#### Prof. Dr. François E. Cellier Department of Computer Science ETH Zurich

March 12, 2013

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ ○○○

Homework 3 - Problem

RK Order Increase by Blending

#### [H3.4] RK Order Increase by Blending

Given two separate  $n^{th}$ -order accurate RK algorithms in at least (n + 1) stages:

$$\begin{array}{lll} f_1(q) & = & 1+q+\frac{q^2}{2!}+\dots+\frac{q^n}{n!}+c_1\cdot q^{n+1} \\ f_2(q) & = & 1+q+\frac{q^2}{2!}+\dots+\frac{q^n}{n!}+c_2\cdot q^{n+1} \end{array}$$

where  $c_2 \neq c_1$ .

Show that it is always possible to use blending:

$$\mathsf{x}^{\mathsf{blended}} = \vartheta \cdot \mathsf{x}^1 + (1 - \vartheta) \cdot \mathsf{x}^2$$

where  $x^1$  is the solution found using method  $f_1(q)$  and  $x^2$  is the solution found using method  $f_2(q)$ , such that  $x^{\text{blended}}$  is of order (n + 1).

Find a formula for  $\vartheta$  that will make the blended algorithm accurate to the order (n + 1).

Homework 3 - Problem

Runge-Kutta Integration

### [H3.6] Runge-Kutta Integration

Given the following linear time-invariant continuous-time system:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1250 & -25113 & -60050 & -42647 & -23999 \\ 500 & -10068 & -24057 & -17092 & -9613 \\ 250 & -5060 & -12079 & -8586 & -4826 \\ -750 & 15101 & 36086 & 25637 & 14420 \\ 250 & -4963 & -11896 & -8438 & -4756 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{u}$$
$$\mathbf{y} = (-1 \ 26 \ 59 \ 43 \ 23) \cdot \mathbf{x}$$

with initial conditions:

$$\mathbf{x_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ -2\\ 3\\ -4\\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ● ○○

Homework 3 - Problem

Runge-Kutta Integration

### [H3.6] Runge-Kutta Integration II

Simulate the system across 10 seconds of simulated time with step input using the RK4 algorithm with the  $\alpha$ -vector and  $\beta$ -matrix:

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2\\ 1/2\\ 1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 1/6 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/6 \end{pmatrix}$$

The following fixed step sizes should be tried:

- 1. h = 0.32,
- 2. *h* = 0.032,
- 3. h = 0.0032.

Plot the three trajectories on top of each other. What can you conclude about the accuracy of the results?

Homework 3 - Problem

BI4/50.45 Integration

### [H3.12] BI4/ $5_{0.45}$ Integration for Linear Systems

Given the following linear time-invariant continuous-time system:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1250 & -25113 & -60050 & -42647 & -23999 \\ 500 & -10068 & -24057 & -17092 & -9613 \\ 250 & -5060 & -12079 & -8586 & -4826 \\ -750 & 15101 & 36086 & 25637 & 14420 \\ 250 & -4963 & -11896 & -8438 & -4756 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{u}$$
$$\mathbf{y} = (-1 \ 26 \ 59 \ 43 \ 23) \cdot \mathbf{x}$$

with initial conditions:

$$\mathbf{x_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ -2\\ 3\\ -4\\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

A D M 4 目 M 4 日 M 4 1 H 4

Homework 3 - Problem

BI4/50.45 Integration

# [H3.12] BI4/5 $_{0.45}$ Integration for Linear Systems II

Simulate the system across 10 seconds of simulated time with step input using  $BI4/5_{0.45}$ . The explicit semi-step uses the fourth-order approximation of RKF4/5. There is no need to compute the fifth-order corrector. The implicit semi-step uses the fifth-order corrector. There is no need to compute the fourth–order corrector. Since the system to be simulated is linear, the implicit semi-step can be implemented using matrix inversion. No step-size control is attempted.

A D M 4 目 M 4 日 M 4 1 H 4

The following fixed step sizes should be tried:

- 1. h = 0.32,
- 2. *h* = 0.032,
- 3. *h* = 0.0032.

Plot the three trajectories on top of each other.

Homework 3 - Problem

BI4/50.45 Integration

## [H3.14] BI4/ $5_{0.45}$ Integration for Non-linear Systems

Repeat Hw.[H3.12]. This time, we want to replace the matrix inversion by Newton iteration. Of course, since the problem is linear and time-invariant, Newton iteration and modified Newton iteration are identical. Iterate until  $\delta_{\rm rel} \leq 10^{-5}$ , where:

$$\delta_{\mathrm{rel}} = \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\frac{1}{2}}^{\mathrm{right}} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\frac{1}{2}}^{\mathrm{left}}\|_{\infty}}{\max(\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\frac{1}{2}}^{\mathrm{left}}\|_{2}, \|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\frac{1}{2}}^{\mathrm{right}}\|_{2}, \delta)}$$

A D M 4 目 M 4 日 M 4 1 H 4

Compare the results obtained with those found in Hw.[H3.12].