Efficient Solution of Equation Systems

- This lecture deals with the efficient mixed symbolic/numeric solution of algebraically coupled equation systems.
- Equation systems that describe physical phenomena are almost invariably (exception: very small equation systems of dimension 2×2 or 3×3) *sparsely populated*.
- This fact can be exploited.
- Two symbolic solution techniques: the *tearing of equation systems* and the *relaxation of equation systems*, shall be presented. The aim of both techniques is to "squeeze the zeros out of the structure incidence matrix."





Table of Contents

- <u>Tearing algorithm</u>
- <u>Relaxation algorithm</u>

October 4, 2012





The Tearing of Equation Systems I

- The tearing method had been demonstrated various times before. The method is explained here once more in a somewhat more formal fashion, in order to compare it to the alternate approach of the relaxation method.
- As mentioned earlier, the systematic determination of the minimal number of tearing variables is a problem of exponential complexity. Therefore, a set of heuristics have been designed that are capable of determining good sub-optimal solutions.



Tearing of Equations: An Example I



October 4, 2012

© Prof. Dr. François E. Cellier



Tearing of Equations: An Example II

1: u = f(t)2: $u - u_1 - u_2 = 0$ 3: $u_1 - L_1 \cdot di_1 = 0$ 4: $u_2 - L_2 \cdot di_2/dt = 0$ 5: $i - i_1 = 0$ 6: $i_1 - i_2 = 0$ 7: $di_1 - di_2/dt = 0$

1:
$$u = f(t)$$

2: $u - u_1 - u_2 = 0$
3: $u_1 - L_1 \cdot di_1 = 0$
4: $u_2 - L_2 \cdot di_2/dt = 0$
5: $i - i_1 = 0$
6: $i_1 - i_2 = 0$
7: $di_1 - di_2/dt = 0$

Algebraically coupled equation system in four unknowns

Choice
1:
$$u - u_1 - u_2 = 0$$

2: $u_1 - L_1 \cdot di_1 = 0$
3: $u_2 - L_2 \cdot di_2/dt = 0$
4: $di_1 - di_2/dt = 0$

$$\begin{array}{c}
1: \ u - u_1 - u_2 = 0 \\
2: \ u_1 - L_1 \cdot di_1 = 0 \\
3: \ u_2 - L_2 \cdot di_2 / dt = 0 \\
4: \ di_1 - di_2 / dt = 0
\end{array} \implies \begin{array}{c}
1: \ u_1 \\
2: \ di_1 \\
3: \ u_2 \\
4: \ di_2
\end{array}$$

Start Dracentation

 $dt = di_1$

 $= \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_2$

 $= u_1 / L_1$

 $=L_2 \cdot di_2/dt$



© Prof. Dr. François E. Cellier





Tearing of Equations: An Example III



October 4, 2012



Tearing of Equations: An Example IV



⇒ Question: How complex can the symbolic expressions for the tearing variables become?

October 4, 2012

© Prof. Dr. François E. Cellier



The Tearing of Equation Systems II

• In the process of tearing an equation system, algebraic expressions for the tearing variables are being determined. This corresponds to the symbolic application of *Cramer's Rule*.

$$A \cdot x = b \implies x = A^{-1} \cdot b$$
$$A^{-1} = \frac{A^{\dagger}}{|A|} \quad ; \qquad (A^{\dagger})_{ij} = (-1)^{(i+j)} \cdot |A_{\neq j,i}|$$

October 4, 2012





 $\mathbf{2}$

-

Tearing of Equations: An Example V

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -L_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -L_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ di_2 / dt \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_1 = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} -L_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -L_2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \cdot u = \frac{L_1}{L_1 + L_2} \cdot u$$

October 4, 2012

© Prof. Dr. François E. Cellier



The Tearing of Equation Systems III

- *Cramer's Rule* is of polynomial complexity. However, the computational load grows with the fourth power of the size of the equation system.
- For this reason, the symbolic determination of an expression for the tearing variables is only meaningful for relatively small systems.
- In the case of bigger equation systems, the tearing method is still attractive, but the tearing variables must then be *numerically* determined.



The Relaxation of Equation Systems I

- The relaxation method is a symbolic version of a *Gauss elimination without pivoting*.
- The method is only applicable in the case of linear equation systems.
- All diagonal elements of the system matrix must be $\neq 0$.
- The number of non-vanishing matrix elements above the diagonal should be minimized.
- Unfortunately, the problem of minimizing the number of non-vanishing elements above the diagonal is again a problem of exponential complexity.
- Therefore, a set of heuristics must be found that allow to keep the number of non-vanishing matrix elements above the diagonal small, though not necessarily minimal.



Relaxing Equations: An Example I

1:
$$u - u_1 - u_2 = 0$$

2: $u_1 - L_1 \cdot di_1 = 0$
3: $u_2 - L_2 \cdot di_2/dt = 0$
4: $di_1 - di_2/dt = 0$

$$u_1 + u_2 = u$$

$$u_1 - L_1 \cdot di_1 = 0$$

$$di_2/dt - di_1 = 0$$

$$u_2 - L_2 \cdot di_2/dt = 0$$

The non-vanishing matrix
elementsabovethediagonalcorrespondconceptually to the tearing
variablesofthethetearing

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -L_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -L_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ di_1 \\ di_2/dt \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Relaxing Equations: An Example II

Gauss elimination technique:

$$A_{ij}^{(k+1)} = A_{ij}^{(k)} - A_{ik}^{(k)} A_{kk}^{(k)^{-1}} A_{kj}^{(k)}$$
$$b_i^{(k+1)} = b_i^{(k)} - A_{ik}^{(k)} A_{kk}^{(k)^{-1}} b_k^{(k)}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -L_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -L_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ di_1 \\ di_2/dt \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -L_1 & 0 & c_1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -L_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} di_1 \\ di_2/dt \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $c_1 = -1$ $c_2 = -u$

October 4, 2012





Relaxing Equations: An Example III

$$\begin{bmatrix} -L_1 & 0 & c_1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -L_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} di_1 \\ di_2/dt \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -I \\ -L_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & c_3 \\ -L_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} di_2/dt \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c_3 = c_1 / L_1$$

 $c_4 = c_2 / L_1$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & c_3 \\ -L_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} di_2/dt \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} c_5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c_5 = 1 - L_2 \cdot c_3$$

$$c_6 = -L_2 \cdot c_4$$

2

October 4, 2012

© Prof. Dr. François E. Cellier



Relaxing Equations: An Example IV

Gauss

October 4, 2012

1

0

*c*₅

Prof. Dr. François E. Cellier Ô





Relaxing Equations: An Example V

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -L_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -L_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ di_1 \\ di_2/dt \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} u_1 = u - u_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

⇒ By now, all required equations have been found. They only need to be assembled.

October 4, 2012





Relaxing Equations: An Example VI

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
1: \ u - u_{1} - u_{2} = 0 \\
2: \ u_{1} - L_{1} \cdot di_{1} = 0 \\
3: \ u_{2} - L_{2} \cdot di_{2}/dt = 0
\end{array} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} = -1 \\
c_{2} = -u \\
c_{3} = c_{1}/L_{1} \\
c_{4} = c_{2}/L_{1} \\
c_{5} = 1 - L_{2} \cdot c_{3} \\
c_{6} = -L_{2} \cdot c_{4} \\
u_{2} = c_{6}/c_{5} \\
di_{2}/dt = (c_{4} - c_{3} \cdot u_{2})/(-1) \\
di_{1} = (c_{2} - c_{1} \cdot u_{2})/(-L_{1}) \\
u_{1} = u - u_{2}
\end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
u = f(t) \\
c_{1} = -1 \\
c_{2} = -u \\
c_{3} = c_{1}/L_{1} \\
c_{3} = c_{1}/L_{1} \\
c_{5} = 1 - L_{2} \cdot c_{3} \\
c_{6} = -L_{2} \cdot c_{4} \\
u_{2} = c_{6}/c_{5} \\
di_{2}/dt = (c_{4} - c_{3} \cdot u_{2})/(-1) \\
di_{1} = (c_{2} - c_{1} \cdot u_{2})/(-L_{1}) \\
u_{1} = u - u_{2}
\end{array}$$

October 4, 2012



The Relaxation of Equation Systems II

- The relaxation method can be applied symbolically to systems of slightly larger size than the tearing method, because the computational load grows more slowly.
- For some classes of applications, the relaxation method generates very elegant solutions.
- However, the relaxation method can only be applied to linear systems, and in connection with the *numerical Newton iteration*, the tearing algorithm is usually preferred.



References

- Elmqvist H. and M. Otter (1994), "<u>Methods for tearing</u> <u>systems of equations in object-oriented modeling</u>," *Proc. European Simulation Multiconference*, Barcelona, Spain, pp. 326-332.
- Otter M., H. Elmqvist, and F.E. Cellier (1996), "Relaxing: A symbolic sparse matrix method exploiting the model structure in generating efficient simulation code," *Proc. Symp. Modelling, Analysis, and Simulation*, CESA'96, IMACS MultiConference on Computational Engineering in Systems Applications, Lille, France, vol.1, pp.1-12.

