Prof. Dr. François E. Cellier Department of Computer Science ETH Zurich

February 26, 2013

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

Principles of Numerical Integration

State-space Models

Models of dynamic systems with concentrated parameters are commonly represented using sets of first-order ordinary differential equations (ODEs). We call these models *state-space models*.

$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t)$

where x is the *state vector*, u is the *input vector*, and t denotes the *time*, the independent variable across which we wish to simulate.

We also require *initial conditions* for the state variables:

 $\mathbf{x}(t=t_0)=\mathbf{x_0}$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ ∽��?

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

Principles of Numerical Integration

L The Approximation Accuracy

The Truncation Error

Evidently, it is impossible to consider all terms of the Taylor series expansion. All numerical integration methods only approximate a certain number of terms of the Taylor series. This number can be either fixed or variable.

We talk about the *approximation order* of the numerical method. An algorithm that approximates the terms of the Taylor series up to the third derivative:

$$x_i(t^* + h) = x_i(t^*) + f_i(t^*) \cdot h + \frac{df_i(t^*)}{dt} \cdot \frac{h^2}{2!} + \frac{d^2f_i(t^*)}{dt^2} \cdot \frac{h^3}{3!} + o(h^4)$$

is thus an algorithm of third-order.

The *truncation error* of the method grows proportionally with the fourth power of the *integration step size*, h.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

Introduction

Taylor Series Expansion

The model can be simulated using a *Taylor series expansion*. If we know the state vector at a certain instant of time, t^* , the state vector can be calculated at some later time instant, $t^* + h$ by means of a Taylor series expansion:

$$x_i(t^* + h) = x_i(t^*) + \frac{dx_i(t^*)}{dt} \cdot h + \frac{d^2x_i(t^*)}{dt^2} \cdot \frac{h^2}{2!} + \dots$$

The state-space model is used to compute the first derivative in the Taylor series:

$$x_i(t^*+h) = x_i(t^*) + f_i(t^*) \cdot h + rac{df_i(t^*)}{dt} \cdot rac{h^2}{2!} +$$

The different numerical integration methods differ in their numerical approximations of the derivatives of f.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─ のへで

Principles of Numerical Integration

The Approximation Accuracy

The Roundoff Error

There exists a second type of error that results from the finite mantissa of the computer. The effects of this type of error can easily be illustrated graphically:



Figure: Effects of the *roundoff error* in single precision



The Approximation Accuracy

The Roundoff Error II





・ロト・4日・4日・4日・ 日 うへぐ

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

L The Approximation Accuracy

The Roundoff Error III



Figure: Effects of the roundoff error in 1.5-fold precision

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

The Euler Integration Formulae

The Explicit Euler Integration

The most simple numerical ODE solver is based on the explicit so-called *"Forward Euler" (FE)* formula, a first-order integration method:





Figure: Numerical integration using the "FE" method

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − 釣べ⊙

Principles of Numerical Integration

L The Euler Integration Formulae

The Explicit Euler Integration II

When using *explicit integration methods*, the simulation doesn't require any *iteration* within an integration step, unless the model contains *algebraic loops*:

$\begin{array}{rcl} step 1a: & \dot{x}(t_0) & = & f(x(t_0), t_0) \\ step 1b: & x(t_0+h) & = & x(t_0) + & h \cdot \dot{x}(t_0) \end{array}$	$x(t^*+h)\approxx(t^*)+f(x(t^*+h),t^*+h)\cdot h$
step 2a: $\dot{x}(t_0 + h) = f(x(t_0 + h), t_0 + h)$ step 2b: $x(t_0 + 2h) = x(t_0 + h) + h \cdot \dot{x}(t_0 + h)$ step 3a: $\dot{x}(t_0 + 2h) = f(x(t_0 + 2h), t_0 + 2h)$ step 3b: $x(t_0 + 3h) = x(t_0 + 2h) + h \cdot \dot{x}(t_0 + 2h)$ etc.	$ \begin{array}{c} 15 \\ 10 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 3.5 \\ 4.0 \\ 4.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.5 $
	Figure: Numerical integration using the "BE" method
 < 国 > < 国 < 国	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II Principles of Numerical Integration The Numerical Stability Domain The Numerical Stability Domain	Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II LPrinciples of Numerical Integration The Numerical Stability Domain The Numerical Stability Domain II
A linear autonomous time-invariant system can be represented using the model: $\dot{x} = A \cdot x$; $x(t = t_0) = x_0$ with the analytical solution: $x(t) = \exp(A \cdot t) \cdot x_0$ The solution is analytically stable if: $\mathbb{R}e{\text{Eig}(A)} = \mathbb{R}e{\lambda} < 0.0$ $\lim_{unsable} \lim_{unsable} \lim_{\mathbb{R}e{\lambda}} \lambda $	When we use the <i>FE algorithm</i> : $x(t^* + h) = x(t^*) + f(x(t^*), t^*) \cdot h$ $\Rightarrow x(t^* + h) = x(t^*) + A \cdot h \cdot x(t^*)$ $\Rightarrow x(k+1) = [l^{(n)} + A \cdot h] \cdot x(k)$ Therefore: $x_{k+1} = \mathbf{F} \cdot x_k$ with: $\mathbf{F} = l^{(n)} + A \cdot h$ $\prod_{q \in \{\lambda \cdot h\}} \mathbb{R} \in \{\lambda \cdot h\}$
Figure: The region of <i>analytical stability</i>	Figure: The numerical stability domain of the FE algorithm
 < 四 > < 回 > < □ > < □	 < 日 > < 目 < つ < の < の

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

The Implicit Euler Integration

Another numerical integration method of first order is the "Backward Euler" (BE)

Principles of Numerical Integration

method:

Principles of Numerical Integration
The Numerical Stability Domain

Simulation With the FE Algorithm

When simulating the linear scalar system:

$$\dot{x} = a \cdot x$$
 ; $x(t = t_0) = x_0$

using the FE algorithm, we obtain:



Figure: Simulation of a linear scalar system using the FE algorithm

(ロ)、<回)、<E)、<E)、E)のQの</p>

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

The Numerical Stability Domain

The Numerical Stability Domain III

When we use the *BE algorithm*:

$$\mathbf{x}(t^* + h) = \mathbf{x}(t^*) + \mathbf{A} \cdot h \cdot \mathbf{x}(t^* + h)$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad [\mathbf{I}^{(n)} - \mathbf{A} \cdot h] \cdot \mathbf{x}(t^* + h) = \mathbf{x}(t^*)$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \mathbf{x}(k+1) = [\mathbf{I}^{(n)} - \mathbf{A} \cdot h]^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}(k)$$

 $\mathbf{F} = [\mathbf{I}^{(\mathbf{n})} - \mathbf{A} \cdot h]^{-1}$

Therefore:



Figure: The numerical stability domain of the BE algorithm

◆□ ▶ ◆■ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ● ■ ● のへぐ

Jumerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II	
 Principles of Numerical Integration 	
L The Numerical Stability Domain	

Computation of the Largest Numerically Stable Integration Step Size for FE

Given a linear system of second order with two complex eigenvalues, λ_1 and $\lambda_2:$



Figure: Largest numerically stable integration step size for FE

 $\Rightarrow \quad h_{max} = \frac{d}{|\lambda_1|}$

・ロト・日本・モート・モー うくぐ

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

Principles of Numerical Integration

Simulation With the BE Algorithm

When simulating the linear scalar system:

 $\dot{x} = a \cdot x$; $x(t = t_0) = x_0$

using the BE algorithm, we obtain:



Figure: Simulation of a linear scalar system using the BE algorithm

Principles of Numerical Integration

-Numerical Stability Domain Computation

Numerical Stability Domain Computation

How is the numerical stability domain computed?

We start out with a second-order system with a conjugate complex pair of eigenvalues anywhere on the unit circle. The system with the A-matrix:

 $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2\cos(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$

has a pair of conjugate complex eigenvalues located on the unit circle. α denotes the angle of one of the two eigenvalues counted in the mathematically positive (i.e., counterclockwise) sense away from the positive real axis.

In Matlab:

・ロト・日本・モン・モン・モークへの

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

Principles of Numerical Integration

-Numerical Stability Domain Computation

Numerical Stability Domain Computation III

We now compute the largest possible value of h, for which all eigenvalues of **F** are inside the unit circle:

```
function [hmax] = hh(alpha, algor, hlower, hupper)
   A = aa(alpha);
   maxerr = 1.0e-6;
   err = 100;
   while err > maxerr.
       h = (hlower + hupper)/2;
       F = ff(A, h, algor);
       lmax = max(abs(eig(F)));
       err = lmax - 1;
       if err > 0.
          hupper = h;
       else
          hlower = h;
       end,
       err = abs(err);
   end
   hmax = h:
return
```

The hh-function, as shown above, works only for algorithms with stability domains similar to that of the FE algorithm. The logic of the if-statement must be reversed for algorithms of the BE type.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

Principles of Numerical Integration

-Numerical Stability Domain Computation

Numerical Stability Domain Computation II

We now compute the **F**-matrix:

```
function [F] = ff(A, h, algor)
    Ah = A * h;
    [n, n] = size(Ah);
    I = eye(n);
    %
    % algor = 1 : Forward Euler
    %
     \  \  \, \text{if algor}\,==\,1,
       F = I + Ah;
    end
    %
    % algor = 2 : Backward Euler
    %
    if algor == 2.
       F = inv(I - Ah);
    end
return
```

- ▲日を▲聞を▲回を▲回を 回 ろんの

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

Principles of Numerical Integration

-Numerical Stability Domain Computation

Numerical Stability Domain Computation IV

Finally, we need to sweep over a selected range of α values, and plot h_{max} as a function of α in polar coordinates.

There certainly exist more efficient curve tracking algorithms than the one outlined above, but for the time being, this algorithm will suffice.

Principles of Numerical Integration

Newton Iteration

Fixed-point Iteration

When using implicit numerical integration algorithms, we need to iterate on the solution during each step.

One possible approach to iterating on the solution is to start with a *prediction* followed by many *corrections*.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{prediction:} & \dot{x}_{k} = f(x_{k}, t_{k}) \\ x_{k+1}^{P} = x_{k} + h \cdot \dot{x}_{k} \\ 1^{\text{st}} \text{ correction:} & \dot{x}_{k+1}^{P} = f(x_{k+1}^{P}, t_{k+1}) \\ x_{k+1}^{\text{C1}} = x_{k} + h \cdot \dot{x}_{k+1}^{P} \\ 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ correction:} & \dot{x}_{k+1}^{\text{C1}} = f(x_{k+1}^{\text{C1}}, t_{k+1}) \\ x_{k+1}^{\text{C2}} = x_{k} + h \cdot \dot{x}_{k+1}^{\text{C1}} \\ 3^{\text{rd}} \text{ correction:} & \dot{x}_{k+1}^{\text{C2}} = f(x_{k+1}^{\text{C2}}, t_{k+1}) \\ x_{k+1}^{\text{C3}} = x_{k} + h \cdot \dot{x}_{k+1}^{\text{C2}} \\ \end{array}$

etc.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

Newton Iteration

Fixed-point Iteration III





Figure: Numerical stability domain of *predictor-corrector FE-BE technique*

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

Newton Iteration

Fixed-point Iteration II

When we apply fixed-point iteration to the linear system, we obtain:

After an infinitely large number of iterations:

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{I}^{(\mathbf{n})} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{h} + (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{h})^2 + (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{h})^3 + \dots$$

Therefore:

$$(\mathbf{A} \cdot h) \cdot \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{A} \cdot h + (\mathbf{A} \cdot h)^2 + (\mathbf{A} \cdot h)^3 + (\mathbf{A} \cdot h)^4 + \dots$$

Subtracting one from the other:

 $[\mathbf{I}^{(n)} - \mathbf{A} \cdot h] \cdot \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{I}^{(n)}$

we obtain:

$$\mathbf{F} = [\mathbf{I}^{(\mathbf{n})} - \mathbf{A} \cdot h]^{-1}$$

Seemingly we obtain the same F matrix as in the case of the BE algorithm.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

Principles of Numerical Integration

Newton Iteration

Fixed-point Iteration IV

What Went Wrong?

The approach didn't work, because the infinite series:

 $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{I}^{(\mathbf{n})} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{h} + (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{h})^2 + (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{h})^3 + \dots$

only converges, if all of the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{h}$ lie inside the *unit circle*. If this is not the case, the subtraction is invalid.

Inside the unit circle, the numerical stability domain of the predictor-corrector method is identical to that of the BE algorithm, but outside the unit circle, the method is unstable everywhere.

For this reason, the *fixed-point iteration method* is useless.

This evidently didn't work very well.

Principles of Numerical Integration

-Newton Iteration

Newton Iteration

Newton iteration can be used to determine the zero-crossings of a function:



Figure: Newton iteration on a single zero-crossing function

$$\tan \ \alpha = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{\ell}}{\partial x} = \frac{\mathcal{F}^{\ell}}{x^{\ell} - x^{\ell+1}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x^{\ell+1} = x^{\ell} - \frac{\mathcal{F}^{\ell}}{\partial \mathcal{F}^{\ell} / \partial x}$$

・ロト・日本・モン・モン・モークへの

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II
Principles of Numerical Integration
Newton Iteration

Newton Iteration III

In the case of a state vector, we can write:

$$\mathsf{x}^{\ell+1} = \mathsf{x}^\ell - \left(\mathcal{H}^\ell
ight)^{-1}\cdot\mathcal{F}^\ell$$

where:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial \mathcal{F}_1 / \partial x_1 & \partial \mathcal{F}_1 / \partial x_2 & \dots & \partial \mathcal{F}_1 / \partial x_n \\ \partial \mathcal{F}_2 / \partial x_1 & \partial \mathcal{F}_2 / \partial x_2 & \dots & \partial \mathcal{F}_2 / \partial x_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial \mathcal{F}_n / \partial x_1 & \partial \mathcal{F}_n / \partial x_2 & \dots & \partial \mathcal{F}_n / \partial x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is the *Hessian matrix* of the Newton iteration.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II	
Principles of Numerical Integration	
at	

Newton Iteration II

The BE algorithm applied to a scalar differential equation can be formulated as follows:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + h \cdot f(x_{k+1}, t_{k+1})$$

Therefore:

$$\mathcal{F}(x_{k+1}) = x_k + h \cdot f(x_{k+1}, t_{k+1}) - x_{k+1} = 0.0$$

Now, Newton iteration can be applied:

$$x_{k+1}^{\ell+1} = x_{k+1}^{\ell} - \frac{x_k + h \cdot f(x_{k+1}^{\ell}, t_{k+1}) - x_{k+1}^{\ell}}{h \cdot \partial f(x_{k+1}^{\ell}, t_{k+1}) / \partial x - 1.0}$$

- イロト イヨト イヨト ・ ヨー つくぐ

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II Principles of Numerical Integration

-Newton Iteration

Newton Iteration IV

We can apply the Hessian matrix to the BE algorithm:

$$x_{k+1}^{\ell+1} = x_{k+1}^{\ell} - [h \cdot \mathcal{J}_{k+1}^{\ell} - I^{(n)}]^{-1} \cdot [x_k + h \cdot f(x_{k+1}^{\ell}, t_{k+1}) - x_{k+1}^{\ell}]$$

where:

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

is the Jacobian matrix of the dynamic system.

Principles of Numerical Integration

Newton Iteration

Newton Iteration V

If the system is linear:

Therefore:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{x}_{k+1}^{\ell+1} &= \mathbf{x}_{k+1}^{\ell} - [\mathbf{A} \cdot h - \mathbf{I}^{(n)}]^{-1} \cdot [(\mathbf{A} \cdot h - \mathbf{I}^{(n)}) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{k+1}^{\ell} + \mathbf{x}_{k} \\ \Rightarrow \qquad \mathbf{x}_{k+1}^{\ell+1} &= [\mathbf{I}^{(n)} - \mathbf{A} \cdot h]^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{k} \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{J} = \mathbf{A}$

Newton iteration does not ever change the numerical stability domain of an ODE solver. This is true not only for the BE algorithm, but rather for all numerical ODE solvers.

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

Conclusions

Conclusions

- In the analysis of numerical ODE solvers, the numerical stability of the algorithm must always be taken into consideration.
- The numerical stability of most ODE solvers can be represented by a *numerical stability domain* drawn in the complex $\lambda \cdot h$ plane.
- The numerical stability of ODE solvers is usually analyzed for *linear autonomous* time-invariant systems only.
- There exists also a *theory of non-linear stability*, but this theory is quite involved, and it is usually not necessary to use it, because the numerical stability of a linearized system is the same as that of the original non-linear system.

・ロト・西ト・モン・モー うんぐ

Numerical Simulation of Dynamic Systems II

Principles of Numerical Integration
Conclusions

Conclusions II

- In the analysis of numerical ODE solvers, it is also important to consider the approximation accuracy of the algorithm.
- The numerical accuracy of an ODE solver is subject to a number of error types, such as the *truncation error*, the *roundoff error*, and the *accumulation error*.
- Most important among these error types is the truncation error that is characterized by the order of approximation accuracy of the solver.
- It is important to analyze the order of approximation accuracy also for non-linear and multi-state systems, because it can happen that the order of approximation accuracy is higher for linear than for non-linear systems and possibly also higher for scalar than for multi-state systems.