. $P_h f$ commonly over- and undershoots local maxima and minima of f, respectively.

FEM and sparse linear system solving └─ Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D └─ L² -projection

A priori error estimate

Theorem 3: The L_2 -projection $P_h f$ satisfies the best approximation property:

$$\|f - P_h f\|_{L^2(I)} \le \|f - v_h\|_{L^2(I)}, \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$$

Proof: Write $||f - P_h f||_{L^2(I)}^2 = \int_I (f - P_h f)(f - v_h + v_h - P_h f) dx$, split equation, and use Schwarz inequality.

Consequences:

$$\|f - P_h f\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \le \|f - \pi f\|_{L^2(I)}^2$$
$$\|f - P_h f\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \le C \sum_{i=1}^n h_i^4 \|f''\|_{L^2(I_i)}^2$$

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

Compute the L^2 -projection

Compute the L²-projection From (*) $\int_{I} (f - P_{h}f)\varphi_{i} dx = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., n,$

where φ_i are the hat functions. Since $P_h f$ belongs to V_h ,

$$P_h f = \sum_{j=0}^n \xi_j \varphi_j,$$

where ξ_j are the unknown coefficients to be determined.

$$\int_{I} f\varphi_{i} dx = \int_{I} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} \xi_{j} \varphi_{j} \right) \varphi_{i} dx = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \xi_{j} \int_{I} \varphi_{j} \varphi_{i} dx, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

Compute the L^2 -projection

Matrix form

Mass matrix:
$$m_{ij} = \int_{I} \varphi_{j} \varphi_{i} dx$$
, $i, j = 0, 1, ..., n$.
Load vector: $b_{i} = \int_{I} f \varphi_{i} dx$, $i = 0, 1, ..., n$.

 $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ linear system for the n+1 unknown coefficients ξ_j

$$b_i=\sum_{j=0}^n m_{ij}\xi_j, \quad i=0,1,\ldots,n.$$

Solve the linear system of equations $M\xi = b$. Properties of M?

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

- Quadrature

Quadrature rules

To compute the L_2 -projection, compute integrals approximately

$$J=\int_{I}f(x)dx$$

- Step 1: Interpolate the integrand f by a polynomial
 - Midpoint rule: interpolation by polynomial of degree 0

$$J \approx f(m)h$$
 where $m = (x_0 + x_1)/2$

▶ Trapezoidal rule: interpolation by polynomial of degree 1

$$J \approx rac{f(x_0) + f(x_1)}{2}h ext{ where } m = (x_0 + x_1)/2.$$

Simpson's formula: interpolation by polynomial of degree 2

$$J \approx \frac{f(x_0) + 4f(m) + f(x_1)}{6}h \text{ where } m = (x_0 + x_1)/2, \ h = x_1 - x_0.$$

Step 2: Integrate the interpolant.

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

- Computer implementation: assembly of the mass matrix

Let's use Simpson's formula to integrate $m_{ij} = \int_I \varphi_j \varphi_i dx$

$$\varphi_i = \begin{cases} (x - x_{i-1})/h_i, & \text{if } x \in I_i \\ (x_{i+1} - x)/h_{i+1}, & \text{if } x \in I_{i+1} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Entries of M: $m_{ij} = 0$ for for |i - j| > 1.

$$m_{ii} = \int_{I} \varphi_{i}^{2} dx = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} \varphi_{i}^{2} dx + \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} \varphi_{i}^{2} dx$$

$$=\frac{0+4(\frac{1}{2})^2+1}{6}h_i+\frac{1+4(\frac{1}{2})^2+0}{6}h_{i+1}=\frac{h_i}{3}+\frac{h_{i+1}}{3},\ i=1,2,\cdots,n-1$$

First and last diagonal entries of **M** are $:\frac{h_1}{3}, \frac{h_n}{3}$ respectively

$$m_{ii+1} = \int_{I} \varphi_{i+1} \varphi_i dx = \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \varphi_{i+1} \varphi_i dx = \frac{h_{i+1}}{6}$$

Superdiagonal entries are same as subdiagonal entries. FEM & sparse linear system solving, Lecture 1, Sept 22, 2017

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

- Computer implementation: assembly of the mass matrix



Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

Computer implementation: assembly of the mass matrix

Here, $I_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2}$ is a Boolean (0-1) matrix. It maps the local to the global degrees of freedom:

$$(\mathbf{I}_i)_{k\ell} = \begin{cases} 1, & k \text{ is the global number of the local node } \ell \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

Computer Implementation: Assembly of the Load Vector

Using the Trapezoidal rule:

$$b_{i} = \int_{I} f\varphi_{i} dx = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} f\varphi_{i} dx = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} f\varphi_{i} dx + \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} f\varphi_{i} dx$$

$$\approx (f(x_{i-1})\varphi_{i}(x_{i-1}) + f(x_{i})\varphi_{i}(x_{i}))h_{i}/2 + (f(x_{i})\varphi_{i}(x_{i}) + f(x_{i+1})\varphi_{i}(x_{i+1}))h_{i+1}/2$$

$$= f(x_{i})(h_{i} + h_{i+1})/2$$

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} f(x_0)h_1/2 \\ f(x_1)(h_1 + h_2)/2 \\ f(x_2)(h_2 + h_3)/2 \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{n-1})(h_{n-1} + h_n)/2 \\ f(x_n)(h_n)/2 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{I}_i \frac{h_i}{2} \begin{bmatrix} f(x_{i-1}) \\ f(x_i) \end{bmatrix}$$

Piecewise polynomial approximation in 1D

-Basic algorithm to compute L²-projection

Compute the L^2 -projection: summary

- 1. Create mesh with *n* elements (subintervals) on interval *I* and define corresponding space of continuous piecewise linear functions V_h .
- 2. Compute the matrix \mathbf{M} and the vector \mathbf{b} :

$$m_{ij} = \int_I \varphi_j \varphi_i dx$$
 and $b_i = \int_I f \varphi_i dx$.

3. Solve the linear system

$$\mathbf{M}\,\xi=\mathbf{b}$$

4. Set

$$P_h f = \sum_{j=0}^n \xi_j \varphi_j$$

which is the best approximation of the function f.

- The finite element method in 1D
 - └─ The finite element method for a model problem

The finite element method in $1\mathsf{D}$

- The finite element method for a model problem
- Variational formulation
- Finite element approximation
- Derivation of a linear system of equations
- Basic algorithm to compute the finite element solution

- The finite element method in 1D
 - └─ The finite element method for a model problem

The finite element method for a model problem

Example: A two-point boundary value problem: Find *u* that satisfies

$$-u''(x) = f(x), \quad x \in I = [0, L]$$

Boundary conditions at interval endpoints: u(0) = u(L) = 0.

Question: How do we find u ?

- If $f = 1 \Rightarrow u = x(L x)/2$ (analytic solution).
- For general f: difficult or even impossible to find the analytic solution u.

The finite element method in 1D

└─ Variational formulation

Variational formulation

To derive the finite element method, we first rewrite the differential equation as a variational equation. Multiplying f = -u'' by a test function v and integrating by parts we get

$$\int_0^L fv \, dx = -\int_0^L u'' v dx = \int_0^L u' v' dx - u'(L)v(L) + u'(0)v(0).$$

Requirement: v and v' square integrable on I. Space of squareintegrable functions is denoted by $L^2(I) = \{u : I \to \mathbb{R} | \int_I |u(x)|^2 < \infty\}$.

$$V_0 = \left\{ v : ||v||_{L^2(I)} < \infty, ||v'||_{L^2(I)} < \infty, v(0) = v(L) = 0
ight\}.$$

Variational formulation: Find $u \in V_0$ such that

$$\int_{I} u'v'\,dx = \int_{I} fv\,dx, \,\,\forall v \in V_0.$$

- The finite element method in 1D

└─ Finite element approximation

Finite element approximation

Approximate u by a continuous piecewise linear function.

- Mesh on the interval I consisting of n subintervals
- Corresponding space V_h of all continuous piecewise linears.
 V_{h,0}: subspace of those functions in V_h that satisfy the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$V_{h,0} = \{ v \in V_h : v(0) = v(L) = 0 \}.$$

Find $u_h \in V_{h,0}$ such that

$$\int_I u'_h v' \, dx = \int_I f v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in V_{h,0}.$$

The finite element method in 1D

Derivation of a linear system of equations

Derivation of a linear system of equations

 φ_i , $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, are the hat functions spanning $V_{h,0}$

$$\int_{I} u'_{h} \varphi'_{i} dx = \int_{I} f \varphi_{i} dx, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1.$$

Since u_h belongs to $V_{h,0}$,

$$u_h = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \xi_j \varphi_j.$$

Unknown coefficients: ξ_j , $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$

$$\int_{I} f\varphi_{i} dx = \int_{I} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \xi_{j} \varphi_{j}' \Big) \varphi_{i}' dx = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \xi_{j} \int_{I} \varphi_{j}' \varphi_{i}' dx, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

- The finite element method in 1D
 - Derivation of a linear system of equations

Matrix form

$$b_i = \int_I f \varphi_i; \quad a_{ij} = \int_I \varphi'_j \varphi'_i dx, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

(n-1) imes (n-1) linear system for the n-1 unknown coefficients ξ_j

$$b_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} \xi_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

$$\mathbf{A} \xi = \mathbf{b}$$

 $A: (n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix \rightarrow stiffness matrix $b: (n-1) \times 1 \rightarrow load$ vector

The finite element method in 1D

-Basic Algorithm to Compute the Finite Element Solution

Basic finite element algorithm

- 1. Create a mesh with n elements on the interval I and define the corresponding space of continuous pcw linear functions $V_{h,0}$.
- 2. Compute matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$ and vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)}$:

$$b_i = \int_I f \varphi_i, \quad A_{ij} = \int_I \varphi'_j \varphi'_i dx, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

3. Solve linear system

$$\mathbf{A}\xi = \mathbf{b}$$

4. Set

$$u_h = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \xi_j \varphi_j$$

The finite element method in 1D

Error Estimate: $e = u - u_h$

A priori error estimate: $e = u - u_h$

Theorem 4 (Galerkin orthogonality): The finite element approximation u_h , satisfies the orthogonality

$$\int_I (u'-u'_h) v'_h dx = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in V_{h,0}$$

Theorem 5 (Best approximation property) The finite element solution u_h satisfies

$$\|u'-u'_h\|_{L^2(I)} \leq \|u'-v'_h\|_{L^2(I)} \quad \forall v_h \in V_{h,0}.$$

Proof: Write $||u' - u'_h||^2_{L^2(I)} = \int_I (u' - u'_h)(u' - v'_h + v'_h - u'_h) dx$, split equation, and use Schwarz inequality.

The finite element method in 1D

Error Estimate: $e = u - u_h$

A priori error estimate: $e = u - u_h$ (cont.)

Theorem 6 (A priori error estimate) The finite element solution u_h satisfies the estimate

$$\|(u-u_h)'\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n h_i^2 \|u''\|_{L^2(I_i)}^2 \quad \forall v \in V_{h,0}$$

Proof: Use best approximation property with $v = \pi u$ and Theorem 2.

Consequences: With $h = \max_i h_i$ we get

$$||u'-u'_h||_{L^2(I)} \leq C h ||u''||_{L^2(I)}.$$

The finite element method in 1D

A model problem with variable coefficients

A model problem with variable coefficients

Consider the model problem, find u such that

$$\begin{aligned} -(au')' &= f, \ x \in I = [0, L], \\ au'(0) &= \kappa_0(u(0) - g_0), \\ -au'(L) &= \kappa_L(u(L) - g_L). \end{aligned}$$

a(x) > 0, $\kappa_0 \ge 0$, $\kappa_L \ge 0$, g_0 and g_L are given. Step 1: Start by rewriting the differential equation as a variational equation.

$$\int_0^L f v dx = \int_0^L -(au')' v dx$$

Use integration by parts and substitute boundary conditions,

$$\int_{I} au'v'dx + \kappa_L u(L)v(L) + \kappa_0 u(0)v(0) = \int_{I} fvdx + \kappa_L g_L v(L) + \kappa_0 g_0 v(0)$$

The finite element method in 1D

A model problem with variable coefficients

Step 2: To obtain the finite element approximation $u_h \in V_h$ replace the continuous space V with the discrete space of continuous piecewise linears V_h in the variational formulation. Find $u_h \in V_h$ such that

$$\int_{I} a u'_{h} v' dx + \kappa_{L} u_{h}(L) v(L) + \kappa_{0} u_{h}(0) v(0) = \int_{I} f v dx + \kappa_{L} g_{L} v(L) + \kappa_{0} g_{0} v(0)$$

for all $v \in V_h$.

Step 3: In order to compute the finite element approximation u_h , write it as the linear combination of $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=0}^n$, hat functions are basis of V_h . φ_0 and φ_n : half hats at end points x = 0 and x = L.

$$u_h = \sum_{j=0}^n \xi_j \varphi_j$$

and derive the linear system of equations.

- The finite element method in 1D

Computer implementation: stiffness matrix A+R and load vector b + r

Step 4: Insert

$$u_h = \sum_{j=0}^n \xi_j \varphi_j$$

into

$$\int_{I} a u'_{h} v' dx + \kappa_{L} u_{h}(L) v(L) + \kappa_{0} u_{h}(0) v(0) = \int_{I} f v dx + \kappa_{L} g_{L} v(L) + \kappa_{0} g_{0} v(0)$$

and choose $v = \varphi_i$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$,

$$\varphi_i = \begin{cases} (x - x_{i-1})/h_i, & \text{if } x \in I_i \\ (x_{i+1} - x)/h_{i+1}, & \text{if } x \in I_{i+1} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and you will get ...

- The finite element method in 1D

Computer implementation: stiffness matrix A+R and load vector b + r

Assembly of the Stiffness Matrix and Load Vector

 $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{R})\xi = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{r}.$

Entries of $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrices **A**, **R** and of (n + 1) vectors **b** and **r** are:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \int_{I} a\varphi'_{j}\varphi'_{i}dx \\ r_{ij} &= \kappa_{L}\varphi_{j}(L)\varphi_{i}(L) + \kappa_{0}\varphi_{j}(0)\varphi_{i}(0) \\ b_{i} &= \int_{I} f\varphi_{i}dx \\ r_{i} &= \kappa_{L}g_{L}\varphi_{i}(L) + \kappa_{0}g_{0}\varphi_{i}(0) \end{aligned}$$

The finite element method in 1D

Computer implementation: entries of stiffness matrix A + R and load vector b + r

Entries of **A**: for
$$|i - j| > 1$$
: $a_{ij} = 0$.

$$a_{i,i} = \int_{I} a\varphi_{i}^{\prime 2} dx = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} a\varphi_{i}^{\prime 2} dx + \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} a\varphi_{i}^{\prime 2} dx = \frac{a_{i}}{h_{i}} + \frac{a_{i+1}}{h_{i+1}}$$

First and last diagonal entries of **A** are : $\frac{a_1}{h_1}$, $\frac{a_n}{h_n}$ respectively

$$a_{i,i+1} = \int_{I} a\varphi'_{i+1}\varphi'_{i}dx = \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} a\varphi'_{i+1}\varphi'_{i}dxs = -\frac{a_{i+1}}{h_{i+1}}$$

Superdiagonal entries are same as subdiagonal entries: $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T$. Entries of **R**: $r_{ij} = \kappa_L \varphi_j(L) \varphi_i(L) + \kappa_0 \varphi_j(0) \varphi_i(0)$ are all zero except i=j=0 or i=j=n. Entries of $\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{r}$: done exactly as shown in the L^2 projection, additional terms $r_1 = \kappa_0 g_0 \varphi_i(0)$ and $r_n = \kappa_L g_L \varphi_i(L)$.

The finite element method in 1D

 \Box Computer implementation: entries of stiffness matrix A + R and load vector b + r

$$\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_1}{h_1} & -\frac{a_1}{h_1} \\ -\frac{a_1}{h_1} & \frac{a_1}{h_1} + \frac{a_2}{h_2} & -\frac{a_2}{h_2} \\ & -\frac{a_2}{h_2} & \frac{a_2}{h_2} + \frac{a_3}{h_3} & -\frac{a_3}{h_3} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & -\frac{a_{n-1}}{h_{n-1}} & \frac{a_{n-1}}{h_n} + \frac{a_n}{h_n} & -\frac{a_n}{h_n} \\ & & -\frac{a_n}{h_n} & -\frac{a_n}{h_n} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_0 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & &$$

- The finite element method in 1D

-Computer implementation: entries of stiffness matrix A + R and load vector b + r

The global stiffness matrix $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{R}$ can be written as

Exercise

http: //people.inf.ethz.ch/arbenz/FEM17/exercises/ex1.pdf